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Abstract
Occupational radiation doses for medical workers from the departments of diagnostic radiology, nuclear medicine, radiotherapy, cardiology, gastroenterology, radiological physics & BE and associated medical college of Sher I Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences (SKIMS) Hospital were measured and analyzed. A total of 257 medical radiation workers were monitored to determine the status of their average annual effective dose and average cumulative life time dose. The analysis of this study was carried out at the Radiation Safety Lab of the Department of Radiological Physics & BE, at SKIMS. The monitored workers were classified into subgroups namely technical professionals and doctors, according to their clinical responsibilities and specialties. The whole body doses were measured using thermo luminescence dosimeters developed in Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (TLD-BARC (CaSO₄:Dy)) worn at the chest level in all types of workers except for those in the cardiology lab and gastroenterology, for whom the TLD was worn under the lead apron(0.5millimeter lead thickness). In addition the three departments viz nuclear medicine, cardiology and gastroenterology department were provided with an extremity dosimeter. The annual average effective doses for radiodiagnosis, nuclear medicine, radiotherapy, cardiology, gastroenterology, radiological physics & BE & SKIMS Medical college, workers were found to be, 0.26, 0.60, 0.18, 0.35, 0.17 and 0.25 mSv, respectively. The department of Nuclear Medicine using the unsealed radioisotopes was recorded for the hand/extremity dose as well and the average dose was 1.06mSv. The departments using fluoroscopic guided procedures viz cardiology and gastroenterology using fluoroscopic guidance for various procedures were also provided with the additional extremity dosimeter and the average doses were found 0.42 and 5.57 mSv respectively. The results of the measured annual dose were well below the international recommended occupational dose limit of 20 mSv.
The average life time cumulative doses for diagnostic radiology, nuclear medicine, radiotherapy, cardiology, gastroenterology, radiological physics & BE & SKIMS Medical college, workers were found to be, 7.10, 14.91, 4.97, 8.79, 23.12, 4.28 and 2.82mSv, respectively.
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Introduction

Radioisotopes have been used in many applications, such as medicine, research, education, industry, and agriculture, for a wide range of purposes beneficial to human populations. The health services are being improvised day by day with the advancements in technical knowhow and improvised machinery and that has resulted in an increased use of radionuclide’s and radiation in diagnosis and therapy\[^1\]. All occupational exposures to ionizing radiation represent the major part of man-made exposure to low radiation doses to the personnel involved. It is estimated that cancer risk incidence directly increases with the absorbed dose and it is important to determine the deterministic and stochastic effects from this low radiation dose\[^2\].

The various international regulatory bodies aim to regulate and provide a system with useful standards for radiation protection including medical, occupational, environmental, and exposure controls against radiological accidents without unduly limiting the beneficial practices giving rise to radiation exposure. The important one being the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP)\[^3,4\]. It defines the term “occupational exposures” which refers to the exposure of people at work to ionizing radiation from natural and man-made sources as a result of operations within a workplace,\[^5-12\]. It is recommended for workers exposed to medical radiation sources to strictly follow and practice all the regulatory requirements established in the International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and the Safety of Radiation Sources, here in India to follow the Atomic Energy Act of 1962 (33 of 1962) and Atomic Energy Radiation Protection Rules-2004. Dose estimation for radiation workers is an important factor for government and Atomic Energy Regulatory Board (AERB) to evaluate radiation risks and establish protective measures strictly to be followed in medical use of Ionizing radiation \[^13\]. All occupational workers must be consequently subjected to routine monitoring of the radiation exposures they receive during their work practices\[^14,15\]. The radiation dose to workers is expressed in terms of effective dose for whole body and equivalent dose for extremities and eye lens as stated by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) report number 60 and recent report number 103\[^3,27\]. The International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) recommends the personal dose equivalent Hp (10) as universal operational quantity in the field of radiation protection by individual monitoring. It is the dose received by tissue (effective dose) at a 10-mm depth from the skin surface and is considered to be the dose to the whole body recorded by the personnel dosimeter worn at the chest level by the radiation professional. From the basic safety standards (BSS) recommendation, the equivalent doses limits should apply i) to the whole body, as represented by the operational quantity Hp (10); and ii) to the extremities, via the operational quantity Hp (0.07). The BSS defines the Hp (0.07) dose as the dose at a depth of 0.07 mm and is considered to be the dose received by the skin of the workers. The dose limit for workers proposed by the ICRP was established as an annual effective dose. An effective dose limit of 20 mSv each year has been set for persons employed in radiation work\[^16-18\]. It is important to measure the radiation doses received by personnel and evaluate the parameters concerning total radiation burden. Thermo luminescent dosimetry is the easiest method to carry out measurements on personal dosimeters\[^19-24\]. The main objective of this study was to investigate and evaluate the annual occupational radiation dose history among the workers of Sher-I-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences Hospital and Medical College (SKIMS H&MC) so as to increase the confidence of radiation safety strategy used and followed. The study concentrated on seven medical departments of SKIMS H&MC — radiodiagnosis, nuclear medicine, radiotherapy, cardiology, gastroenterology, radiological physics & BE & Sher-I-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences
Medical College (SKIMS Medical college) — during a ten year period from 2006 to 2015 to track these departments for the occupational radiation dose to determine the highest exposure area and to check the radiation protection standards met out at these departments.

