www.jmscr.igmpublication.org Impact Factor (SJIF): 6.379

Index Copernicus Value: 71.58

ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450

crossrefDOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v6i6.64



Original Research Article

Incidence of Microalbuminuria & Retinopathy in Type II Diabetics

Authors

Dr Naveen. P^{1*}, Dr Benjamin Lalrinpuia²

¹MBBS, MD, Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, Mizoram Institute of Medical Education & Research, Falkawn, Aizawl

²MBBS, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, Mizoram Institute of Medical Education & Research, Falkawn, Aizawl

*Corresponding Author

Dr Naveen. P

MBBS, MD Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, Mizoram Institute of Medical Education & Research, Falkawn, Aizawl – 796005, Mizoram, India Mobile – 9620090631, Email: naveenphysiol@gmail.com

Abstract

Background & Objective: Diabetes Mellitus has become a major health problem in India & it has been estimated that the burden of Type 2DM is projected to increase to 87 million by 2030. Diabetic microvascular complications are retinopathy, nephropathy & neuropathy leads to morbidity & mortality. Microalbuminuria is associated with diabetic retinopathy in Type2DM patients and is a reliable marker of retinopathy. The demonstrated relationship between proteinuria and the development of retinopathy and nephropathy in type1 diabetes makes this an important issue to be studied in much more common type2 diabetes too.

Materials & Methods: The study group comprised of diagnosed 60 Type II diabetes mellitus randomly selected patients within the age group of 40-60 years. After taking informed consent from each patient, complete clinical details & systemic examinations were noted. 24 hours urine sample was obtained for detection of microalbuminuria & macroalbuminuria, presence or absence of retinopathy was detected with the help of ophthalmologist among all study group patients.

Statistics: The results obtained were analyzed by chi-square test. p-value<0.05 considered as statistically significant.

Results: Microalbuminuria was present in 23 patients represented a 38.33% rate of occurrence and Retinopathy was present in 16 patients represented 26.67% rate of occurrence. Subjects with microalbuminuria showed significantly higher occurrence of retinopathy as compared to subjects without microlabuminuria (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Microalbuminuria is the best documented predictor of diabetic nephropathy and is associated with retinopathy in Type II diabetic patients. Regular screening with microalbuminuria & retinopathy will prevent from further worsening of diabetes related complications.

Keywords: *Type II diabetes mellitus, microalbuminuria, retinopathy.*

Introduction

The impact of Type 2 DM are considerable: as a lifelong disease, it increases morbidity and mortality and decreases the quality of life. Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus is a non-autoimmune, complex, heterogeneous and polygenic metabolic disease condition in which the body fails to produce enough insulin, characterized by abnormal glucose homeostasis¹. Microvascular complications are predominantly seen in patients in the age group of 40 to 60 years. Microalbuminuria, defined as an elevation of urinary albumin excretion in the range of 30-300 mg/24hr or 20-200 µg/min, is associated with adverse health outcomes inadults². One of the earliest signs of diabetic nephropathy is microalbuminuria which strongly associated with endothelial dysfunction, which increases the risk of nephropathy and other complications in diabetes and microalbuminuria is the best documented predictor of diabetic nephropathy³, which is a major cause of end stage renal disease (ESRD)⁴.

Patients with Type 2DM often have a long asymptomatic period of hyperglycaemia and many have complications at the time ofdiagnosis⁵. Diabetic Nephropathy is a common consequence long standing diabetes mellitus. pathogenesis appears to involve interactions between genetic and environmental factors⁶. The patho-physiologic basis for elevated urinary albumin excretion entails the binding of glucose to proteins resulting in excessive protein glycosylation with the build up of advancedglycated end products. This leads to deposition of advancedglycated end products on the glomerulus resulting in renal and glomerular hypertrophy, mesangial matrix accumulation and thickening of glomerular basement membrane. This abnormality permits the leakage of low molecular weight proteins [albumin]⁷. This is the stage microalbuminuria (Incipient Nephropathy) which could be reversible with good glycemic control. However, with persistent microalbuminuria, further leakage of protein in urine will result in overt diabetic nephropathy.

