Effectiveness of Continuous Passive Motion in Hemiarthroplasty of Hip
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Abstract
Background: Continuous passive motion (CPM) is often used in the early post-operative rehabilitation of patients after hip surgery.
Aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of new CPM device after hemiarthroplasty in terms of clinical scores and functional recovery.
Materials & Methods: A total of 26 patients undergoing hemiarthroplasty were assigned into two groups. The experimental group received continuous passive motion and conventional physiotherapy and control group received only conventional physiotherapy for seven days as tolerated.
Results: Average difference in active range of hip abduction was 25 ±5 degrees and Oxford hip score difference was 5.7 ± 3.5 in control group that received conventional physiotherapy. On the other hand, average difference in active range of hip abduction was 40 ±10 degrees and Oxford hip score difference was 8.8 ± 3.6 in experimental group that received an additional intervention in form of continuous passive motion.
Conclusion: Continuous passive motion machine can be used as an adjunct to conventional physiotherapy after hemiarthroplasty.
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Introduction
Anatomically, the hip joint is one of the true ball-and-socket joints of the body. The hip socket is called the acetabulum and forms a deep cup that surrounds the ball of the upper thigh bone, known as the femoral head. The thick muscles of the buttck at the back and the thick muscles of the thigh in the front surround the hip.1,2,3,4 Hemiarthroplasty is an operation or surgical procedure done at hip. Hemi means half and arthroplasty means joint replacement. Hemi replacement involves only half of the replacement i.e. only the ball portion of the hip joint is replaced.5,6,7 Common indications for hemiarthroplasty are intracapsular fracture of head of femur, rheumatoid arthritis, Paget’s disease, fracture secondary to malignancy or cancer etc.8 It is contraindicated in
infection and very young patient. Some of the complications of this surgery are anesthesia complications, thrombophlebitis, infection, dislocation, loosening, continued pain etc.

It is believed that postoperative physiotherapy and rehabilitation plays an important role in the functional recovery of the patients undergoing this surgery. Ideal physiotherapy treatment duration is usually around 30 minutes and is limited due to various factors like symptoms, number of patients, fatigue etc. However, passive hip movements with the help of continuous passive motion device can be done with a device that work on electricity and is believed to be beneficial in these kinds of patients during early post operative rehabilitation of patients after hip surgery.

Continuous passive motion (CPM) is widely used as part of rehabilitation following hip and knee surgeries and there is evidence that its use following hemiarthroplasty can lead to a reduction in both hospital stay and pain killer analgesic requirements and an increase in early range of motion. In many centers, the use of CPM machines is limited by the cost of the equipment and hence we designed a low cost new CPM device that can allow functional movement in an arc for hip movements specially abduction which is believed to be one of the key movements. So the objective of the present study was to evaluate the effectiveness of new CPM device after hemiarthroplasty in terms of clinical scores and functional recovery.

Materials & Methods
The study design used was quasi experimental study that was carried out with local ethics committee approval, to assess the added value of new CPM device immediately after the hip surgery in form of hemiarthroplasty using function and mobility as the main outcomes.

Participants: Participants scheduled for unilateral hemiarthroplasty surgery between the period of 5 March 2017 to 30 Jan 2018 at a private orthopedic hospital located at Sangamner, Maharashtra, India-422 608. Participants were considered eligible if they were willing to participate in the study, age below 70 years, unilateral hip problem, able to communicate, understand Marathi and Medical fitness. Participants were excluded if they had co-morbidity influencing mobility like claudication, previous hip surgery, operated upon by minimally invasive surgery and general debility.

Participants were allocated to either experimental group or control group on alternate basis with the help of physiotherapists on duty.

Interventions: The experimental group received continuous passive motion for 10 to 30 minutes twice daily as per the tolerance along with conventional physiotherapy in form of active and passive therapeutic exercises and controlled group received only conventional physiotherapy for seven days as tolerated. Outcome measures used for this study were assessment of range of motion with goniometer and Oxford hip score.