Materials and Methods
In this study, thermo luminescent dosimeters (TLD) were used. The TLDs consist of cards with appropriate filter holders containing a detector crystal of Calcium sulphate doped with dysprosium -CaSO₄:Dy to provide measurements of skin and deep doses. Of the thermo luminescence phosphors, dysprosium doped calcium sulphate is one of the most efficient and cheap phosphors for the use in radiation dosimetry [25, 26]. It was made sure that the workers wore the badge in proper places during their work. The upper side of the chest is the most important area to wear the dosimeter as recommended by ICRP. The calibration process was totally automated from Ultratech Lab Pvt Ltd. Both the whole-body dose (effective dose in milli Sievert-mSv) Hp (10) and the skin dose-extremity (equivalent dose in milli Sievert-mSv) Hp (0.07) for the period from 2006 to 2015 were taken from the radiation safety labs data base from SKIMS. The International Commission on Radiological Units and Measurements[24] recommends whole-body doses in terms of the personal dose equivalent, Hp (10). TLDs worn by occupational medical personnel’s were evaluated by the company itself for personnel dose equivalents. It is important to mention that a single TLD badge was recommended for occupational workers in radiodiagnosis, radiotherapy, radiological physics & BE & Sher-I-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences Medical College (SKIMS Medical college) and two TLD dosimeters for nuclear medicine, cardiology and gastroenterology-one for whole body and another for extremity to measure dose to skin Hp(0.07).

Results and Discussion
Distribution of medical radiation workers
The dose distributions of radiation workers are used to determine the minimum level of exposure in the medical field according to as low as reasonably achievable -ALARA principles. In the SKIMS H&MC, approximately 257 occupational radiation workers were monitored. The percentage distribution of the occupational medical radiation personnel’s in the seven medical departments of SKIMS Hospital & MC were Radio-diagnosis (42%), Nuclear Medicine (8%), Radiotherapy (21%), Cardiology (8%), Gastroenterology (7%), Radiological Physics & BE (7%), & SKIMS Medical college (7%), —during the period from 2006 to 2015. Table 1 shows the number of radiation workers monitored (male and female) in all occupational categories of medical departments and their position during 2006-2015. Figure 1 shows the percentage wise contribution from each type of medical radiation worker to the total monitored workers.

Occupational doses at the department of radiodiagnosis
The measured occupational doses for radiology workers are presented in Table 2 for different types of radiation workers. For a radiologist, which represents the greatest number of radiation workers, 108 Table 2 shows that the average annual effective dose ranged from 0.01 mSv to 0.86 mSv, with an average value of 0.27 mSv for technical professionals and 0.01 mSv to 1.60 mSv, with an average value of 0.20 mSv for doctors in the department of radio diagnosis. All these values are well below the international recommended dose limit (20 mSv). The annual effective dose distribution for all radiation workers in the radiology department is shown in Figure. 2. The highest recorded dose (0.87 mSv) is well below the recommended dose limit (20 mSv) as adopted from the ICRP recommendations. As seen in Table 2, the highest annual dose value recorded was 1.60 mSv, recorded by the chest TLD worn by one of the radiologists in the department,
which represents 8% of the annual recommended dose limit.

