Functional results of primary hemiarthroplasty in four part fractures and fracture dislocations of proximal humerus: A prospective study
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Abstract
Purpose. To evaluate early functional outcomes of primary hemiarthroplasty for 4-part proximal humeral fractures with or without dislocation.

Patients and Methods: This prospective study was conducted on 20 patients in the Department of Orthopaedics, GMC, Srinagar. 15 men and 5 women aged 40 to 72 (mean, 55.4) years underwent hemiarthroplasty from August 2016 to August 2018, for 4-part proximal humeral fractures with or without dislocation. All the patients reported normal shoulder function prior to injury. The range of shoulder motion and muscle power were evaluated, as were subjective pain and satisfaction (using the UCLA scoring system).

Results: At the final follow-up, the mean maximum abduction was 139.5° and the mean maximum forward flexion was 145.6°. All patients had radiographic union of the tuberosities except two (one had resorption of GT and one had proximal migration of GT, both had poor results). The mean UCLA score was 28; 14 patients attained good-to-excellent scores, whereas 6 attained lower (fair-to-poor) scores. The mean UCLA score was higher in patients aged ≤60 (n=13) than those who were older (n=7) [30 vs 24, p=0.07]. Majority of the patients had complete relief of pain and were satisfied with the procedure.

Keywords: Hemiarthroplasty, Proximal humerus Fractures, Primary, Shoulder, Trauma.

Introduction
The proximal humerus is involved in nearly 5% of all fractures¹. They occur most commonly in elderly population. The incidence of these fractures has increased considerably in the last two decades, probably due to increase in the life expectancy and the associated increase in the incidence of osteoporosis². In patients older than 65 years, about 60% of all proximal humerus fractures happen due to indoor (low energy) trauma³. In younger patients, high energy trauma is the cause and displacement is often more severe. These patients usually have a fracture dislocation⁴. Majority of the proximal humerus fractures are minimally displaced and can be treated non-operatively with good functional results⁵. However unstable displaced fractures often require surgical treatment to avoid painful and dysfunctional malunion⁶. Treatment of these unstable, displaced and comminuted fractures remains a challenge and optimal treatment continues to be controversial. Many different techniques of
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internal fixation have been described including bone sutures, tension band, cerclage wires, kirschner (K) wires, T-plates, intramedullary devices, double tubular plates, semitubular blade plate, the Plant Tan Humerus Fixator plate and the Polaris nail. Various complications have been reported using these techniques including cut-out or back out of the screws and plates, avascular necrosis, non-union, mal-union, nail migration, rotator cuff impairment and impingement syndrome. This results in a painful shoulder with poor function. Secondary prosthetic replacement of the humeral head in these fractures has also yielded unsatisfactory functional results. To overcome the common problems associated with the treatment of these fractures, the AO/ASIF group developed the proximal humeral internal locking system (PHILOS) plate. It aims to preserve the biology of humeral head by minimizing soft tissue dissection and secure an anatomical reduction using multiple screws with angular stability, thereby Improving stability in osteoporotic bone. But it also reportedly caused Avascular necrosis and tuberosity avulsion, non-union and secondary stiffness.

Severely displaced fractures of the proximal part of the humerus have not achieved consistently acceptable results when treated with conservative methods or open reduction and internal fixation techniques. Hemiarthroplasty as a primary treatment alternative has been proposed in most relevant studies; however in some reports poor results were obtained. Hemiarthroplasty is suggested as a treatment option in three and four part fractures with osteoporotic bone with a compression fracture affecting more than 45% of the head, and split fractures when the separated part is greater than 45% of the humeral head.

Patients and Methods
Between August 2016 and August 2018, 15 men and 5 women aged 40 to 72 (mean, 55.4) years underwent hemiarthroplasty for comminuted 4-part proximal humeral fractures with or without dislocation that were non-amenable by internal fixation. 14 of the patients injured the right side. All patients reported normal shoulder function prior to injury. True AP, Scapular V-Y and Velpeau view was done, also CT Scan with 3D reconstruction. Patients with associated ipsilateral upper-limb fractures, neurovascular injury, compound fractures, pathological fractures or similar previous injury were excluded. A modular prosthesis was used in all patients. Its stem was 130-mm long for all patients; 12 received size-10 or -11 stems, and the remaining 8 received size-9 and -8 stems. Its humeral head thickness was one size less or equal to the extracted humeral head.

Surgery was performed by a single surgeon via a delto-pectoral approach. The fractured lesser tuberosity was retracted medially to expose the humeral head. The long head of the biceps tendon was tenotomised at its insertion at the superior glenoid tubercle and then tenodised into the groove for the tendon of the long head of the biceps. Rotator cuff anatomy was visually inspected. Thinning, attenuation, and minor tears of the rotator cuff were encountered in few patients. After thorough medullary lavage, antibiotic impregnated cement was delivered by a cement gun in a retrograde fashion. The prosthesis was inserted, and the tuberosities were placed under tension and repaired with 2-0 Ethibond non-absorbable sutures. The gap in the rotator cuff between the anterior edge of the supraspinatus and the superior edge of the subsapularis was closed with multiple interrupted non-absorbable sutures. Postoperatively, a sling pouch was used. Gravity assisted pendulum exercises and passive motion exercises were allowed on day 1. At week 3, assisted forward elevation and supine external rotation and full elbow range-of-motion exercises were allowed for the next 6 weeks or longer (until...
adequate tuberosity healing). At weeks 6 to 8, stretching and strengthening of the shoulder with the help of a theraband was allowed under supervision. Daily home exercises were then prescribed for 6 to 10 weeks, and activities of daily living (bathing, eating, and personal hygiene) were allowed. Daily home exercises were encouraged for at least 6 months. Patients were followed up at weeks 2 and 6, months 3 and 6, and then at 9 months. The range of shoulder motion and muscle power were evaluated, as were subjective pain and satisfaction (using UCLA scoring).

