To compare the effect of Platelet Rich Plasma over conservative treatment in grade 3 ATFL tear: Prospective Interventional Study
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Introduction
Lateral ankle sprain (LAS) is the most common musculoskeletal injury in the physically active population; it is also a common condition in the general population. Apart from the pain and temporary period of reduced functioning and disability, it is also associated with increased risk of recurrence ankle instability.[¹]
Repeated episodes of supination on an externally rotated rear foot predisposes to lateral ankle sprains. Recurrent ankle sprain mostly common in a patient with chronic ankle instability which could be mechanical due to lax ligament or multi-ligament instability, biomechanical error, degenerative changes in joints or ligament. Functional instability due to error in proprioception and neuromuscular control.[²]
LAS is hypothesised to cause Functional instability (FI) following repeated injury predispose individuals to neuromuscular deficits. LAS not only cause structural damage to the ligamentous tissue, but also to the nervous and musculotendinous tissue around the ankle complex. Ligaments injury leads to laxity of the joints of the ankle complex, may also compromise neuromuscular complex due to the injury to the nervous and musculotendinous tissue. These neuromuscular deficits may be manifested as impaired balance, reduced joint position sense, slower firing of the peroneal muscles to inversion perturbation of the ankle, slowed nerve conduction velocity, impaired cutaneous sensation, strength deficits and decreased dorsiflexion range of motion.[³]

Platelet Rich Plasma
Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an autologous concentration of human platelets in a small volume of plasma obtained by sequestering and concentrating platelets by gradient density centrifugation. This technique produced a concentration of human platelets of 338% and identified platelet-derived growth factor and transforming growth factor beta within them. Therefore, the term PRP is preferred to autologous platelet gel, plasma-rich growth factors (PRGFs), or a mere autologous platelet concentrate. Because it is a concentration of platelets. It is also a concentration of the 7 fundamental protein growth factors proved to be actively secreted by platelets to initiate all wound healing. These growth factors include the 3 isomeres of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF alpha alpha, PDGF beta beta, and PDGF alpha beta), 2 of the numerous transforming growth factors- (TGF1 and TGF2), vascular
endothelial growth factor, and epithelial growth factor.\[4\]
Platelet concentrates into four categories, depending on their leukocyte and fibrin content: pure platelet-rich plasma (P-PRP), as such as cell separator PRP, Vivostat PRF or Anitua's PRGF; leucocyte- and platelet-rich plasma (L-PRP), such as Curasan, Regen, Plateltex, Smart PReP, PCCS, Magellan or GPS PRP; pure platelet-rich fibrin (P-PRF), such as Fibrinet; and leucocyte- and platelet-rich fibrin (L-PRF), such as Choukroun's PRF.\[5\]
Platelet-rich plasma is just that; it is a volume of autologous plasma that has a platelet concentration above baseline. Normal platelet counts in blood range between 150,000/μl and 350,000/μl and average about 200,000/μl. Because the scientific proof of bone and soft tissue healing enhancement has been shown using PRP with 1,000,000 platelets/μl, it is this concentration of platelets in a 5-ml volume of plasma which is the working definition of PRP today. Lesser concentrations cannot be relied upon to enhance wound healing, and greater concentrations have not yet been shown to further enhance wound healing. Because PRP is developed from autologous blood, it is inherently safe and is free from transmissible diseases such as HIV and hepatitis.\[6\]
IOC Consensus paper on use of Platelet rich plasma classified into four categories depending on their leucocyte and fibrin content:
- Pure platelet-rich plasma(P-PRP),
- Leucocyte and platelet rich plasma (L-PRP),
- Pure platelet rich fibrin(L-PRF) and
- Leucocyte and platelet rich fibrin(L-PRF).
Platelets contain alpha granules that is rich in various growth factors like vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF), epidermal growth factors (EGF), platelet derived growth factors(PDGF), insulin like growth factor (IGF), transforming growth factor (TGF) and interleukin (IL) which helps in healing of injured tissue.
- Apart from high platelet concentration, PRP also contains range of growth factors, chemokines, cytokines. The presence and absence of leucocytes and its activation may determine the type of PRP.
- Platelet number more is not necessarily better 1.5million/μl may be optimal “above this has a catabolic effect”.
- A concentration of 1,000,000 platelets/μL has been suggested to be the working definition of PRP; this represents a platelet concentration five times higher than that of whole blood.
- Autologous platelet rich clots when administered in-vitro promote tendon healing by inducing cell proliferation and by angiogenesis promoting growth factors.

