A Comparative Evaluation of Posterior capsular Opacification with Square Edged and Round Edged PMMA Intraocular Lens in Small Incision Cataract Surgery in rural areas of North Maharashtra
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Abstract
Aim: To compare the incidence of Posterior Capsular Opacification (PCO) after implantation of Round Edged Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) IOL and Square Edged PMMA IOL in cases of Small Incision Cataract Surgery (SICS) and to select clinically significant cases of PCO for Neodymium-doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Nd: YAG) capsulotomy.

Methods and Materials: A retrospective and comparative study in 500 eyes of 500 patients underwent uncomplicated SICS with Round Edged IOL implantation in group A and Square Edged IOL in group B with a minimal follow up period of 1 year. During this period the best corrected visual acuity and grades of PCO were assessed.

Statistical Analysis: Unpaired t test and Chi square test were used for statistical analysis.

Results: Overall incidence of visually significant PCO was 19.6%, in group A the incidence was 29.6% and in group B it was 9.6%.

Conclusion: This study showed that manual SICS with implantation of Square Edged IOL is a cost effective option for low income groups in rural areas. Square Edged design induces a barrier effect on PCO thereby reducing migration of lens epithelial cells and reducing incidence of PCO. PMMA supports epithelial cell adhesiveness to a lesser extent.

Keywords: Small incision cataract surgery (SICS), posterior capsular opacification (PCO), Round Edged Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) IOL, Square Edged Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) IOL.

Introduction
Cataract is a leading cause of blindness worldwide including India. In addition to the backlog, an additional 3.8 million become blind each year because of cataract.¹ Cataract surgery has evolved to modern day Phacoemulsification and Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery. In a developing country like India, Manual Small
Incision cataract surgeries with IOL implantation have been widely used. The modern day intraocular lenses used in SICS are posterior chamber standard PMMA Round Edged or Square Edged lenses. The most frequent long term complication of this surgery is Posterior Capsular Opacification with an overall incidence of 50%.[2] Posterior capsular Opacification is the posterior migration of activated epithelial cells that leads to the formation of an opaque membrane on the posterior capsule.[3] It causes a decrease in visual acuity by affecting the visual axis or by optical distortion. Decrease in visual acuity by more than 2 lines on Snellen’s chart is considered visually significant.[4] The development of PCO is a very dynamic process and involves three basic phenomena: Proliferation, Migration and Differentiation of residual Lens Epithelial Cells (LEC). Three Surgery related factors and three IOL related factors are particularly important in the prevention of PCO.[5] Surgery related factors to reduce PCO are hydrodissection enhanced cortical clean up, in the bag (capsular) fixation and capsulorrhexis edge on IOL surface. IOL related factors to reduce PCO are IOL biocompatibility, maximal IOL optic-posterior capsule contact and barrier effect of IOL optic. PCO disturbs fundus examination and optimal treatment by photocoagulation or vitrectomy in eyes with vitreoretinal disorders. PCO can be treated by Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy. It should be eliminated due to its deleterious sequelae and also Nd:YAG associated complications such as retinal detachment, endophthalmitis, rise in intraocular pressure, cystoid macular edema and damage to IOL. Recent techniques worldwide being used are attempting to eliminate PCO development by improving surgical techniques, IOL materials, IOL designs, use of therapeutic agents and combination therapy. PCO is of great financial burden on the health care system and also patient follow up is difficult and at times Nd:YAG laser is not available. Thus the resolution of PCO is an urgent task in cataract surgery. Evidence strongly suggests that lens implant design rather than the lens material may be more important factor in prevention of PCO.[6] Thus this study has been done to evaluate PCO after implantation of conventional Round Edged PMMA IOL versus Square Edged PMMA IOL in Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery.

