Diagnostic Usefullness of Trucut Biopsy in Diagnosis of Palpable Breast Lesions
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Abstract
Introduction: Tru-cut biopsy is now one of the useful means of obtaining early and accurate histopathological diagnosis. It is easy and can be performed on an outpatient basis. It also avoids unnecessary excisional biopsy. It provides sufficient amount of tissue for pathologist to make an accurate histological diagnosis with its type, grade and degree of differentiation in majority of cases

Aim: To study usefullness of trucut biopsy of palpable breast lesions in patients coming to a tertiary care hospital

Objectives: 1. To correlate findings of trucut biopsy with histopathology of follow up surgical procedures.
2. To find sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and overall diagnostic accuracy of trucut biopsy.

Material and Methods: A prospective comparative study of two years conducted in Department of Pathology KHMRC between June 2015 to June 2017. The study includes 106 patients of palpable breast lump. Trucut biopsy was performed using a Tru-Cut gun BARD MAX-CORE with an 18-gauge needle by means of 4 successive insertions with different angulations of the needle into the lesion’s core.

Result: The study includes 106 patients, Trucut Biopsy findings correlated with the histopathological findings in 103 of 106 cases (97.2%), which included 29 of 30 (96.7%) of the benign lesions and 74 of 76 (97.4%) of the malignant lesions.

Conclusion: Tru-cut biopsy is an easy, cost-effective, safe and accurate in diagnosing breast lesions and in detecting suspicious malignant breast lumps It is also superior to open biopsy in terms of safety, hospital stay, costs, post operative pain and complications.

Introduction
Tru-cut biopsy of palpable breast lesions can provide all the reliable information to guide the surgeon and the oncologist to plan an ideal therapeutic strategy in surgical decision making. And permits the eventual use of neoadjuvant therapy. On the other hand excisional biopsy would provide the pathologist with the whole breast lesion and allows him or her to examine its histopathological type, grade and degree of differentiation of the carcinoma if present. Also receptor status for estrogen, progesterone and tyrosine kinas Her2 (C erb b2) can be assessed. However, it mostly requires general anesthesia.

Aim
To study usefullness of trucut biopsy of palpable breast lesions in patients coming to a tertiary care hospital
Objective
1. To correlate findings of trucut biopsy with histopathology of follow up surgical procedures.
2. To find sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and overall diagnostic accuracy of trucut biopsy.

Material and Methods
A prospective comparative study of two years conducted in Department of Pathology KHMRC. between June 2015 to June 2017. The study includes 106 patients of palpable breast lump. Trucut biopsy was performed using a Tru-Cut gun BARD MAX-CORE with an 18-gauge needle by means of 4 successive insertions with different angulations of the needle into the lesion’s core.

Result and Discussion
In the present study, majority of the patients (30.2%) were in the age group of 41-50 years followed by 23.6% in the age group of 51-60 years, 19.8% in the age group of 31-40 years, 14.2% in the age group of 21-30 years and 12.2% in the age group of >60 years. The most common clinical presentation in our study was a lump (90.7%) which was not associated with any other complaint (pain/ulcer) The left breast was affected in 52 (49%) patients while the right breast was affected in 53 (50%) patients. Bilateral involvement was observed in 1 (1%) patient. In maximum cases (60.4%), the lump measured between 3-5cms while in minimum (5.7%) cases, it measured <3 cms.

Table-1 Distribution of breast lesions on Trucut biopsy according to different CNB categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure-2 Distribution of breast lesions on Trucut biopsy
Figure-3 Histopathological diagnosis of benign breast lesions

Figure-4 Photomicrograph of needle core biopsy showing Fibroadenoma (H& E stain,100x)

Figure-5,6 The needle core biopsy shows features of non specific Granulomatous Mastitis (H & Estain, 40x&400x)
Figure 7: Histopathological Diagnosis of Malignant Breast Lesions

Figure 8, 9 Needle Core Biopsy showing Invasive Breast Carcinoma NST (H&E stain, 100x & 400x)

Figure 10 The needle core biopsy shows malignant spindle cells (High Grade) (H&E stain, 100x)

Correlation of Trucut Biopsy and Histopathological findings
In the present study, Trucut Biopsy findings correlated with the histopathological findings in 103 of 106 cases (97.2%), which included 29 of 30 (96.7%) of the benign lesions and 74 of 76 (97.4%) of the malignant lesions. 1 case was wrongly diagnosed as malignant while one case each was falsely diagnosed as benign and suspicious on Trucut Biopsy findings. The association was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05).
The Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive Predictive Value (PPV) and Negative Predictive Value (NPV) of Trucut Biopsy were 97.37%, 96.67%, 98.67% and 93.55% respectively. Accuracy of Trucut Biopsy was 97.16%.

