



A Comparative Study of Results of Transfixation Screw and Loop Fixation for Coracoclavicular Stabilisation in Acromioclavicular Joint Dislocation

Authors

Dr Rahul Mandal¹, Dr Atul Uttamrao Tat²

¹RMO-CT (Tutor), Dept. of Orthopaedics, Medical College, Kolkata, ²Associate consultant, Revival Bone & Joint hospital

Corresponding Author

Dr Rahul Mandal

Email: rm6668@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: *Transfixation screw and loop fixation with mersilene tape and endobutton both are well established surgical methods for management of acute AC joint injuries.*

Materials and Methods: *Patients meeting inclusion criteria between June,2013 to June,2015 were operated and followed up subsequently.*

Result: *Out of 26 patients 1 was lost to follow up and 1 excluded for not meeting inclusion criteria. Eventually, our sample size became 24 out of which Transfixation group and Loop fixation group compromised 12 patients each.*

Conclusion: *All of our patients reported satisfactory outcomes regardless the procedure adopted. Younger age group and non-menisal injury group shows better outcome.*

Keywords: *Acute AC joint injuries, Transfixation screw, Loop fixation with mersilene tape and endobutton.*

Introduction

The first studies on acromioclavicular (AC) injuries repair were by Hippocrates, Galen, and Paul of Aegina¹. They recommended conservative management with compressive bandages to keep the clavicle in a normal position. Sir Samuel Cooper in 1861, used a loop of silver wire to approximate the clavicle and acromion process was credited for first time surgical intervention for AC joint injuries¹. Mostly, the injury occurs when a direct force is applied to the upper part of the acromion, when, during the fall, the arm is in adduction. Less commonly, the injury occurs when a force is applied indirectly like, for

instance, when a person falls on a stretched-out arm^{2,3}. AC joint injuries mostly affect athletes, especially those who engage in contact sports (football, rugby, judo, hockey)⁴. Also, men are five to ten times more likely to be affected than women. These injuries are very common and cause up to 40% of all shoulder injuries and up to 3% of all sports injuries⁵. Rockwood classified AC joint injuries into six types^{6,7}. In general, it is commonly accepted that lower degree AC joint injuries (I–III degree) are treated conservatively, while higher degree AC joint injuries (IV–VI degree) are treated surgically. There is, however, lack of consensus on the treatment of type III


which was managed by both conservatively and operatively⁸. There is a number of different surgical approaches to fix the AC joint, such as the use of Kirschner wires⁹, cerclage wires, transfixation screws¹⁰, different types of plates¹¹, together with the use of sutures¹², ligament transpositions¹³, or various transplants (fascia lata, hamstring tendons, etc.)^{14,15}. The newest techniques include arthroscopic fixations^{16,17}, the use of which requires endobutton, anchor with or without tendon grafts. The goal of this study was to compare results of the surgical approaches to acute injuries of the AC joint by Transfixation screw (TS) technique and Loop fixation(LF)with mersilene tape & endobutton and their merits and demerits.

Materials and Methods

This study conducted in department of orthopaedics in R G Kar Medical College & Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal after taking written & informed consent from patients & ethical clearance. Twenty-six acute AC joint injuries were operated between June ,2013 and June,2015. The inclusion criteria were age more than 18 years and Rockwood Type III, IV, V, VI injuries. The exclusion criteria were ipsilateral fracture in humerus, scapula & elbow, open injuries, Rockwood Type I, II injuries and associated neurovascular injury. One patient excluded as his age was 14years and another patient was lost during follow up. Therefore, 24 patients were available for evaluation. Every alternate case was treated by Transfixation screw and Loop fixation. Among 24 cases there were 11 type III, 7type IV, 4 type V and 1 type VI injuries.

Pre-Operative Planning

Clinically suspected cases of AC joint injury further examined by radiologically. Anteroposterior view and axillary lateral view and stress view are taken. Cases meeting both inclusion and exclusion criterion are evaluated further and DASH score and Constant score was.