Materials and Methods
This retrospective and comparative study included 500 eyes of 500 patients who had undergone uncomplicated Manual small incision cataract surgery with posterior chamber intraocular (rigid PMMA Round or Square Edged) lens implantation by a single surgeon between July 2015 to July 2017 with a minimal postoperative follow up period of 1 year (Total study period July 2015 to July 2018). Patients included in the study belonged to age group between 50 years to 70 years with mature or immature senile cataract, nuclear sclerosis grade I, II and III (LOCS III classification) with a minimum postoperative follow up of 1 year.[7] Patients with comorbid conditions like corneal endothelial dystrophy, active inflammation, dense posterior subcapsular cataract, posterior polar cataract, pseudoexfoliation syndrome, glaucoma, ocular trauma, diabetes, intraoperative complications (posterior capsular rent, zonular dialysis and rhexis tear) and retinal pathology were excluded from the study. This study was approved by the Local Institutional Review board and Ethics Committee. Informed consent was taken from all the patients for use of data for scientific publication. Preoperatively patients were evaluated for BCVA, Intraocular Pressure (IOP) measurement by Noncontact Tonometer, slit lamp biomicroscopy for grading of cataract and fundus examination to rule out retinal pathology. Routine Preoperative medical fitness was obtained. Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery was performed for all the patients with the same technique in both the groups. 6 mm superior sclera-corneal tunnel was made with a crescent
blade. Anterior capsulotomy was done by Continuous Curvilinear Capsulorrhexis (CCC) technique. Cases where there was peripheral extension of the rhexis were converted into can-opener technique. Hydrodisection was done and nucleus was prolapsed into the anterior chamber by visco-expression. Thorough irrigation and aspiration was done to avoid cortical remnants. Posterior capsular polishing was done. IOL was implanted in the capsular bag. Viscoelastic was aspirated with Simcoe’s irrigation aspiration cannula. Anterior chamber was formed and side-port was sealed by stromal hydration. Antibiotic, steroid eye ointment was applied and pad and bandage was done. Post cataract surgery treatment regime given was Gatifloxacin 0.3% eye drop and Prednisolone 1% eye drop in tapering dose for 1 month and Mydriatic eye drop BD for 15 days.

Characteristics of 2 IOL models used

**Group I:** Round Edged PMMA IOL, Length: 12.5, Optic diameter 6.00, A constant: 118.2, Modified ‘C’ loop haptic.

**Group II:** Square Edged PMMA IOL, Length: 12.5 mm, 6.00 mm, Aspheric optic, 360 degree square edge on PC side with 0.1 mm projected ridge, modified ‘C’ loop haptic with 0.4 mm step vault.

Patients were followed up on 1st day, 1 month, 6 months and 1 year.

At each follow up visit following parameters were evaluated: Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA), slit lamp examination with dilated pupils for anterior segment evaluation to note cells, flare, left out cortical matter and grade of PCO by Sellman and Lindstrom grading system,[8] intraocular tension by non-contact tonometer and fundus examination to evaluate the posterior segment.

Nd:YAG laser Capsulotomy was done in patients with visually significant PCO and presence of pearls or fibrosis type of PCO inside the IOL edge, reducing the red reflex. After laser treatment patients were prescribed Timolol 0.5% eye drop BD and Nepafenac 0.3% eye drop TDS for 7 days.

Patients were followed up after 7 days and 15 days at which BCVA, final refraction, IOP and fundus examination were done.

**Statistical Analysis:**
Statistical analysis was performed with the MedCalc version number 16.4.3 (Ostend, Belgium). Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation whereas categorical variables as percentage distribution. Normal distribution of data was assessed with Shapiro-Wilk test. Pearson’s Chi square test was used to compare the mean values of categorical variables. Continuous Variables were compared between 2 groups using Unpaired student “t” test and paired student “t” test for within group comparison. Statistical significance was reported at the p<0.05 level.

**Result**
This study included 250 eyes of 250 patients in each group operated between July 2015 to July 2017 with minimum follow up of 1 year. The mean age of patients at the time of cataract surgery was 65.3±8.2 years in group 1 and 66.1±7.8 years in Group 2. Group 1 included 135 male patients and 130 in Group 2. Mean preoperative BCVA in group 1 was 0.85 ± 0.25 and group 2 was 0.83 ± 0.21. The number of patients with immature and mature cataract were 190 and 60 in Group1 whereas 194 and 56 in Group 2 respectively. 200 patients underwent Anterior Capsulotomy by Continuous Curvilinear Capsulorrhexis and 50 patients by can-opener technique in Group1 while 201 patients underwent anterior Capsulotomy by Continuous Curvilinear Capsulorrhexis and 49 by can-opener technique in Group 2. There was no statistically significant difference in baseline characteristics p<0.05 with respect to age, gender, preoperative BCVA, grade of cataract and type of capsulotomies between the two groups. Postoperative mean BCVA at 1 month was 0.08 ± 0.04 in Group1 and 0.09±0.05 in Group 2 which was not statistically significant.