**Discussion**

In the present study, majority of the patients (30.2%) were in the age group of 41-50 years followed by 23.6% in the age group of 51-60 years, 19.8% in the age group of 31-40 years, 14.2% in the age group of 21-30 years and 12.2% in the age group of >60 years.

Singh S et al\(^5\) did a retrospective study of 104 cases in which 2 patients were Males and rest 102 cases were Females. Age of the patients ranged from 15-65 years with mean age of 33 yrs.

It was observed in the present study that out of 106 patients, 15 (14.1%) patients had non neoplastic lesion while 90 (85%) patients had neoplastic lesion Out of 90 neoplastic lesion, 15 (16.6%) were benign neoplasm i.e. Fibroadenoma and 75 (83.3%) were malignant. And one case (0.9%) was reported as inconclusive/ Suspicious for malignancy.

Similar to present study Samantray S et al\(^6\) also reported 747 cases (83.6%) out of 892 Core biopsies to be diagnosed as malignant Neoplasm. Ajitha MB et al\(^7\), in a prospective study on fine needle aspiration cytology, trucut biopsy and final histopathological examination in breast lumps found of a total 70 breast lump aspirations, 36 breast lumps were benign and 34 breast lumps were malignant lumps. Of 36 breast lumps with benign lesions, 24 (66.6%) were married.

Rikabi et al\(^8\) also reported benign neoplasm to be predominant lesion in their study.

Since the place of our study is tertiary care centre where patient presents with disease in advanced stage, which is the reason for discordance with other studies.

In the present study, Trucut Biopsy findings correlated with the histopathological findings in 103 of 106 cases (97.2%), which included 29 of 30 (96.7%) of the benign lesions and 74 of 76 (97.4%) of the malignant lesions. 1 case was wrongly diagnosed as malignant while one case each was falsely diagnosed as benign and suspicious on Trucut Biopsy findings. The association was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05).

Rikabi A et al\(^8\) in a cross-sectional study reported final histopathological diagnosis of the TCB specimens, there were 97 (35.3%) true-positive cases, 173 (62.9%) true-negative cases, 5 (1.8%) false-negative cases and no false positive cases.

Dimitrov DD et al\(^9\) in a retrospective clinical study reported Histopathology after tru-cut biopsies showed 74 (93.7%) malignant lesions and 5 benign lesions (6.3%). Histopathology of the
post surgical specimen showed 75 (94.9%) malignant lesions and 4 benign lesions (5.1%).

Comparison of TCB to postsurgical histopathology revealed the following results: 74 true positive cases (93.7%), zero false positive cases (0%), one false negative case (1.3%) and 4 true negative cases (5.1%).

The one case falsely diagnosed as benign on trucut biopsy came out to be mucinous carcinoma on histopathology.

Weaver MG et al. In his study. Mucinous lesion of breast: A pathologic continuum. Reported that The diagnosis of mucinous carcinoma in core needle biopsy is uncomplicated if neoplastic epithelial cells are seen within the mucin. The diagnosis of mucocoele like lesion with a frequently minimal epithelial component is more difficult. It is reasonable to view mucocoele like lesions as a part of spectrum from benign through to mucinous carcinoma with a significant risk of underdiagnosis from the limited material present on core needle biopsy. Excision of mucocoele like lesions is therefore recommended.

Rosen PP in his study Mucocoele like tumors of the breast also reported that Mucocoele like lesions must be considered in the differential diagnosis of mucinous carcinoma. 11

Table 22 Comparison of Studies Conducted to determine the usefulness of Trucut Biopsy in the breast masses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>Diagnostic Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shastri RK et al</td>
<td>95.45%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>98.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimitrov et al</td>
<td>98.67%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97.30%</td>
<td>98.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajitha MB et al</td>
<td>97.14%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikabi A et al</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vega A et al</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bukhari et al</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homesh et al</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
<td>96.67%</td>
<td>98.67%</td>
<td>93.55%</td>
<td>97.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>97.37%</td>
<td>96.67%</td>
<td>98.67%</td>
<td>93.55%</td>
<td>97.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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