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Abstract

Introduction: Ureter is a muscular tube of 25-30cm length. Duplication of ureter results from early splitting of ureteric bud. Double ureter has been reported by various authors with prevalence of 0.1% to 4%. Incomplete duplication is three times more common than complete. Double ureters can be classified as complete and incomplete.

Aim and Objective: We conducted the present study to estimate the proportion of specimens with duplication of ureter and to describe type of duplicated ureter and compare with previous studies.

Materials and Method: The present study was performed over a period of three years from 2017-2020 on 31 well preserved human cadavers in the institute of Anatomy, Madurai medical college, Madurai, Tamilnadu, India

Observation and Results: In our study we have observed one left sided ureter with complete duplication which originated from separate renal pelvis and accompanied its fellow ureter, running parallel to each other and finally drained to urinary bladder with separate opening.

Conclusion: Knowledge of developmental anomaly of the urinary system is of great importance as it can affect both the disease conditions and the interventional methods. In urogenital surgeries including renal transplant or gynecological surgeries and in laparoscopic procedures, this information is also very useful for early detection through radiological examinations.
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Introduction

Ureter is a muscular tube of 25-30cm length, continuous superiorly with funnel shaped structure called renal pelvis and inferiorly it opens into the lateral angle of the base of the urinary bladder[1]. Embryologically, the ureters develop from the ureteric bud, a diverticulum from the mesonephric duct. The ureteric bud elongates to form the ureter and undergoes repeated branching to form the major and minor calyces

Duplication of ureter results from early splitting of ureteric bud[2]. Double ureter has been reported by various authors with prevalence of 0.1% to 4%. [7,8,12] Incomplete duplication is three times more common than complete[13]

The renal pelvis is a funnel-shaped expanded upper end of the ureter. It lies within the hilum of the kidney and receives the major calyces and emerges from the hilum of the kidney and runs vertically downward behind the parietal
peritoneum on the psoas muscle, which separates it from the tips of the transverse processes of the lumbar vertebrae. Normally it enters the pelvis by crossing the bifurcation of the common iliac artery anterior to the sacroiliac joint. The ureter then runs downwards the lateral wall of the pelvis to the region of the ischial spine and turns forward to enter the lateral angle of the urinary bladder. Near its termination in males it is crossed by the vas deferens and by the uterine artery in females. The ureter passes obliquely through the wall of the bladder for about 1.9 cm before opening into the urinary bladder\[4\].

Double ureters can be classified as complete and incomplete. Complete duplication is also called double ureter wherein two pelves on the same side, one superior to the other, drained by separate ureters and having separate orifices on the floor of the bladder. In incomplete or bifid ureter, upper end is bifid and lower third of their course two ureters join and open by a common orifice into the urinary bladder\[5\].

Anatomical variations of ureters and their relationship to surrounding structures are therefore of particular importance from an academic point of view, a surgical perspective, radiological examinations and treatment to preserve renal functions.

Studies on Tamilnadu population remains scarce. Hence this study focusses on prevalence and types of duplication of ureter in Tamilnadu population.

We conducted the present study with the following objectives:

**Primary Objective**: To estimate the proportion of specimens with duplication of ureter

**Secondary Objective**: To describe the type of duplicated ureter and compare with previous studies.

**Materials and Method**

The present study was performed over a period of three years from 2017-2020 on 31 well preserved human cadavers in the institute of Anatomy, Madurai medical college, Madurai, Tamilnadu, India. All specimens taken in the study were adult cadavers of which 25 were males and 6 were females. Specimens showing crush or cut injuries and mass lesions of kidneys or ureter were excluded from the study. All the specimens were properly dissected and observed on both the right and the left sides to inspect the presence of any anatomical variations in the ureter. The dissection method used was based on the Cunningham's dissection manual.

**Observation**

In our study we have observed one left sided ureter with complete duplication which originated from separate renal pelvis and accompanied its fellow ureter, running parallel to each other and finally drained to urinary bladder with separate opening. Fig 1 shows the course of duplicated ureter in the cadaver and table 1 shows the proportion of duplicated ureter observed in our study.

**Table 1: Proportion of duplicated ureter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of Renal Specimens</th>
<th>No of normal ureter</th>
<th>% of Normal ureter</th>
<th>No of Duplicated ureter</th>
<th>% of duplicated ureter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig 1: Duplicated left ureter](image)
The proportion of duplication of ureter observed in this study was 1.61% and percentage of normal ureter was seen in 98.4% of specimens.