Equipment: This was designed by the principle investigator for this research purpose. It had sub components like carriage unit, support stand, track, motor and body plate with velcro straps as shown in figure1. Special feature included its ability to permit continuous passive movement in an arc that will allow abduction or outward movement from the midline of the body at hip joint in an arc. (Figure1)
Procedure: Before the surgery, participants were instructed about the conventional physiotherapy and the use of CPM device (experimental group only) so as to get acquainted and their baseline outcome measures were noted. Immediately after the surgery, when it was ensured the patient is stable, conventional physiotherapy and CPM or only conventional physiotherapy was started. All patients received a standardized conventional physiotherapy treatment program for almost 20 minutes and additional up to 30 minutes of CPM (in control group) use daily for two times as tolerated over the period of seven days. After the completion of seven days of interventions, once again the outcome measures were assessed for the further statistical analysis.

Results
A total of 28 participants undergoing hemiarthroplasty were screened for this study, of which 26 were eligible as per the inclusion criteria. Their demographics (table 1) like the mean age of participants were 65.31±4.6. The average age of females was 64±6.4 and for males was 65±3.5. The gender ratio was 12:14. The mean body mass index of the participants was 28.5±3.1. In participants treated with conventional physiotherapy (control group), pre intervention baseline values (table 2 and figure 2) were active range of hip abduction 5±5 passive range of hip abduction 10±5.5, Oxford hip score 30±6.8 and post intervention scores were active range of hip abduction 30±5 passive range of hip abduction 40±5, Oxford hip score 35.7±5.2. In participants treated with continuous passive motion and conventional physiotherapy (experimental group), pre intervention baseline values were active range of hip abduction 5±5 passive range of hip abduction 10±5.5, Oxford hip score 30±7.2 and post intervention scores were active range of hip abduction 40±5 passive range of hip abduction 45±5, Oxford hip score. 38.8±3.9. Thus the average difference in active range of hip abduction in control group was 25 and in experimental group it was 35. Statistical analysis with open Epi software showed a significant statistical difference with t=5.2915, df 26 and p=0.00001560. Oxford hip score difference in control group was 5.7±6.5 and 8.8±5.6 and this was statistically significant with t=2.00672, df=26, p=0.0336.

Table 1: Demographics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female participants</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male participants</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>65.31±4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hip involvement</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hip involvement</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
<td>28.5±3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPROM Abduction on day 1</td>
<td>10±5.5</td>
<td>10 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM Abduction after day 7</td>
<td>40±5</td>
<td>40±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AROM Abduction on day 1</td>
<td>5±5</td>
<td>5±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AROM Abduction after day 7</td>
<td>30±5</td>
<td>40±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford hip score on day 1</td>
<td>30±6.8</td>
<td>30±7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford hip score on day 7</td>
<td>35.7±5.2</td>
<td>38.8±3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
Rehabilitation of hip after surgery like hemiarthroplasty is important since it helps the individual to get back to higher level of independence in terms of activities of daily living.
Results of this study showed a significant difference in Oxford hip score, passive hip abduction and active hip abduction range of motion in participants who received continuous passive motion along with conventional physiotherapy as compared to only conventional physiotherapy. This could be due to various factors like, relief of pain, facilitation of movements, reduced spasm, enhanced nutrition, increased metabolic rate, stimulation of tissue remodeling, acceleration of tissue healing following surgery.\textsuperscript{17,18,19} Since there is hardly any study related that has investigated the effectiveness of CPM after hemiarthroplasty, it was difficult to interpret and compare our results with other investigators but we found that our results are similar to Basso DM, Knapp L, Colwell CW, Morris BA and Coutts RD who studied the effectiveness of CPM in knee arthroplasty patients.\textsuperscript{20,21,22}

Some of the limitations of this study were small sample size, short duration of intervention, participants and investigators were not blinded to the interventions and we did not try to investigate the cause and effect relationship since it was thought to be beyond the scope of the current study. However, similar study can be done with randomization, use of different investigators for outcome assessments and interventions, larger sample size and longer intervention time.

**Conclusion**

New cost effective continuous passive motion device can be used as an adjunct to physiotherapy in the treatment of hemiarthroplasty to enhance active and passive range of hip abduction and activities of daily living.
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