Role of Various Biochemical Markers for the Differential Diagnosis of Exudative and Transudative Pleural Effusion and its Comparison with Traditional Light’s Criteria
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Abstract
Background: Pleural effusion is an excessive accumulation of fluid in the space lies between the lung and chest wall i.e. pleural space¹. In normal condition, pleural space contains a film of fluid (near about of 10 ml of fluid on each side) between the parietal and visceral pleural. This pleural fluid acts as a lubricant and allows the visceral pleural to slide along the parietal during respiratory movements.

Aim of study: Role of various biochemical marker for the differential diagnosis of Exudative and Transudative pleural effusion.

Study Area: The present study will be conducted in PMCH, Udaipur.

Result: When comparing Exudative and Transudative pleural effusion the concentration in Exudative patients ADA level in pleural fluid (80.30 ± 35.03), serum (36.97 ± 14.50), ALP level in pleural fluid (129.41 ± 41.34), serum (190 ± 87.65), LDH level in pleural fluid (507.75 ±195.90), serum (739.03 ±259.59), The observation shows statistically significant differences (p) in both groups.

Conclusion: We observed that transudative pleural effusion appears in advance age groups but exudative pleural effusion seen in early age groups, the reasons behind that the etiological factor involve for transudative pleural effusion (Congestive cardiac failure, Chronic renal failure, Liver Cirrhosis) appear later in life i.e. >50 year.
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Introduction
Pleural effusion is an excessive accumulation of fluid in the space lies between the lung and chest wall i.e. pleural space¹. In normal condition, pleural space contains a film of fluid (near about of 10 ml of fluid on each side) between the parietal and visceral pleural. This pleural fluid acts as a lubricant and allows the visceral pleural to slide along the parietal during respiratory movements².

Pleural effusion caused by a misbalance between fluid production and fluid removal, it is often the first manifestation of a cardiac or pulmonary disease³. Pleural effusion is a common chest problem, yet it is difficult to establish the etiological diagnosis in as many as 20% cases in spite of good history, through clinical, radiological, full examination of aspirated fluid and pleural biopsy⁴. So there is a need of simple,
rapid and reliable diagnostic test to establish the etiology of pleural effusion.
Four types of fluids can accumulate in the pleural space:

1. Serous fluid (hydrothorax)
2. Blood (haemothorax)
3. Chyle (chylothorax)
4. Pus (pyothorax or empyema)

**Methodology**

**Study Area:** The present study will be conducted in PMCH, Udaipur.

**Study Design:** The study will design and undertaken in the Central laboratory of PMCH Udaipur. The cases of this study compare the role of biochemical markers (ALP, ADA and LDH) for the differential diagnosis of exudative and transudative pleural effusion.

**Study Period:** March 2018 to Dec. 2018

**Sample Population:** We have taken a total of 100 (male and female of all age) patients with pleural effusions of diverse etiologies, attending various departments (pulmonary, cardiology, surgery and gynecology) of Pacific Medical College and Hospital Udaipur Rajasathan. Patients with malignant pleural effusion from Pacific Medical College and Hospital in this study.

**Sample Size:** We divided 100 patients into group 1 and group 2. Group 1 include exudative 60 patients out of which 30 Patients of tubercular pleural effusion, 13 patients with para pneumonic pleural effusion or emphysema, 7 patients with malignant pleural effusion, and ten patients of exudates other than the above causes. Group 2 include transudative 40 patients out of which five were of nephritic syndrome, two patients of pulmonary embolism, eighteen patients of congestive cardiac failure, and 14 patients of chronic renal failure.

**Inclusion Criteria:** A total of 100 patients were taken out of which 60 were exudative and 40 were transudative.

**Exclusion Criteria:** All the patients not having pleural effusion are excluded from the study.

**Statically Analysis:** The collected data was analyzed using SPSS windows (version 20). Normality of data was checked using Shapiro Wilk’s test. The parameters those were normally distributed were analyzed by parametric (independent samples T test) and the results were expressed in Mean ± Standard deviation (SD). Parameters which showed non normal distribution were analyzed by non parametric (one way analysis of variance) and the results were expressed in Mean ± Standard error (SE). All the results and observations are pressed as Tables, bar diagram (along with error bar). The cut off for significant was taken at p value equal to or less than 0.05.

**Observation & Result**

**Table 1** Showing ADA, ALP and LDH (IU/L) in pleural fluid and serum in exudative effusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pleural fluid (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Serum (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA</td>
<td>80.30 ± 35.03</td>
<td>36.97 ± 14.50</td>
<td>8.851</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>129.41 ± 41.34</td>
<td>190 ± 87.65</td>
<td>4.842</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>507.75 ± 195.90</td>
<td>739.03 ± 259.59</td>
<td>5.509</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In exudative condition, quantification of pleural fluid and serum ADA, ALP and LDH value were compared for the same (Mean ± SD) The observation shows statistically significant differences (p) in both groups.

**Figure 1** Comparison of pleural fluid with serum ADA and ALP (Mean ± SD) in exudative condition
Table 2 Showing ADA, ALP and LDH (IU/L) in pleural fluid and serum in transudative effusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pleural fluid (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Serum (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA</td>
<td>20.32 ± 2.68</td>
<td>15.82 ± 2.94</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>56.12 ± 8.29</td>
<td>111.67 ± 14.03</td>
<td>21.54</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>134.65 ±35.01</td>
<td>372.32 ±110.06</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In transudative condition, quantification of pleural fluid and serum ADA, ALP and LDH value were compared for the same (Mean ± SD). The observation shows statistically significant differences (p) in both groups.

Discussion


In our study, the mean serum and pleural LDH level as well as pleural fluid and serum ratio are significantly raised in exudative pleural effusion than transudative effusion group and our study correlate with, Jadhav MV et al. (2007) 15, Joseph J et al. (2002) 16. Hence serum and pleural LDH level as well as
pleural / serum LDH ratio may be helpful for differentiating exudates from transudates.

**Conclusion**

It is clinically important to classify pleural fluids into exudates and transudates because this is indicative of the underlying pathophysiological process involved. Such a distinction allows appropriate investigations to be instigated, enabling better patient management.

1) We observed that transudative pleural effusion appears in advance age groups but exudative pleural effusion seen in early age groups, the reasons behind that the etiological factor involve for transudative pleural effusion (Congestive cardiac failure, Chronic renal failure, Liver Cirrhosis) appear later in life i.e. >50 year (mean age ± SD = 55.17 ± 7.23 in our study) but exudative pleural effusion occurs mostly by infections causatives, so it occurs in all age groups (mean age ± SD = 45.4 ± 13.05 in our study), Table no 11. In our study we found that statistically significance in age variation in both the groups, but we not found the correlative data for the same.

2) ADA, ALP, LDH, protein and uric acid found statistically significance in pleural to serum in intra and inter groups and results compare and confirmed with light’s criteria. We conclude that the other biochemical parameter have diagnostic significance when light’s criteria alone not able to settle the diagnosis. But in some diseases where specific markers increase out of proportion.
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