**BCVA at 1 month:** There was no PCO detected in either groups at 1 month.
Postoperative mean BCVA at 6 month was 0.106 ± 0.165 in Group1 and 0.071 ± 0.115 in Group 2 which was statistically significant. (p = 0.002)*

Table 1 BCVA at 6 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCVA LOGMAR</th>
<th>Patients with Round Edged IOL (N=250)</th>
<th>Patients with Square Edged IOL (N= 250)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>160 (64%)</td>
<td>171 (68.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>55 (22%)</td>
<td>70 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>15 (6%)</td>
<td>4 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10 (4%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10 (4%)</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCVA- Best corrected visual acuity Incidence of visually significant PCO (grade 4) at 6 months was 14% in Group1 while 3.5% in Group 2 which was statistically significant (p < 0.001)***

Table 2: PCO at 6 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of PCO</th>
<th>Patients with Round Edged IOL (N= 250)</th>
<th>Patients with Square Edged IOL (N=250)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>179(71.5%)</td>
<td>224(89.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>20 (8.2%)</td>
<td>9 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>16 (6.3%)</td>
<td>8 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>35 (14%)</td>
<td>9 (8.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Postoperative mean BCVA at 12 month was 0.148 ± 0.227in Group1 and 0.088 ± 0.148 in Group 2 which was statistically significant.

Table 3: BCVA at 12 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCVA AT 12 Months Logmar</th>
<th>Patients with Round Edged IOL</th>
<th>Patients with Square Edged IOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>129(60%)</td>
<td>159(66.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>46(21.8%)</td>
<td>67(27.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5(2.2%)</td>
<td>3 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13(5.9%)</td>
<td>6 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13 (6.1%)</td>
<td>4 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9 (4%)</td>
<td>2 (0.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incidence of visually significant PCO (grade 4) at 12 months was 18.2% in Group1 while 6.2 % in Group 2 which was statistically significant.( P < 0.001)***

Table 4: PCO at 12 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of PCO</th>
<th>Patients with Round Edged IOL</th>
<th>Patients with Square Edged IOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>163(75.8%)</td>
<td>222(92.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>8 (3.6%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>5 (5%)</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>39 (18.2%)</td>
<td>15 (6.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean BCVA before Nd:YAG laser Capsulotomy was 0.34+ 0.18 in Group1 and 0.33+ 0.20 in Group2.

Mean BCVA after (2 weeks) Nd:YAG laser Capsulotomy was 0.08 + 0.09 in Group1 and 0.07+ 0.08 in Group2 which was not statistically significant between these two groups (P = 0.78)NS. The incidence of PCO at 6 and 12 months in can opener capsulotomy was statistically significant in both the groups.
**Discussion**

