Diagnostic Evaluation of Ocular Surface Disease Index Questionnaire for Dry Eye Diseases
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Abstract
Purpose: To find out whether subjective evaluation of dry eye with OSDI questionnaire correlates with clinical tests of dry eye
Method: 111 patients between 30 & 60 years of age, coming to OPD of a tertiary care centre with symptoms of dry eye were taken for the study. The study subjects were made to fill up the OSDI questionnaire and the tear film of same subjects were analysed with schirmer tests & TBUT. People who had previous ocular surgeries or having acute or chronic ocular surface abnormalities were excluded. Tools used are validated OSDI questionnaire, schirmer strips, fluorescein strips and slit lamp. Data were entered in Microsoft excel data sheets and analysed using SPSS 16.
Results: Out of 73 patients who had dry eye (low schirmer and TBUT values) 71 patients (97.26%) were having OSDI scores suggestive of dry eye. Among those 71 patients, 27 patients had severe dry eye scores (37 %), 22 had moderate scores (30.1 %) and 22 had mild scores (30.1 %). Out of 38 patients who did not have dry eye, 20 patients had OSDI scores suggestive of dry eye and among those 20; 9(23.7 %), 9(23.7%) & 2(5.3 %) had mild, moderate & severe OSDI scores respectively.
Conclusion: The sensitivity of OSDI Questionnaire as per this study is 97.26% in detecting dry eye. But the specificity of test is only 47.37%. The questionnaire can be used as screening tool for dry eye diseases.
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Introduction
Dry eye disease has definitely become an emerging ophthalmological problem affecting 5 to 30 % of general population[1],[2]. It affects the quality of patient’s life making even the routine activities difficult. The symptoms of dry eye include mild ocular discomfort, burning sensation, foreign body sensation, blurring of vision and contact lens intolerance. In spite of its high prevalence in society and its negative impact on patient’s visual function the disease is often unrecognized and under diagnosed[1]. Here lies the importance of diagnosing the dry eye diseases.
As of now there is no single ‘gold standard’ test for diagnosing dry eye disease. Most clinicians prefer a combination of both symptoms and clinical tests for diagnosing and treating dry eye diseases. OSDI questionnaire comprises of 12 questions, which assess the frequency of dry eye symptoms. The total score ranges from 0 to 100 in which one can grade the severity of dry eye disease to normal, mild moderate and severe dry eye. OSDI questionnaire has turned out to be a good tool for diagnosing DED. This study analyses the effectiveness of OSDI questionnaire in diagnosing DED.

Materials and Methods
This is a cross sectional study conducted in a tertiary eye care centre in Kerala and all the participants were patients who attended outpatient department with symptoms of dry eye. Patients with age 30 to 60 years were included and those with acute eye infections, extensive corneal or conjunctival pathology, lid abnormality and those who have undergone eye surgery in the past were excluded. Patients with abnormal values for both schirmer tests and tear film breakup time were considered as dry eye cases and negative for both as normal. Thus we studied 73 dry eye cases and 38 non-dry eye cases (total of 111 patients).

Detailed informed consent was taken from all the patients before study. Ethical clearance was obtained before the commencement of the study. The following data were collected from the study subjects – age, sex, systemic illness and vision assessment was done. All patients underwent preliminary slit lamp examination. The study subjects were asked to fill up the OSDI questionnaire and tear film was analysed using schirmer tests and tear film breakup time. The data were entered into Microsoft excel data sheet and detailed analyses were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. Positive predictive value, negative predictive value, sensitivity and specificity of the test were calculated.

Results
Out of 111 patients 93 patients were females (83.8 %) and 18 patients (16.2%) were males.

Fig 1: Gender distribution of study population

Fig 2: Age group distribution

44.1% of patients who participated in our study belonged to the age group of 30 to 40 years, 22.5% belonged to 41 to 50 years of age and the rest were in 50 to 60 years of age.
The most common symptom for which these patients sought medical care was persistent foreign body sensation. Other significant symptom was itching.

Among the dry eye patients 64% had associated systemic illnesses of which connective tissue disease was the commonest (27.4%). Out of 111 patients 73 were having dry eye, which was concluded after getting low schirmer and TBUT values. Among them 71 patients had OSDI scores suggestive of dry eye. Likewise 38 patients who showed high schirmer and TBUT values (non dry eye group) 18 patients showed OSDI scores which excluded dry eye and 20 patients had values suggestive of dry eyes.

Sensitivity of the test is 97.26%
Specificity of the test is 47.37%.
Positive predictive value of OSDI questionnaire is 78.02%
Negative predictive value is 90 %.
Positive likelihood ratio is 1.8
Negative likelihood ratio is 0.06

Discussion
Our study population were female predominant (83.4%), which is in accordance with other peer-reviewed literature\(^5\)-\(^7\). Prevalence of dry eye syndrome is two to three times more in females when compared to males. This disparity among genders is best explained by differential hormonal
actions. Testosterone has a protective action on lacrimal glands, whilst estrogen is detrimental. The mean age of patients with dry eye who participated in our study was 43.12 years with largest number of patients belonging to 30 to 40 years of age. But most of the studies show slightly higher mean age of participants.[8] A study by Cathy Mcathy showed a mean age of 59.2 years.[9] The most common single systemic disease associated with dry eye disease was connective tissue disorders including autoimmune diseases. Thyroid diseases also constituted a significant proportion of systemic illnesses in our study. A study by Ji Min Ahl et al reports thyroid diseases as significant risk factor[7]. Another study by Ismailova et al reported 65 % patients with TED had dry eyes[10]. There were even studies which described higher OSDI scores in thyroid patients[11]-[12]. Other systemic diseases associated with the dry eye symptoms were diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidaemia and similarly the study by Erder et al also says dry eyes can be associated with metabolic syndrome[13]-[15]. The sensitivity of the questionnaire was found to be 97.2% by this study, but the specificity is 47.37%. Accordingly, from our study it can be safely concluded that OSDI questionnaire can very well be used for screening dry eye diseases. Study by Asiedu et al also gave substance to the same and furthermore corroborated by many other studies [16]-[18]. The ease with which patients can respond to this questionnaire makes it more useful and relevant. Hence situations wherein ophthalmic surgeons are in short supply, even ophthalmologically untrained health care providers can screen for DED in patients at risk.

Conclusion
Dry eye diseases is one of the most frequently encountered ocular morbidity in ophthalmic practices. OSDI is a reliable tool for screening patients having dry eye. OSDI is an inimitable instrument to assess both the frequency of symptoms as well as visual functioning.
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