Accuracy of Formulae for Secondary Intraocular Lens Power Calculations in Aphakic Patients
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Introduction

In general, there are two types of intraocular lens (IOL) calculation formulas: axial length vergence formulas and refractive vergence formulas.¹ IOL formulas such as Hoffer Q,² Holladay I,³ SRK/T,⁴ and SRK II⁵,⁶ require the measurement of axial length, keratometry, and an IOL constant. Alternately, refractive vergence formulas do not require axial length measurements, instead, they require the preoperative refraction and manufacturer's IOL constant to calculate IOL power. Refractive vergence formulas assume that the patient has clear media and that no power, e.g. lens, will be removed.¹

Refractive vergence formulas are suitable for phakic IOLs, secondary IOLs for aphakia as in this study, and piggyback lenses for pseudophakia.

Refractive vergence formulas include Lanchulev,⁷ Holladay R,² Khan⁹ and Mackool.¹⁰ This study compares mean of the difference between predicted post operative refraction values and actual post operative refraction values of Axial length vergence formula versus refractive vergence formula.

Methods

This is a prospective, non randomized study, evaluating 60 eyes of 60 patients aged 60 years and above who underwent secondary iol implantation at Sankara Eye Hospital, Anand, Gujarat over a period of 6 months(April 2018 to September 2018). 30 patients were fitted with IOL powers calculated using SRK-T formula and 30 with Mackool’s formula.

Patients aged 60 years and above who were aphakic post- cataract extraction underwent posterior iris claw lens implantation. Only patients left aphakic due to some intraoperative complications without any pre-existing ocular co-morbidities during the 1ˢᵗ surgery were included. Aphakia accompanied with pre-existing ocular conditions, traumatic aphakia were not included in this study.

Axial length was measured with contact A-scan (BIOMEDIX ECHORULE 2) and its built in calculator was used to calculate IOL power with SRK/T.

IOL power was calculated using the formula for MACKOOL.

\[ \text{IOL-Mackool} = 1.75 \times \text{Aphakic refraction} + (A-118.84) \]

Where A is the A-constant.

Keratometry was done using TOPCON KR 8900
auto refractometer. Since posterior Iris claw lens was implanted, the calculated power by SRK-T was reduced by 0.50D in each case as the built in calculator did not have A constant for the PMMA iris claw lens. No such deduction was needed in the case of Mackool’s. Predicted refraction for the corresponding IOL was calculated and the absolute difference between the values of predicted and actual post operative refraction on the 1st post-op day was calculated for each case. Any difference of more than 0.50D was considered clinically significant. The mean of this difference was calculated for both the formulas, and p value of the difference between mean of SRK-T formula and Mackool’s formula was calculated using unpaired t-test.

Results
The study group comprised of 60 patients divided into 2 groups (SRK-T and Mackool) of 30 patients each. The mean age of the patients was 73.56. The mean for group 1(SRK-T) was 0.76D and that for group 2 (Mackool) was 0.62D. There was a significant difference (P=0.0376) between the mean for Mackool’s formula (0.76D) and mean for SRK/T(0.62D).

Discussion
In the current study, axial length vergence formula showed statistically significant and clinically important difference as compared to the refractive vergence formula. Similar difference of 0.8 D was found in the study of Abdel-Hafez.11 This outcome may be due to the lower precision of preoperative AR compared to the precision of axial length measurements and keratometry measurements.

The influences of IOL material might be negligible in the current study. This was likely because the variation was too small in lens material and design indicated by the A-constant. Thus, in case axial length measurement is not possible when refraction is available, Mackool’s formula could be used.
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