Figure 1(a) and 1(b) showing fixation of tuberosities using 2-0 ethibond and placed under tension after fixation of prosthesis

Figure 2: Showing post operative immobilization in 20 to 30 degrees of abduction
Results

The results of 20 consecutive patients who underwent primary hemiarthroplasty for four part proximal humeral fracture or fracture dislocation at Department of Orthopaedics, GMC Srinagar August 2016 to August 2018 were evaluated. All patients included in the study were evaluated at a minimum of 9 month follow up after surgery with the help of University of California at Los Angeles Scale (UCLA).

There were 15 male and 5 female patients in the age group of 40 to 72 years. 15 patients had trauma due to fall and 5 had due to road traffic accident (RTA). One patient had associated hip dislocation. Right side which was dominant in all patients was involved in 14 patients. The mean injury-to-surgery interval was 12 (range 7–21) days. Mean operative time was 102 (range, 90–120) minutes. The mean time to radiographic union of the tuberosities was 8.3 (range, 6–15) weeks.

At the final follow-up, the mean maximum abduction was 139.5°, and the mean maximum forward flexion was 145.6°. 80% of the patients had no pain at final follow-up. 80% of the patients were satisfied with the procedure. Muscle strength score was 5 in 60% of patients and 4 in 30% of patients as per UCLA muscle strength score (maximum=5; minimum=0).

The mean UCLA score was 28; 14 attained good-to-excellent scores whereas 6 attained lower (fair-to-poor) scores. Among those who had fair to poor results, one had Greater tuberosity resorption and one had superior migration of greater tuberosity. Four patients aged above 65 years adhered poorly to rehabilitation protocol.

The mean UCLA score was better in patients aged <60 (n=13) than those who were older (n=7) [30 vs. 24, P=0.005].

Complications include superficial wound infection in 2 patients, however it got resolved by oral antibiotics. One patient had GT Resorption and one had superior migration of GT. One patient developed hypertrophic scar, however painless.

Figure 3 (a) showing 4 part fracture dislocation with head split. (b) A well placed prosthesis, fixation of Greater Tuberosity and Restoration of Gothic Arch (Shenton’s line of shoulder). (c) Showing union of Greater Tuberosity.
Figure 4 (a),(b) and (c): showing Range of Motion

Figure 5 (a) Showing resorption of Greater Tuberosity (b) Showing hypertrophic Scar.

Discussion

The vast majority of proximal humeral fractures are non-displaced or minimally displaced and can be effectively treated by conservative methods. Severely displaced fractures of the proximal humerus have not achieved consistently acceptable results when treated with conservative methods or open reduction and internal fixation. Conservative methods cannot reproduce the anatomy, risk of avascular necrosis, articular incongruency and high incidence of poor clinical and functional results led many investigators to search for new treatment modalities.

Open reduction and internal fixation using minimal implants (stainless steel wires, screws, heavy sutures) goes into disfavor because of poor reconstruction, loss of fixation, risk of AVN, need for prolonged immobilization and poor clinical and functional results.

In the last few decades, traumatic events especially RTA have increased. Because of the improved health facilities, life expectancy has also increased. Most of the patients want a painless mobile and stable shoulder joint.

Hemiarthroplasty of the shoulder in the management of severely comminuted fractures of the proximal humerus have the advantage of surgical reconstruction, no risk of AVN, immediate postoperative mobilization and good functional results as documented by many authors. Selection of cases for joint replacement is perhaps the single most important factor in achieving good results. Many patients with injury to shoulder region presents late and this affects the outcome. Earlier attempts of reconstruction, shoulders with nerve injury also affects the outcome. Selection of prosthesis is another important factor for the achievement of good clinical and functional results. Hemiarthroplasty is the best treatment modality for comminuted proximal humeral fractures. It enables good pain relief, but functional limitation may persist. Patient age, gender, injury-to surgery interval, rehabilitation time, implant used, fracture pattern and condition of the rotator cuff affect functional outcome, as does anatomic union of the tuberosities and rotator cuff. Better functional outcomes are
achieved following primary than secondary hemiarthroplasties\textsuperscript{31-33}.
Younger patients are more likely to have an intact rotator cuff and/or good bone stock at the tuberosity, leading to healthier abductor function of the arm and better functional outcomes\textsuperscript{34-38}.
However, this is a technically demanding procedure. For good results, proper version, height and proper fixation of GT with respective to prosthetic head are keys to success\textsuperscript{21}. Union of GT at proper position (6-12mm from superior most part of humeral head) also influences functional outcome.

Limitations of our study included the lack of a control group, the small sample size, and wide age range of the patients. Longer follow-up is needed to comment on implant loosening and wear.

**Conclusion**
Proximal humerus fractures are the most common fracture of the shoulder girdle and are a significant health-care burden, especially in the elderly population. It is crucial to perform a full clinical evaluation, including relevant imaging, in order to treat these injuries appropriately. Both patient factors and injury factors should be closely scrutinized in choosing the need for and the type of surgical intervention. Patient factors include age, quality of bone, and the presence of comorbidities, while injury factors include fracture pattern and timing of injury. In more complex and displaced fractures in osteoporotic bone, hemiarthroplasty is most commonly performed. To ensure clinical success in hemiarthroplasty the tuberosities need to be reconstructible and possess the potential to heal. If not, reverse total shoulder arthroplasty should be considered. The likelihood of a successful outcome can be viewed in descending order with a hemiarthroplasty, with reconstructible tuberosities being most likely, followed by reverse total shoulder arthroplasty without reconstructible tuberosities and, finally a hemiarthroplasty without reconstructible tuberosities.
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