Radiological Photograph of a Case Having AC Joint Injury



Clinical Photograph Showing Prominence of Lateral end of Clavicle Indicating AC Joint Dislocation

Operative Procedure

During surgery patient is positioned in a beach chair position. The approach to coracoclavicular joint performed through a sagittal skin incision which is 5 to 6 cm long on average and approximately 2 cm medial to acromioclavicular joint. After proper surgical exposure, the preparation of acromioclavicular joint and lateral clavicle is performed. If not already torn, the fascia joining the deltoid to the trapezius was divided at right angles to the skin incision. The clavicle was exposed subperiosteally at the level of the coracoid and the base of the coracoid was

exposed between two small retractors. After careful removal of the soft tissues of the sub clavicular surface, the repositioning of the joint is performed by depressing the clavicle and elevating the arm by an assistant.

Transfixation Screw Technique

A guide wire for 4 mm CCS is passed from lateral end of clavicle to base of coracoid process under c-arm guidance maintaining reduction of AC joint. Then 3.2 mm cannulated drill bit is passed over guide wire and finally a 4mm CCS with washer is applied over guide wire and after adequate compression by screw final reduction is checked.¹⁰



Intraoperative Image and Post Operative X-Ray of CCS with Washer in Transfixation Screw Technique

Loop fixation by Mersilene tape and Endobutton

Now, with a 2.8 mm drill bit 2 holes are made at distal end of clavicle approximately 15 mm apart, first drill hole being 30 mm away and second drill hole being 45 mm away from distal end of clavicle¹³. A right angle forcep is used to hook the coracoid, and mersilene tape is passed beneath the coracoid with the help of right angle forceps. Now, mersilene tape was passed within the drill holes made on distal end of clavicle from inferior to superior surface and then two ends of mersilene tape was tied over endobutton after reducing ac joint dislocation in a figure of 8 manner.



Intraoperative Image and Post Operative X-Ray of Mersilene Tape with Endobutton in Loop Fixation Technique

Then torn ligaments were repaired. Deltotrapezius fascia repaired and wound closed in layer.

Post Operative Protocol

Shoulder joint will be immobilized postoperatively in arm pouch for 3 weeks. Active ROM exercises done postoperatively in the recommended way. Suture removal done after 2 weeks.

Patients will be followed up at 4 weeks, 3 months & 12 months after surgery.

Results & Analysis

The Transfixation group comprised of 12 patients and the Loop fixation group comprised of 12 patients. There were no intra-operative or post-operative complications apart from occasional pain, which was managed accordingly with analgesics. In one case of Transfixation group it was noticed AC joint dislocated due to cut out of screw. Revision surgery done by screw fixation and no further complication occurred. Follow up evaluation was done at intervals of 1 month (4 weeks), 6 months and 12 months. Distribution of patients according to age group are shown in Table 1. Among 24 patients only 2 were female. All the patients were evaluated by DASH score and Constant Shoulder score both pre-operatively and post-operatively and illustrated in Table 2 & 3. No patients. Average cost of implant in TS group was 700/- and average cost of implant in LF group was 3500/- (p<0.001, significant, Fisher Exact Test). Mean operation time was 26.25 minutes and standard deviation was 3.19. In TS group, mean operation time was 23.00 minutes and standard deviation was 3.19. In LS group, mean operation time was 29.50 and standard deviation was 4.70. (p=0.001, significant, Student t test)

Table 1: Age distribution of patients studied

Age in years	MOIC		Total
	Group LF	Group TS	
<20	0(0%)	1(8.3%)	1(4.2%)
20-30	8(66.7%)	5(41.7%)	13(54.2%)
31-40	1(8.3%)	3(25%)	4(16.7%)
41-50	1(8.3%)	2(16.7%)	3(12.5%)
51-60	2(16.7%)	1(8.3%)	3(12.5%)
Total	12(100%)	12(100%)	24(100%)
Mean ± SD	33.33±12.14	31.08±10.49	32.21±11.15

Samples are age matched with P=0.632

Table 2: DASH score in two groups of patients studied

DASH	MOIC		Total	P value
	Group LF	Group TS		
Pre-op	89.08±2.27	90.08±2.54	89.58±2.41	0.321
Post op	6.42±2.31	6.42±3.45	6.42±2.87	1.000
Difference	82.67	83.67	86.17	-
P value	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	-

Between group: Student t test (Unpaired)

Within group: Student t test (Paired)