Diabetic retinopathy is a major cause of blindness in population of working age. Diabetes is one of the leading causes of blindness in the industrialized countries^{8,9} where the chances of losing the sight are about 25 times higher than normal population. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy is about 34% in Indian studies¹⁰. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in Type II DM is 28% after 5years and 77% after 15years of onset of DM¹¹. In India retinopathy was detected in 52% of patients with NIDDM of over 25years duration¹². Early detection and treatment of diabetic retinopathy can save the vision in majority of the affected patients¹³.

Aim of the Study

The present study aimed at evaluating the incidence & prevelance of microalbuminuria & retinopathy in type2 diabetes mellitus.

Materials & Methods

The study group comprised of diagnosed 60 Type II diabetes mellitus randomly selected patients within the age group of 40 - 60 years, irrespective of duration of diabetes and sex taken randomly from the outpatient and admitted patients of Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College (SDUMC), Kolar. Ethical clearance was obtained from Institution. After taking informed consent from each patient, complete clinical details & systemic examinations were noted. 24 hours urine sample is collected in a sterilized container for detection of microalbuminuria (detected by Micral test Roche Diagnostics Ltd, dipstick method in urine)& macroalbuminuriawas ruled out coagulation test on patient's urine, presence or absence of retinopathy was detected among all study group patients. Retinopathy was detected by direct ophthalmoscopy, indirect ophthalmoscopy & three mirror slit lamp fundoscopy with the help of ophthalmologist.

Macroalbuminuria positive subjects, hypertensives, smokers, alcoholics, subjects on drugs affecting renal function and retinal vascularity were excluded.

Results

In our study microalbuminuria was present in 23 subjects represented a 38.33% rate of occurrence in the study group. Retinopathy was present in 16 subjects represented a 26.67% rate of occurrence in the diabetic population (Table No. 1 & Table No. 2).

Among 60 Type II diabetics, 23 subjects exhibited microalbuminuria and remaining 37 were subjects without microalbuminuria. 4 patients had

retinopathy subjects among without microalbuminuria (n=37).Retinopathy was present in 12 patients among subjects with microalbuminuria (n=23). On comparison of occurrence of retinopathy in subjects with microalbuminuria and subjects without microalbuminuria, subjects with the microalbuminuria showed significantly higher occurrence of retinopathy as compared to subjects without microalbuminuria (p<0.05) (Table No. 3).

Table No. 1: Occurrence of microalbuminuria & retinopathy among study group

STUDY GROUP	Subjects with microalbuminuria	Subjects with retinopathy
60 TYPE II DM	23	16

Table No. 2: Percentage distribution of microalbuminuria & retinopathy among study group

STUDY GROUP	Microalbuminuria	Retinopathy	
	percentage	percentage	
	38.33%	26.67%	
60 TYPE II DM	(n = 23)	(n = 16)	

Table No. 3: Comparison of occurrence of retinopathy in subjects with microalbuminuria and without microalbuminuria using Chi-square test

GROUPS	Retinopathy Frequency	χ^2	p Value
Subjects with microalbuminuria	12	4	0.05
(n=23) n = 23		4	< 0.05
Subjects without microalbuminuria (n=37)	4		

^{*} p Value<0.05 is statistically significant.

Discussion

Many studies have shown that microalbuminuria is a marker of wide spread microvascular damage in Type II DM^{14,15}. The association between overt proteinuria and proliferative retinopathy has been demonstrated and there is increasing evidence that microalbuminuria could be a marker of early retinopathy^{15,16}. diabetic Microalbuminuria assessed by simple dipstick test may be used as a surrogate marker of both diabetic retinopathy and incipient diabetic nephropathy. Mogensen (1984) has concluded that microalbuminuria in patients with Type II DM is predictive of clinical proteinuria and increased mortality^{17,18}. Diabetes & its complications cause a heavy economic burden for diabetic patients themselves, their families and society^{19,20}. In our study microalbuminuria was present in 23 subjects drawn from study group; this represented a 38.33% rate of occurrence in the diabetic population. Various studies have reported the prevalence of microalbuminuria ranging from 25% to 35%²¹⁻²⁴. The slightly higher percentage of microalbuminuria in our study can be attributed to smaller sample population could also be a contributory factor.