Aims and Objectives
Primary Objective
- To compare the effect of PRP over conservative treatment in ATFL tear
Secondary Objective
- To study the effect of PRP In ATFL tear
- To study the effect of conservative treatment in ATFL tear
- To study adverse effect of PRP if any

Material and Method
The study is conducted in outpatient department of Sport's Injury Centre, VMMC and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi. This study will include the patients diagnosed with grade 3 ATFL tear were randomized at Sports Injury Centre, VMMC and Safdarjung hospital. Patients above 30 years of age with symptomatic ankle pain clinically evidence of grade 3 ATFL tear were considered. The patient will be enrolled prospectively in the study. Study will be performed on 40 consecutive patients of ATFL tear and fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Methodology
Study will be carried out in 40 patients with symptomatic grade 3 tear of ATFL of one or both ankle joints who presented during the period of study falls into inclusion criteria and given injection PRP were included as study sample.
patients will be divided into two groups, A and B. Block randomization process will be used wherein 2 labeled envelopes will determine group allocation. First subject picks one out of 2 envelopes and is allocated accordingly. Next subject is allocated the remaining envelope. Group A (Control Group) will receive conservative treatment including NSAIDS + home based exercises including ankle strengthening. Group B patients will receive an additional intervention in the form of PRP injection.

Pre-Procedural Work Up
Patients were randomized to receive either PRP injection therapy or to a control group. Randomization was performed according to a block randomization method with a block size of two and an allocation ratio of 1:1. The first patient in the study and the first patient in every block were randomized to one of the two study arms. The next diagnosed patient in the block was automatically allocated to the other study arm.

Group A: The treatment group received 3 injections at 4 weekly interval, one at the initial presentation, followed by a rehabilitation programme.

Group B: The control group received the rehabilitation programme.

PRP preparation and injection technique
A total of 20 ml of peripheral blood was drawn from each patient into four 5 ml trisodium citrate tubes, and a PRGF concentrate was prepared using a validated method resulting in a 29–39 fold increase in platelet concentration without leucocytes. Tubes were centrifuged with a single spin, at 2500 RPM for 10 min. Under laminar airflow, the plasma fraction located just above the buffy coat (1.5 mL) was aspirated from each tube and dispensed into an empty tube. The activated concentrate was then injected before coagulation into the ATFL using a 21-gauge needle under aseptic precaution.

Data collection prior to PRP injection includes detailed history, clinical examination and radiological evaluation of patients satisfying the inclusion criteria. Special emphasis on following points during history taking:
1. Age
2. Sex
3. Site of ankle-right/left/bilateral
4. FADI score
5. To assess level of pain (VAS scoring)

Inclusion Criteria
1) Presence of an ankle sprain with pain and tenderness over the ATFL verified by a positive squeeze test reproducing pain over the ATFL positive Anterior Drawer test, CT or MRI.

Exclusion Criteria
1) Presence of gross syndesmotic instability presenting as frank diastasis on plain radiographs, previous surgery, or any pre-existing symptoms of ankle instability.