In our study the overall incidence of visually significant PCO was 19.6%. In Group A the incidence was 29.6% and in group B it was 9.6%. Oner et al[9] reported 24.7% PCO rates with PMMA IOL. Nagata et al[10] in their study found grade 3 and grade 4 PCO in 4% of patients with sharp edged PMMA IOL implantation with CCC. In a study conducted by Shailly Raj et al[3] clinically detectable PCO was present in 20% cases of round edged PMMA IOL and 8% cases of square edged IOL. Overall PCO rate was 14% while significant visual loss was seen in 12% cases. Goudinho et al[11] , in their study, showed an overall incidence of PCO with round edged PMMA IOL of 24% at 6 weeks, 31.3% at 6 months and 23.3% at 1 year compared to that with square edged PMMA IOL which was 5.4% at 6 week, 10.8% at 6 month and 7.6% at 1 year. Rekha et al[2] reported 83.6% incidence of PCO, out of which 85.9% were in round edge PMMA IOL group and 81.2% were in square edge PMMA IOL group. 14% patients required Nd:YAG capsulotomy at 6 months in Group A while 3.5%
in Group B. At 12 months 18.25% patients required laser in Group A while 6.2% required it in Group B. Hollick et al\textsuperscript{[12]} reported 14% Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy rate with PMMA IOL after 2 years follow up. Oner et al\textsuperscript{[9]} reported 26.3% Nd:YAG capsulotomy rate with PMMA IOL after a mean follow up of 17.8 months. Nine years Nd:YAG capsulotomy rates in a study undergone by Aravind Haripriya et al\textsuperscript{[13]} were 2% for square edged PMMA IOLs versus 37% for round edged PMMA IOLs while it was 4% for square edged PMMA IOLs versus 10% for square edged Acrylic IOLs.

In this modern era advanced surgical technique like phacoemulsification has almost replaced manual small incision cataract surgery with a wide variety of IOL materials. Still India is a developing country with majority of its population dwelling in rural areas which does not have access to modern and costly equipments and IOLs. Our study is an attempt to find solution to this problem as we have used manual small incision cataract surgery as our technique and implanted PMMA IOL which is cost effective. Apart from this, Square Edged PMMA IOL has a lower incidence of PCO. PCO has to be dealt with Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy which is again costly with a poor access in rural areas.

It has been observed that the design of an IOL contributes more significantly to PCO formation than the IOL material. Nishi et al\textsuperscript{[14]} compared sharp edged hydrophobic acrylic with round edged PMMA IOL and found that there was inhibition of LEC migration in sharp edged optic whereas in the round optic edged LECs could freely migrate into the posterior capsule centre. Gagandeep et al\textsuperscript{[15]} showed that the implantation of square edged PMMA and hydrophobic acrylic lens had similar outcome in pediatric cataract surgery and square edged PMMA lens has a significant cost advantage over hydrophobic acrylic lens. Thus these studies support our finding to prove that sharp optic edge design has a preventive effect on PCO.

Studies on biocompatibility of IOL by Sarbani et al\textsuperscript{[6]} concluded that leucocyte chemotaxis induced by IOLs is higher in acrylic and silicone IOLs as compared to PMMA. So PMMA may be considered safe as an IOL material. Auffarth et al\textsuperscript{[16]} reported that there was no difference in PCO development between three-piece and one-piece acrylic hydrophobic IOLs. In a study done by Rahmi Duman et al\textsuperscript{[17]} eyes with acrylic hydrophyllic IOLs were more likely to develop PCO than those with acrylic hydrophobic IOLs. The lens design (1-piece versus 3-piece and varying haptic angles) did not affect the PCO rate. Therapeutic agents have also been used to tackle PCO. Ismail et al\textsuperscript{[18]} performed an experimental study to note the prevention of secondary cataract by antimitotic drugs Mitomycin C (0.2mg/ml) and 5–fluorouracil (50mg/ml). 5-fluorouracil showed a more potent inhibitory effect. Pandey et al\textsuperscript{[5]} developed the Perfect Capsule Device which permits cytotoxic agents to be delivered selectively to the capsular bag thus selectively targeting residual LECs. Malecaze et al\textsuperscript{[19]} provided a gene therapy approach to target LECs in the capsular bag by inducing therapeutic apoptosis by overexpression of proapoptotic genes.

**Conclusion**

Posterior capsular opacification is the most common postoperative morbidity which needs Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy which is a costly affair and not easily accessible in rural areas. So we conclude that cataract extraction by manual small incision cataract surgery with square edged Polymethylmethacrylate intraocular lens is cost effective for the rural population. PMMA was taken as the material of choice because of it supports LEC adhesiveness to a lesser extent, lower cost and less susceptibility to damage caused by laser. Square edged design improves contact between the posterior capsule and optic thereby reducing migration of lens epithelial cells from the periphery towards the centre of the capsule and reducing incidence of posterior capsular opacification.
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