In our study retinopathy was present in 16 subjects (26.67%). Numerous earlier studies reported incidence of retinopathy in Type II diabetes between 16 to 53.4% ²⁵⁻²⁸. Our study showed the prevalence rate of 26.67% which is somewhere in median range. It is thus in agreement with most of the earlier reports.

our study out of 60 cases, 37 In were normoalbuminuric (subjects without microalbuminuria). Out of these 4 subjects exhibited retinopathy. There were 23 subjects with microalbuminuria, out of which 12 retinopathy. This shows that most of the patients with retinopthy had microalbuminuria, while only a small fraction of normoalbuminuric subjects presented with retinopathy. Statistically also, the occurrence of retinopathy in patients with microalbuminuria was significantly higher than normoalbuminuric subjects (p<0.05). observation coincides with findings of other studies²². The findings suggest that presence of microalbuminuria is a strong predisposing factor for the development of retinopathy.

There are several studies which have shown microalbuminuria is a strong predictor of development of retinopathy^{29,30}. In 1996 Jaisankar et al showed, the prevalence of retinopathy was higher in microalbuminuric patients ie 76% when compared to normoalbuminuric patients ie 38.6% 31. Barnett and Dallinger (1985) have shown that half of the patients with microalbuminuria exhibit retinopathy, although those without nephropathy may also have this complication³². However, Krepmt and Peltier (1987) have shown over 50% of patients with retinopathy, regardless of severity, did not show microalbuminuria. Even in their study, patients with microalbuminuria, showed a significantly higher percentage(75%) of retinopathy³³. Erasmus et al (1992) have shown that in 113 patients suffering from NIDDM, the incidence rate of microalbuminuria was as high as 54% among males and 59% among females. Prevalence of retinopathy and hypertension was 16% and 41% respectively. They concluded that microalbuminuria may not predict retinopathy and occurs independently from either glycemic control or elevated blood pressure levels²⁶. The results of our study are not in corroboration of their findings as we have not considered duration of illness.

From the foregoing, it is logical to conclude that there is concurrent existence of microalbuminuria and retinopathy inmajority of patients with diabetes.

Conclusion

Microalbuminuria is the best documented predictor of diabetic nephropathy and is associated with retinopathy in Type II diabetic patients. Regular screening with microalbuminuria & retinopathy every 2 - 3 months will prevent from worsening diabetes further of related complications. Diabetic patients who have microalbuminuria should be under periodic ophthalmological surveillance for prevention of retinopathy. Our results obtained from rural Indian population were similar to the studies done earlier in western urban population.

Conflict of Interest: None

References

- 1. Report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2003;26 (Suppl 1):5-20.
- 2. Ward KM. Renal function (microalbuminuria). Anal. Chem, 1995; 67:383-391.
- 3. Gaede P, Vedel P, Parving HH, Pedersen O: Intensified multifactorial intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria: the Steno type 2 randomised study. Lancet, 1999; 353:617-622.
- 4. Held PJ, Port FK, Webb RL,et al: The United States Renal Data System's 1991 annual data report: an introduction, Am J Kidney Dis, 1991;18:1-16.
- 5. Satchell S, Tooke JF. What is the mechanism of microalbuminuria in diabetes: a role for the glomerular endothelium? Diabetologia 2008;51:714–725.
- Powers A C. Diabetes Mellitus. In: Jameson JL. (editor) Harrison's Endocrinology. 1st ed. New York: McGraw-Hill;2006.303–304.