Table 3: Constant Shoulder score in two groups of patients studied

Constant Shoulder score	MOIC		Total	P value
	Group LF	Group TS		
Pre-op	59.67±3.52	59.67±3.11	59.67±3.25	1.000
Post op	90.92±3.70	90.33±2.77	90.63±3.21	0.667
Difference	31.25	30.67	30.95	-
P value	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	-

Between group: Student t test (Unpaired)

Within group: Student t test (Paired)

Discussion

All of our patients reported satisfactory outcomes regardless of the procedure adopted. Younger age and patient with type III ac joint dislocation correlated with better outcome in both type of fixation, though the results of both the group of fixations are comparable. Patients with type V and VI acromioclavicular injuries had poorer outcome. Gender had no bearing on results. Both the procedures were found to be safe and reliable in producing the desired results. Loop fixation was slightly expensive than transfixation but results of both the group are comparable. Operating time was more in loop fixation group. Our study was limited in aspects like small sample size, short duration of follow up and variable patient characteristics. Keeping these in mind we conclude that both TF & LF offer satisfactory outcome for acromioclavicular joint dislocation.

Reference

1. Adams FL. The Genuine Works of Hippocrates. Vol 1 and 2. New York, NY: William Wood; 1886.

2. Beim GM. Acromioclavicular joint injuries. *J Athl Train* 2000; 35(3): 2617.
3. Flatow EL. The biomechanics of the acromioclavicular, sternoclavicular, and scapulothoracic joints. *Instr Course Lect* 1993; 42: 23745.
4. Shin SJ, Yun YH, Yoo JD. Coracoclavicular ligament reconstruction for acromioclavicular dislocation using 2 suture anchors and coracoacromial ligament transfer. *Am J Sports Med* 2009; 37(2): 34651.
5. Dias JJ, Gregg PJ. Acromioclavicular joint injuries in sport. Recommendations for treatment. *Sports Med* 1991; 11(2): 12532.
6. Rockwood CA Jr. Subluxations and dislocations about the shoulder. Injuries of the acromioclavicular joint. In: Rockwood CA Jr, Green DP, editors. *Fractures in adults*. Philadelphia, PA: JB Lippincott; 1984. p. 860–910.
7. Wirth MA, Rockwood CA Jr. Subluxations and dislocations about the glenohumeral joint. Rockwood CA, Bucholz R, Heckman JD, Green DP, editors. *Rockwood and Green's fractures in adults*. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001. p. 673–91.
8. Press J, Zuckerman JD, Gallagher M, et al. Treatment of grade III acromioclavicular separations. Operative versus nonoperative management. *Bull Hosp Jt Dis* 1997;56:77-83.
9. Leidel BA, Braunstein V, Kirchhoff C, Pilotto S, Mutschler W, Biberthaler P. Consistency of long-term outcome of acute Rockwoodgrade III acromioclavicular joint separations after Kwiretransfixation. *J Trauma* 2009; 66(6): 1666–71.
10. Bosworth BM. Complete acromioclavicular dislocation. *N Engl J Med* 1949; 241(6): 2215.
11. Faraj AA, Ketzer B: The use of a hookplate in the management of acromioclavicular injuries: Report of ten cases. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2001;67:448-451.
12. Weaver JK, Dunn HK: Treatment of acromioclavicular injuries especially complete acromioclavicular separation. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1972; 54: 1187– 94.
13. Mazzocca AD, Santangelo SA, Johnson ST, Rios CG, Dumonski ML, Arciero RA: A biomechanical evaluation of an anatomical coracoclavicular ligamentreconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2006; 34:236-246.
14. Bloom FA. Wire fixation in acromioclavicular dislocation. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1945; 27: 273–6.
15. Leidel BA, Braunstein V, Kirchhoff C, Pilotto S, Mutschler W, Biberthaler P. Consistency of long-term outcome of acute Rockwoodgrade III acromioclavicular joint separations after Kwiretransfixation. *J Trauma* 2009; 66(6): 1666–71.
16. Wolf EM, Pennington WT. Arthroscopic reconstruction for acromioclavicular joint dislocation. *Arthroscopy* 2001;17(5):558-563.
17. Lafosse L, Baier GP, Leuzinger J: Arthroscopic treatment of acute and chronic acromioclavicular joint dislocation. *Arthroscopy* 2005;21:1017.