Effectiveness Evaluation of percutaneous Trigger Finger Release
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Abstract
Objective: In this study, we aimed to evaluate the results of percutaneous release of Trigger Finger using 18G needle in 30 patients.
Methods: From November 2017 to November 2018, 30 patients with Trigger Finger were treated with percutaneous A1 pulley release using 18G needle at Govt Medical College, Trichur. Surgeons included Final Year Post Graduates Students to Professor/Unit Chiefs. Mean follow up period was 15 weeks. Results were classified as satisfactory when there was complete relief from complaints and unsatisfactory when there was persistence of complaint or if open surgery were required.
Results: 25(83.3%) patients had complete relief from triggering and there complaints.3(10%) patients had to undergo open release after repeated attempt of needling. 2 (6.7%) patients who complained of persistent pain at the needling site, despite relief of the triggering were relieved of pain after single shots of local steroid injection.
Conclusion: Needle release of trigger finger is effective, safe and well tolerated by patients. It should be done by senior Orthopedic or Hand Surgeon to avoid any complication.

Introduction
Stenosing tenosynovitis or trigger finger is generally characterized by pain, swelling, limitation of finger motion and a triggering sensation. The most commonly involved digit is reported to be the ring finger or thumb with the index and small fingers being the least symptomatic. The primary pathology is thickening of the A1 pulley with resultant entrapment of the flexor tendon, thus forming a triggering mechanism. Although synovial proliferation and fibrosis of flexor sheath are identified as triggering factors, there is no consensus in the literature about its true cause and its aetiology remains unknown. Notta in 1850 first described trigger finger as a condition caused by changes to the flexor tendon and its sheath. Hueston and Wilson demonstrated in an anatomical study that the spiral arrangement of the architecture of the intra tendon fibres leads to the formation of nodules that form distally to the A1 pulley.
Treatment for trigger finger ranges from NSAID’s, splinting of the finger, Steroid Injection, Closed release to open release of A1 pulley. Steroid injection, anti-inflammatory drug use and splinting of the finger are among the conservative treatment measures. Less than 6 months after onset of symptoms, triggering can
be effectively treated by NSAIDs and other conservative measures. The success of conservative treatment is reported to be 50–92% in the literature. According to Kevin Kang, MD, cortisone injections have only a 57% effective rate. In 1958 Lorthioir first described a technique of percutaneous release using a fine tenotome. Eastwood et al described percutaneous release using a hypodermic needle. Several methods using various instruments have been reported with satisfactory results and few complications e.g. HAKI Knife; Solco, Seoul Korea. Common Complications of surgical treatment include incomplete release, injury to tendon, vessels and nerves, scar tenderness, joint contractures and infection.

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the results of percutaneous release of Trigger Finger using 18G needle in 30 patients.

**Patients and Methods**

Total Number of patients was 30. There were 5 males and 25 females. 23 cases were in Right Hand and 7 cases in Left hand. Thumb was involved in 4 cases, Index finger in 6 cases, ring Finger in 10 cases and mid finger in 10 cases. 14 patients had DM and 1 patients had RA. 14 patients were graded (Green’s Classification) as grade 11 and 16 patients were graded as grade 111. No patient had prior steroid injection at the triggering site. The mean duration of symptoms before treatment was 8.4 Months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALE</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THUMB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDEX</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RING</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRIGGER FINGER STAGING: Green's classification

- Grade I (pretriggering) - Pain; history of catching that is not demonstrable on clinical examination; tenderness over the A1 pulley
- Grade II (active) - Demonstrable catching, but the patient can actively extend the digit
- Grade III (passive)- Demonstrable locking, requiring passive extension (grade III A) or inability to actively flex (grade III B)
- Grade IV (contracture)- Demonstrable catching, with a fixed flexion contracture of the PIP joint