- Mason RM, Wahab NA. Extracellular matrix metabolism in diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2003;14:1358-1373.
- 8. Kahn HA, Hiller R. Blindness caused by diabetic retinopathy. Am J Ophthalmol, 1974; 78:58.
- 9. Ghalfour IM, Allan D, Foulds WS. Common causes of blindness and visual handicap in the west of Scotland. Br J Ophthalmol, 1983; 67:209.
- 10. Rema M et al: Prevalence of retinopathy in NIDDM at diabetes centre Southern India. Diabetes research and clinical practice, 1996; 34:29-36.
- 11. Wisconsin Epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy III. Arch ophthalmol, 1984; 102:527-32.
- 12. Mohan V, Vijayaprabha R, Rema M: Vascular complications in long term south Indian NIDDM of over 25 years duration. Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 1996; 31:133.
- 13. Porta M et al: Screening for diabetic retinopathy in Europe. Diabetic med, 1998; 197-98.
- 14. Migdalis IN et al: Diabetic retinopathy and urinary albumin excretion rate in type 2 diabetes patients. Journal of Medicine, 1996; 27:341-350.
- 15. Dasmahapatra A et al: Incipient and overt Diabetic nephropathy in Americans with NIDDM. Diabetes Care, 1994; 17(4):197-304.
- 16. Lunetta M, Infantone L, Calogero A, Infantone E. Increased urinary albumin excretion is a marker of risk for retinopathy and coronary heart disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 1998; 40:45–51.
- 17. Mogensen CE. Microalbuminuria predicts clinical proteinuria and early mortality in maturity-onset diabetes. N.Engl. J. Med, 1984; 310:356-360.

- 18. Damsgaard EM, et al: Microalbuminuria as predictor of increased mortality in elderly people. BMJ, 1990; 300; 297-300.
- 19. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 2011;34(Suppl 1):62-69.
- 20. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C. Current scenario of diabetes in India. J Diabetes 2009;1(1):18-28.
- 21. Patel KL, Mhetras SB, Varthakavi PK, Merchant PC, Nihalani KD: "Microalbuminuria in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus". JAPI, 1999; 47(5): 596-601.
- 22. Taneja V, Sircar S, Kansra U, Lamba IMS: "Microalbuminuria in normotensive non-insulin dependent diabetic subjects associations and predictions". J Diab Assoc India, 1997; 37(2):30-36.
- 23. Jadhav UM, Kadam NN: "Association of microalbuminuria with carotid Intima-Media thickness and coronary artery disease- A cross sectional study in Western India". JAPI, 2002; 50:1124-1129.
- 24. Sobngwi E, Mbanya J, Moukouri EN, Ngu KB: Microalbuminuria and retinopathy in a diabetic population of Cameroon. Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 1999; 44:191-196.
- 25. Wirta O, Pasternack A, Mustonen J, Laippala P, Lahde Y. Retinopathy is independently related to microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Nephrol, 1999; 51:329–334.
- 26. Erasmus RT, Oyeyinka G, Arije A. Microalbuminuria in non-insulindependent (Type II) Nigerian diabetics: relation to glycaemic control, blood pressure and retinopathy. Postgrad Med J, 1992; 68:638–42.
- 27. Eggersten R et al: Value of screening for retinopathy and microalbuminuria in patients with Type II diabetes in primary health care. Schand-J-Prim-Health Care, 1993; 11(2):135-140.

- 28. Liu DP, Molyneaux L, Chua E et al: Retinopathy in a Chinese population with Type 2 diabetes: factors affecting the presence of this complication at diagnosis of diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 2002; 56:125-131.
- 29. Mogensen CE, et al: The diabetic kidney; From hyperfiltration and microalbuminuria to end stage renal failure; Medical clinics of North America, 1988; 72(6):1465-92.
- 30. Vigstrup J, et al: Proliferative diabetic retinopathy at risk patients identified by early detection of microalbuminuria. Acta-Ophthalmol-Copenh, 1985; 63(5):530-534.
- 31. Jaisankar K et al. Prevalence of microalbuminuria in diabetes mellitus and its correlation with various complications. J Diab Assoc India, 1996; 36(3):72-80.
- 32. Barnett AH et al: Microalbuminuria and diabetic retinopathy. Lancet, 1985; 53-54.
- 33. Krempt M et al: Microalbuminuria is not a marker of diabetic retinopathy. Presse-Med, 1987; 16(24):1191-1193.