Discussion
Two ureters connected with the single kidney open in the bladder either by a common orifice or by separate orifices-upper and lower. In the latter condition, a mesonephric duct gives rise to two ureteric buds, upper and lower, which invade the metanephric blastema independently and induce the development of the upper and lower poles of kidney respectively. As the mesonephric duct undergoes loop formation in the posterior wall of the bladder, the lower ureter opens in the bladder in normal position, whereas the upper ureter draining urine from the upper pole of the kidney migrates more caudally along with the caudal shift of the terminal part of the mesonephric duct and opens in ectopic position. Thus the normal and ectopic ureters cross each other[3]

Unilateral duplication has an incidence of 1 in 125 while bilateral duplication has an incidence of 1 in 800 cases. Duplication is two to five times more common in females than in males. Moreover, incomplete duplication is three times more common than complete duplication. Incomplete type may remain asymptomatic or may cause complications like ureteric stenosis, urinary lithiasis and pyelonephritis.[10]

Table 2 Comparison of prevalence of duplicated ureter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHORS</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>NO OF SPECIMENS</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prakash et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parveen et al</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umesh et al</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy M at al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anju et al</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payal et al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 and chart 2 shows the prevalence of duplicated ureter in various studies which ranged from 0.64-4. In our study the prevalence observed was 1.61% which correlates with 2% in Prakash 2011[6]
Table 3 shows the types of duplication whether complete or incomplete as well as unilateral or bilateral observed by various studies. From the table it was observed that unilateral incomplete type was more commonly found and left sided duplication was more common. But in our study left sided complete duplication was found.

Rahabu et al 2011\(^7\) observed a bilateral complete duplicated ureter associated with bilateral lower polar accessory renal artery and a right accessory renal vein. The lower polar accessory renal arteries took origin from the abdominal aorta to supply the respective poles of right and left kidneys. The right accessory renal vein opened directly into the inferior vena cava.

Padmaja vasi et al 2016\(^8\) observed a left sided incomplete duplicated ureter associated with left accessory renal artery. One ureter was towards the upper pole and connected to one major calyx and five minor calyces. Other ureter was towards the lower pole and connected to one major calyx and six minor calyces.

Rahabu et al 2011\(^7\) observed a bilateral complete duplicated ureter associated with bilateral lower polar accessory renal artery and a right accessory renal vein. The lower polar accessory renal arteries took origin from the abdominal aorta to supply the respective poles of right and left kidneys. The right accessory renal vein opened directly into the inferior vena cava.

Yuganti 2014\(^9\) in this case report, on the left side there were two separate renal pelves, one above the other, each giving rise to a ureter. The relationship of both the pelves was maintained with the renal vein and artery as the sequence vein, artery and pelvis in before backward direction. The duplicated ureters were running parallel to each other, with the laterally placed ureter starting from the pelvis located below and the medially placed ureter starting from the pelvis located above.

Praveen et al 2016\(^10\) found out one incomplete duplicated left sided ureter in his study with no other associated abdominal or renal anomaly.

Umesh 2017\(^17\) observed two incomplete duplicated ureters in his study with prevalence of 3%. He also found out 6.25% of lobulation in left kidney and 3.12% bilateral lobulations.

Bifid renal pelvis and ureter is often asymptomatic and incidentally diagnosed during radiographic imaging of abdomen and pelvic region for other purposes. However, it may lead to clinical conditions like formation of renal calculi, ureterocele, hydronephrosis, and urinary tract infections.\(^{13}\)

Reflux is the most common complication of a duplicated collecting system. The exact nature depends on the type of system involved. For example reflux associated with complete duplicated systems is vesicoureteric reflux and that associated with partially duplicated systems is

---

**Table 3: Comparison of types of duplicated ureter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF DUPLICATION</th>
<th>SIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
<td>INCOMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>B/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prakash 2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahabu 2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasudha2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuganti 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pradhaja 2016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praveen 2016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umesh 2017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy M 2017</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudhikshiya 2017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anju 2017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payal 2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present 2020</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ureteroureteric reflux. Thus, partial duplication is associated with two problems. a) Ureteropelvic junction (UPJ) obstruction of the lower moiety. b) Retrograde yo-yo peristalsis of urine in ureter.\[15\]

**Conclusion**

According to our study, 1 in 150 individuals may be having duplicated ureter. Most common type observed with comparison to previous studies was left sided unilateral incomplete duplication.

Knowledge of developmental anomaly of the urinary system is of great importance as it can affect both the disease conditions and the interventional methods. In urogenital surgeries including renal transplant or gynaecological surgeries and in laparoscopic procedures, this information is also very useful for early detection through radiological examinations. Recognition of such variants before renal surgeries can decrease unnecessary complications and decrease the morbidity and mortality significantly.
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