A1 pulley release for Trigger Finger was done under local anaesthesia using 18G needle on 30 patients. Procedure was done by all members in the Orthopaedic Unit from Final Year Post Graduate Students under guidance of principal investigator to Unit Chiefs/ professor.
Technique of identifying A1 Pulley: A1 pulley is located by palpating the point of triggering. Near the distal horizontal palmar crease, proximal edge of the A-1 pulley is located for the small, ring, and middle fingers and for the index finger it is located at the proximal horizontal palmar crease. A1 pulley release is relatively safe for the ring and middle fingers compared to the index and small finger due to the oblique course of the flexor tendons and neurovascular structures in the index and small finger. Preferential insertion site of the needle in the thumb is the intersection point of the proximal thumb digital crease and perpendicular line up the central axis of the palmar aspect. Oblique course of the radial neurovascular bundle from ulnar to radial across the A1 pulley of the thumb makes it vulnerable to injury during the procedure.

Technique of needle insertion: The needle may be first inserted into the tendon and the same may be confirmed by needle movement when the patient flexes and extends the distal phalanx. Slowly the needle is withdrawn until this motion ceases. Cessation of motion confirms the presence of needle tip is now inside the A-1 pulley. Once the position of the needle tip is confirmed the A-1 pulley is cut only in the longitudinal axis of the flexor tendon sheath by moving the needle forward and back. The pulley being cut generates a grating sensation and the release is adequately checked after the needle is withdrawn and the patient is asked to flex and extend the digit to show relief from triggering.

Return to their daily activity depends on their confidence and relief of symptoms, usually after 5-7 days. Positions that require manual labour may require 2 weeks before returning to work.

Results
25 (83.3%) patients had complete relief from triggering and there complaints. 3 (10%) patients had to undergo open release after repeated attempt of needling (done by final year Post Graduate Students under supervision). 2 (6.7%) patients who complained of persistent pain at the needling site, despite relief of the triggering were relieved of pain after single shots of local steroid injection (Painful tenosynovitis without triggering often occurs in patients after a percutaneous release. This may be a result of the high rate of flexor tendon scoring).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete relief</td>
<td>25 (83.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open release</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent pain</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Nerve Injury</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendon Rupture</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
Trigger finger is a condition for which there are many treatment modalities. Conservative treatment involves NSAIDs, Injection of steroids/local anaesthetics and splint application. In patients who did not benefit from conservative treatment, surgery is performed which involves release of A1 pulley. Debates between the alternatives of open surgery and the percutaneous release procedure are still continuing. The percutaneous release has been popular with observations favoring its ease of application, lower cost and complication rates. This procedure was first described by Lorthiori in 1958 using a fine tenotome. He reported good results in all case. Surgeons reported fewer complications with percutaneous release, such as incision related...
infections, formation of painful scars, bowstringing of flexor tendons due to pulley injury, joint nodules, weakness and digital nerve injury seen with conventional open surgery.

Our study showed complications and residual triggering in 5 cases which is comparable to the studies by Amrani et al, Rami Ahamed Diab et al and Issam Dahadraet et al. Studies done by Haki et al, Z Marij and Bakir Yuvuz et al produced no complications.

Division of the A1 pulley usually causes minimal morbidity. Near normal hand function can be maintained with only the A2 and A4 annular pulley intact. The increased work of flexion that has been shown biomechanically after A1 pulley excision does not appear to be relevant clinically for most patients. A2 pulley injuries in rock climbers and reports of patients who have had part of their A2 pulley transected surgically show the importance of preserving this pulley in preventing bowstringing of the flexor tendon. Biomechanical studies of pulley excision showed increase in work for finger flexion after A2 pulley excision and a marked increase after removal of both A1 and A2 pulley. Anatomic studies have shown nearly 50% incidence of continuity between the A1 and A2 pulleys. The separation between two pulleys generally is 0.4 to 4.1 mm. When it is not present, however, there is a sliver of retinacular tissue at the site of separation. Care should be taken not to extend a surgical release into the substance of the A2 pulley.

Conclusion

Percutaneous release of trigger finger using 18G Needles not only effective, safe and well tolerated by patients but also avoids time and expense of an open procedure. It should be done by senior Orthopedic or Hand Surgeon to avoid complications.
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