**Occupational doses at the department of nuclear medicine**

Again from Table 2 the average annual effective dose for the department of nuclear medicine ranged from 0.19 mSv to 2.11 mSv, with an average value of 0.57 mSv for technical professionals and 0.2 mSv to 1.94 mSv, with an average value of 0.65 mSv for doctors in the department. The annual effective dose distribution for all radiation workers in the nuclear medicine department is shown in Figure 3. An additional wrist TLD Badge was provided to the staff in this department due to the use of unsealed radioisotopes. The average wrist dose was found to be ranging between 0.6 to 1.7 mSv with an average of 1.06 mSv, Fig 12. From these values it can be concluded that the measured doses were well below the dose limit. In general, it was noted that the nuclear medicine technicians received relatively higher values for their chest absorbed dose (>2 mSv) than did the nuclear medicine doctors due to their main job and responsibilities to carry out the examinations using unsealed radioisotopes for diagnostic and therapeutic applications according to the set protocol of the department.

**Occupational doses at the department of radiotherapy**

Table 2 shows that the average annual effective dose ranged from 0.06 mSv to 0.33 mSv, with an average value of 0.18 mSv for technical professionals and 0.03 mSv to 1.27 mSv, with an average value of 0.20 mSv for doctors in the department of radiotherapy. The annual effective dose distribution for all radiation workers in the radiotherapy department is shown in Figure 4. From these results, we can conclude that the workers in the radiotherapy laboratory are exposed to a relatively higher amount of radiation than those doing CT scans radiology dept. The annual effective dose distribution for all radiation workers in the cardiology is shown in Figure 5. This received dose still remains well below the recommended dose limit.

**Occupational doses at the department of cardiology**

The occupational radiation doses for medical staff using fluoroscopic procedures is usually on a higher side[3]. Cardiology is the most dynamic field in terms of medical exposure due to the application of diagnostic X-rays. Workers in the cardiology field have a high effective dose, and in addition, the extremity and eye lens dose can reach the recommended regulatory limit[5]. Table 5 shows that while the annual occupational dose to a technical professional ranged from 0.03 mSv to 1.42 mSv with an average value of 0.44 mSv, the corresponding value for a cardiology laboratory doctors ranged from 0.00 mSv to 1.04 mSv with an average value of 0.26 mSv. An additional wrist TLD Badge was provided to the staff in this department as the use of fluoroscope predominates here too. The average wrist dose was found to be ranging between 0.17 to 0.75 mSv with an average of 0.42 mSv, Fig 12. From these results in Table 5, we can conclude that the workers in a cardiology laboratory are exposed to a relatively higher amount of radiation than those doing CT scans radiology dept. The annual effective dose distribution for all radiation workers in the cardiology is shown in Figure 5. This received dose still remains well below the recommended dose limit.

**Occupational doses at the department of endoscopy-gastroenterology**

Table 2 shows the distribution of the annual dose for different specialists among the workers in the endoscopy department. The highest recorded doses were among this community as the use of fluoroscopy predominates here as well. The average annual effective dose ranged between 0.15 and 2.33 mSv, with an average value of 1.28 mSv for technical professionals and 0.08 mSv to 3.98 mSv, with an average value of 1.84 mSv for the doctors. The annual effective dose distribution for all radiation workers in the gastroenterology department is shown in, Fig. 6. These values were well within the recommended dose limit (20 mSv).
An additional wrist TLD Badge was provided to the staff in this department as the use of fluoroscope predominates here too. The average wrist dose was found to be ranging between 0.09 to 11.54 mSv with an average of 5.57 mSv, Figure 12. Based on the results of the annual dose in every department, a close correlation between the received doses and the job position was observed.

**Occupational doses at the department of Radiological Physics & BE**

Table 2 shows the distribution of the annual dose for different specialists among the workers in the RPBE department. This department has the responsibility of maintaining the radiation safety at the hospital level. The Radiation Safety Officer along with the Qualified Medical Physicists ensure the radiation safety in all dimensions with regard to the safety of occupational workers, patient and general public, these act like a mini competent authority at a hospital level. The average annual effective dose ranged between 0.1 and 0.43 mSv, with an average value of 0.19 mSv for technical professionals and 0.0 mSv to 0.28 mSv, with an average value of 0.01 mSv for the doctors. The annual effective dose distribution for all radiation workers in the RPBE department is shown in Figure 7.

**Occupational doses at the department of SKIMS MC**

Table 2 shows the distribution of the annual dose for different specialists among the workers in the Dept of SKMC, i.e. Radiology and Orthopedics. These departments too used CT Scanners, X-Rays and Fluoroscopes, therefore the doses here too are little on a higher side. The average annual effective dose ranged between 0.0 and 0.19 mSv, with an average value of 0.15 mSv for technical professionals and 0.0 mSv to 1.43 mSv, with an average value of 0.25 mSv for the doctors. The annual effective dose distribution for all radiation workers in the SKMC radiology and orthopedics department is shown in Figure 8.