Study Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>Prospective Interventional Randomized Comparative Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period of Study</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation</td>
<td>Block randomization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End point classification</td>
<td>Efficacy Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary purpose</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study population - Patients visiting the department and diagnosed with grade 3 ATFL tear on MRI

Sample Size
The study observed the difference in mean outcome scores between groups was, with regard to ankle pain, a visual analog scale (VAS) score of 3 points (95% confidence interval [95% CI], −6 to 11 points); with regard to ankle function. Taking these values as reference, the minimum required sample size with 90% power of study and 5% level of significance is 20 patients in each study group. To reduce margin of error, total sample size taken is 40 (20 patients per group).
Rehabilitation protocol and follow-up
Both groups followed the same rehabilitation protocol and were evaluated with the same RTP criteria. Following the first injection or after the initial diagnosis for control, all patients were immobilized in a walking boot in 10° plantar flexion and were mobilized non-weight bearing for the first 07 days. The ankle position was dorsiflexed to neutral after 3–4 days. Progressive weight bearing was permitted from days 11–13. After 2 weeks, unrestricted weight bearing was permitted. All patients then followed the same physical therapy protocol of range of movement exercises, proprioception, peroneal strengthening, and functional rehabilitation. Patients were instructed to avoid dorsiflexion activities for 3 weeks from the time of injury and specifically to avoid landing on a bent knee and ankle joint, as well as cutting/pivoting movements.
Clinical evaluations were performed at 3 weeks postinjury: palpation for tenderness and the calf compression test (squeeze test). Patients who had no tenderness were pain-free with weight bearing underwent plantarflexion tests.

Statistical Analysis
Categorical variables will be presented in number and percentage (%) and continuous variables will be presented as mean ± SD and median. Normality of data will be tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the normality is rejected then non-parametric test will be used.
Statistical tests will be applied as follows:
1. Quantitative variables will be compared using Unpaired t-test/Mann-Whitney Test (when the data sets were not normally distributed) between the two groups and Paired t-test/ Wilcoxon ranked sum test within the groups across follow-ups.
2. Qualitative variable will be compared using Chi-Square test /Fisher’s exact test.
A p value of <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.
The data will be entered in MS EXCEL spreadsheet and analysis will be done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0.

Results
Demographic Profile: A total of 20 patients between the age of 20-40 years were enrolled in this study which were divided in two groups. Patients from all socio-economic status and all professions were included in this study.

Gender Ratio: In this study each group contains 20 patients in which Group A contains 8 females (40%) and 12 males (60%) and group B contain 6 females (30%) and 14 males (70%)
### Age Distribution

Most of the patients belong to age group of 20-40 years. In the group A 14 (70%) were of 20-30 age group and 6 (30%) of 31-40 age group and in group B was 15 (75%) were of 20-30 age group and 5 (25%) were of 30-40 age group.
Conclusion
This study is a single centre, randomized, interventional study in which total 20 pts were selected from OPD of SIC, SJH & divided into 2 groups using block randomization technique.

VAS Trend
VAS Trend: At time of intervention the range of VAS in group A was 8.2 ± 0.9 which significantly reduces on further follow up at 4.6.12 & 24 weeks (6.6±0.62, 5.1±0.9, 4.0±0.92, 2.8±0.8). P value on comparing baseline to 4,6,12 & 24 weeks was < .0001 which can be taken as statistically significant. Subsequent comparison of 4-6 weeks, 6-12 weeks & 12-24 weeks also shows the P value <.0001.

Similarly in group B mean value of VAS at time of intervention was 7.9 ± 1.1 which significantly reduces on further follow up at 4,6,12 & 24 weeks (6.0±1.0, 4.1 ±1.0, 2.8 ±0.8, 1.8 ±0.4). P value on comparing baseline to 4, 6,12 & 24 weeks was < .0001 which can be taken as statistically significant. Subsequent comparison of 4-6 weeks, 6-12 weeks & 12-24 weeks also shows the P value <.0001.

Group A
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FADI Trend

At time of intervention the range of FADI in group A was 124.6 ± 6.16 which significantly reduces on further follow up at 4,6,12 & 24 weeks (112 ± 7.89, 92.50 ± 11.02, 78.6 ± 10.56 and 65.50 ± 10.85). P value on comparing baseline to 4,6,12 & 24 weeks was < .0001 which can be taken as statistically significant. Subsequent comparison of 2-4 weeks, 2-12 weeks & 4-12 weeks also shows the P value <.0001.

Similarly in group B mean value of FADI at time of intervention was 124.6 ± 6.16 which significantly reduces on further follow up at 4,6,12 & 24 weeks (102 ± 5.65, 81.4 ± 6.60, 67.8 ± 6.05 and 54.7 ± 6.77. P value on comparing baseline to 4,6,12 & 24 weeks was < .0001 which can be taken as statistically significant. Subsequent comparison of 4-6 weeks, 6-12 weeks & 12-24 weeks also shows the P value <.0001.
On comparing group A to group B there was statistically significant difference found at 4, 6, 12 AND 24th weeks in favouring of group B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEEKS</th>
<th>FADI GROUP A</th>
<th>FADI GROUP B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At the time of intervention</td>
<td>124.64±6.16</td>
<td>124.64±6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th WEEK post injection</td>
<td>112±7.89</td>
<td>102±5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th WEEK post injection</td>
<td>92.5±11.02</td>
<td>81.4±6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th WEEK post injection</td>
<td>78.6±10.56</td>
<td>67.8±6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th WEEK post injection</td>
<td>65.5±10.85</td>
<td>54.7±6.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A FADI Trend

Group B FADI Trend
Combined Trend

**Chart Title**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Participants and Sample Size</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adam Rowden Dominici et al(2015) Journal of Emergency Medicine 49(4), 546-551 [8]</td>
<td>To determine patient function among patients randomized to receive standard therapy plus PRP, compared to patients who receive standard therapy plus sham injection (placebo).</td>
<td>Prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial.</td>
<td>1156 patients were screened &amp; 37 were selected. 18 randomized for PRP &amp; 15 for placebo injection. Outcome was measured by VAS &amp; LEFS at 0, 3 &amp; 8 days. Last score was taken on 30th day. Patients were kept NWB for 3 days.</td>
<td>In this small study, PRP did not provide benefit in either pain control or function over placebo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lior Laver, McConket et al(2015) Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 23(11), 3383-3392, 2015 [9]</td>
<td>To compare effect of PRP vs Placebo in HIGH ANKLE SPRAIN/Syndesmotic sprain</td>
<td>Prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial</td>
<td>16 elite athletes with AITFL tears were randomized to a treatment group receiving injections of PRP or to a control group. Patients were prospectively evaluated for clinical ability to return to full activity and residual pain. Dynamic USG was done for stability.</td>
<td>Athletes suffering from high ankle sprains benefit from ultrasound-guided PRP injections with a shorter RTP, re-stabilization of the syndesmotic joint and less long term residual pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samra DJ, Sman AD, Rae K, Linklater J, Refshauge KM, Hiller.(1986)</td>
<td>To determine whether a single USG guided PRP injection into the anterior inferior</td>
<td>Cohort controlled pilot study</td>
<td>10 Rugby Union players were consented to receive a single autologous PRP injection into the AITFL within 14 days of</td>
<td>A single autologous PRP allows safe RTP with improved functional capacity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
American Journal of clinical pathology 85(1), 50-56,1986
[10]
tibiolobular ligament (AITFL) reduces the time for rugby athletes to return to function and match play following MRI confirmed ankle syndesmosis injury.

Tibiofibular ligament (AITFL) reduces the time for rugby athletes to return to function and match play following MRI confirmed ankle syndesmosis injury. A control group included 11 comparable Rugby players between who were treated conservatively with the same inclusion criteria and rehabilitation protocol as the intervention group.