The highest doses were received by the doctors/technical professionals in gastroenterology dept, followed by dept of nuclear medicine which directly correlates these values with the nature of their clinical responsibilities. Approximately 90% of all monitored radiation workers at SKIMS H &MC had annual dose values below or equal to the average level of global occupational exposure associated with the medical field (0.5 mSv/year). Figure 9 shows the Average Life Time Cumulative Doses to all occupational workers at SKIMS H & MC. Figure 10 shows the Life Time Cumulative Dose percentage to all occupational workers at SKIMS H & MC. Figure 11, shows the Average Life Time Cumulative Doses to all occupational workers at SKIMS H &MC. From all the results it is clear that the department of gastroenterology and nuclear medicine had a relatively higher occupational dose, but that dose is still below the recommended dose limit (20 mSv/year).
Table 1 Number of radiation workers monitored in all occupational categories of medical departments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupational category</th>
<th>Radiation workers</th>
<th>Number of monitored workers</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Radiodiagnosis</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Nuclear Medicine</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Cardiology</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Gastroenterology</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Nuclear Medicine &amp; BE</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKIMS-MC</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of workers</td>
<td></td>
<td>205</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Departmental distribution of average annual dose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Total number of workers</th>
<th>Working group</th>
<th>Dose range mSv</th>
<th>10 years Average Annual dose (mSv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Radiodiagnosis</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>(0.01-0.86)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td>(0.01-1.60)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Nuclear Medicine</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>(0.19-2.11)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td>(0.2-1.94)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Radiotherapy</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>(0.06-0.33)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td>(0.03-1.27)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Cardiology</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>(0.03-1.42)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td>(0.00-1.04)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Gastroenterology</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>(0.15-2.33)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td>(0.08-3.98)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Radiological Physics &amp; BE</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>(0.1-0.43)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td>(0.01-0.28)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of SKIMS-MC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Technical professionals(T)</td>
<td>(0.00-0.19)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Doctors(D)</td>
<td>(0.00-1.43)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 Department wise Percentage distribution of radiation workers at SKIMS Hospital and Medical College

Figure 2 Average annual dose for all radiodiagnosis -RD (technical professionals T, doctors D) occupational workers for a period of ten years 2006-2015

Figure 3 Average annual doses for all nuclear medicine- NM (technical professionals T, doctors D) occupational workers for a period of ten years 2006-2015
Figure 4 Average annual doses for all Radiotherapy -RT (technical professionals T, doctors D) occupational workers for a period of ten years 2006-2015

Figure 5 Average annual doses for all Cardiology -CD (technical professionals T, doctors D) occupational workers for a period of ten years 2006-2015

Figure 6 Average annual dose for all Gastroenterology-GE (technical professionals T, doctors D) occupational workers for a period of ten years 2006-2015
Figure 7 Average annual dose for all Radiological Physics & BE-RPBE (technical professionals T, doctors D) occupational workers for a period of ten years 2006-2015

Figure 8 Average annual dose for all SKIMS Medical College-SKMC (technical professionals T, doctors D) occupational workers for a period of ten years 2006-2015

Figure 9 Life Time Cumulative Dose percentage to all occupational workers in the seven departments at SKIMS H &MC
Figure 10 Average Life Time Cumulative Dose to the subgroups -technical professionals and doctors in the seven departments at SKIMS H &MC

Figure 11 Average Life Time Cumulative Dose in mSv in the seven departments at SKIMS H &MC

Figure 12 Doses in mSv as recorded by the wrist-extremity TLD dosimeter in three departments –viz Nuclear Medicine, Cardiology and Gastroenterology
Conclusion
The measured annual effective doses for occupational radiation workers at seven different medical departments received was well below the recommended dose limit (20 mSv). The doses to the workers of radio diagnosis, nuclear medicine, radiotherapy, cardiology lab, gastroenterology, radiological physics & BE & SKIMS Medical college, workers was 0.26, 0.60, 0.18, 0.35, 1.37, 0.17 and 0.25 mSv, respectively which is many times (93%) lesser than the stipulated limits set by the national and international regulatory authorities. Thus the radiation protection programme to limit the low dose radiation exposure carried out at SKIMS Hospital & Medical College is much effective and is a direct outcome of the strict adherence to these national and international protocols.
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