Treatment of complete rupture of the lateral ligaments of the ankle: a randomized clinical trial comparing cast immobilization with functional treatment

Treatment of lateral ankle sprain with platelet-rich plasma: A randomized clinical study

Discussion
Platelet rich plasma stimulate healing by clot formation. Thrombin at the site of bleeding causes platelet aggregation and activation, resulting in the release of alpha-granules, which contain growth factors that stimulate inflammatory cascade and promote healing. Platelets encourage primary hemostasis via three major processes: activation, adhesion and aggregation. When the integrity of the vascular endothelium is interrupted, various macromolecular elements of the vascular subendothelium become exposed and readily accessible to platelets. The interaction of these growth factors are responsible for healing response, promoting chemotaxis, cell proliferation, angiogenesis and collagen synthesis. This pilot study is to highlight upon the fact that platelet rich plasma can be used in ligament tears as it has shown good improvement in terms of healing.
Clinicians should become aware of this therapy and more studies can be done in this. PRP is proving to be promising modality for rehab & safe RTP following ankle sprains. Further studies with longer follow ups, multi centre involvement & larger no of subjects are needed to substantiate the result.

The normal human platelet count ranges anywhere from 150,000 to 350,000/μL. Improvements in bone and soft tissue healing have been

Patients were randomized into an immobilization group (21 days plaster cast) or a functional one (15 days strapping plus early controlled mobilization). Symptoms (pain, swelling, stiffness, subjective instability), joint laxity, return to preinjury activity (time and level) and rate of reinjury were assessed 3, 6, and 12 months after sprain. The functional group showed significantly earlier and better return to physical activity, fewer symptoms at 3 and 6 months but no intergroup difference at 12 months. Functional treatment also showed better decrease in joint laxity. No intergroup differences were found in the reinjury rate. Conclude that functional treatment is safe, associated with a more rapid recovery, and particularly suitable in athletic populations.

The functional group presented the highest reduction in pain and better functional scores than the control group at 8 weeks. At the end of follow-up period the results of both groups were similar.
demonstrated with concentrated platelets of up to 1,000,000/μL, representing a three- to fivefold increase in growth factors. \[15\]

PRP allows faster healing of ligaments using body’s own mechanism of inflammation & healing. No adverse reactions are reported in most of studies. \[17\]

PRP doesn’t violate the doping charter making it a safer option for athletes seeking safer RTP post injury. Recent studies on PRP do not demonstrate any performance enhancement beyond a potential therapeutic effect. \[18\]

Further research is required to independently establish PRP as a treatment modality for ankle sprain.

**Summary and Conclusion**

This study is a prospective single centre randomized interventional study in which total 40 patients were selected from out patients departments of Sport’s Injury Centre and divided in two equal groups using computerized block randomization. Group A received only conservative treatment including NSAIDS +home based exercises including ankle ROM and Strengthening exercise while Group B got additional intervention along with conservative treatment in form of PRP. Assessment was done at baseline(i.e. 0 week) and then after 4, 6, 12 and 24 weeks after the intervention by using VAS and FADI score on all 4 follow ups. On comparing group A and B, no statistically significant difference in VAS score found during 2nd week but it become statistically significant at 4th and 12th and 24th week between group A and group B. In the FADI scores statistically significant better results were noticed in the of group B from 4th week and in every follow up over group A.

On the basis of this study we can conclude the following things:
1. Addition of PRP to the conservative treatment has a definite benefit in the management of ATFL tear.
2. Conservative treatment in addition to PRP for ATFL tear results in early relief in symptoms and ankle function started at 4 weeks as tested by FADI scale. So it can be considered as a good treatment option for ATFL tear.
3. In long term follow up i.e. of 12 weeks or more outcome measures were improved and much significant difference obtained in two groups.
4. For the research purpose PRP can also be added to see any synergy in outcomes.
5. A study with larger subjects, multicenter involvement with placebo comparison to be done so that results can be more optimized.
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