www.jmscr.igmpublication.org Impact Factor (SJIF): 6.379 Index Copernicus Value: 79.54

ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450

crossrefDOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v6i10.131



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research

An Official Publication Of IGM Publication

A Correlation between Anterior Nasal Packing and Periorbital Ecchymosis in patients undergoing Rhinoplasty procedure

Authors

Dr Supriya Kokane Patil, Dr Tanush Vijay Shah, Dr Kalpana Rajiv Kumar Dr Jubina PP, Dr Parag Patil

Corresponding Author **Dr Tanush Vijay Shah**

Introduction

Rhinoplasty is a surgical procedure done for correcting and reconstructing the form, restoring the function and aesthetically enhancing the nose. Rhinoplasty in particular, is one of the most commonly performed cosmetic surgeries and as any surgical procedure, it has some welldocumented side effects and complications¹. Patient dissatisfaction rates range between 10 and 25 % and is highest in the early post-op period. This can be partially attributed to nasal obstruction, facial edema, periorbital swelling and ecchymosis. Multiple studies have attempted to address periorbital ecchymosis by modifying surgical techniques, using anti-inflammatory agents such as steroids, using cold compresses, etc^2 .

There is a wide practice of doing anterior nasal packing following every nasal surgery by most of the surgeons worldwide. This study is aimed to find out correlation between anterior nasal packing and patient developing periorbital ecchymosis following lateral osteotomies in rhinoplasty procedures.

Objectives

- 1. To find out correlation between anterior nasal packing and periorbital ecchymosis following lateral osteotomies in Rhinoplasty procedure.
- 2. To compare our results with other authors and previous studies.

Methods

Study Design: Prospective Study

Sample Size: 30 Patients

Study Period: September 2017 to August 2018

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Age group between 20-40 years.
- 2. High to low or low to low osteotomies
- 3. Patients wanting cosmetic correction.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients having traumatic nasal deformity.
- 2. Patient wanting nasal tip and alar correction.
- 3. Patient undergoing concomitant facial surgeries.
- 4. Patient having bleeding disorders.

This was a prospective study conducted in the period between September 2017 and august 2018. Patients who underwent rhinoplasty by a single

JMSCR Vol||06||Issue||10||Page 781-783||October

surgeon were enrolled in this study. Only those patients whose surgery required bilateral lateral osteotomies were included. In all cases, bilateral single lowtolow or high to low lateral osteotomies were performed endo -nasally using a 2 mm guarded sharp micro osteotome, 5 min after infiltrating the entry site with 0.5 ml of lignocaine 2 % with adrenaline 1:100,000. A nasal pack was applied to 50% of cases which was chosen randomly. The nasal pack was removed 24 h post operatively. All patients were evaluated for periorbital ecchymosis on post-op day 1, 4, and 7. None of the patients underwent medial, intermediate, or root osteotomies. Informed consent was taken from all patients. All surgeries were performed under general anaesthesia. During the operation, patients were placed supine, with 30° elevation of head. Post-operatively all patients had their heads elevated to 30° for most of the post-operative and intermittent day application of ice packs was done.

On the 1st post-operative day, the operating surgeon checked for the formation of periorbital oedema (grade 3 and grade 4 according to 'Gurlek' scale were considered).

Data was entered and analysed using a computer based statistical package program (SPSS) V.24 (IBM). Chi-square was used to assess periorbital ecchymosis packed and unpacked side. For all statistical purposes, a *p* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Thirty patients were included in the study, 16 male patients and 14 female patient (table). In half of the patients anterior nasal packing was done intraoperatively. Periorbital ecchymosis reported on 24 h, 4th and 7th day post-operatively are shown in Table 1,2 and 3. No major complications were reported in any of the patients. The reported postoperative complications consisted mainly of pain, some degree of nasal obstruction, periorbital swelling and ecchymosis None of the cases has developed septal perforation, toxic shock

syndrome or septal deviation as a complication of nasal packing.

There was a barely any significance of developing periorbital ecchymosis on the first day (table 1) and the seventh day (table 3) after the procedure (Chi-square; p < 0.04). However, on the fourth day post-operatively, significant difference in development of periorbital ecchymosis is seen between the two groups (table 2). On the 4th day after the operation, most of the patients having anterior nasal done packing had periorbital ecchymosis. (14 out 15)

Table 1

		nasal packing		
			Not	
		Done	Done	Total
day 1 periorbi	tal p	5	2	7
ecchymosis	a	10	13	23
Total		15	15	30

Nasal Packing is not statistically significantly associated with day 1 periorbital ecchymosis as P(0.39) > 0.05 with Chi-Square test

Table 2

		nasal packing		
		Done	Not Done	Total
day 4 PE	Present	14	8	22
	Absent	1	7	8
Total		15	15	30

Nasal Packing is statistically significantly associated with day 4 periorbital ecchymosis as P(0.035) < 0.05 with Chi-Square test

Table 3

		nasal packing		
		Done	Not Done	Total
day 7 PE	p	2	0	2
	a	13	15	28
Total		15	15	30

Nasal Packing is not statistically significantly associated with day 7 periorbital ecchymosis as P(0.483) > 0.05 with Chi-Square test

Table 4

		nasal packing		
		Done	Not Done	Total
SEX	Male	8	6	14
	Female	7	9	16
Total		15	15	30

Nasal Packing is not statistically significantly associated with sex of person as P(0.715) > 0.05 with Chi-Square test

JMSCR Vol||06||Issue||10||Page 781-783||October

Discussion

Periorbital swelling and ecchymosis adversely influenses patient satisfaction after rhinoplasty as it increases the "down time" after the surgery, complete resolution may take up to one month. Lateral osteotomies are first to be blamed for the ecchymosis due to injury to the angular vessels crossing the osteotomy site and from bleeding through the fractured bone edges. Blood then trickles into the periorbital area and collects under the thin, lax skin of eyelids. Exacerbation ofoedema and ecchymosis occurs due to high osteotomy placement, vigorous rasping of nasal bones and using excessively large or blunt osteotomes. Many technical measures can be instituted to decrease the incidence and severity of post-operative oedema and ecchymosis; these include the use of sharp small osteotomes³, preservation of the periosteal attachment, cold compresses, and the possible use of a looped drainage tube. In addition, administration of perioperative steroidsand remifentanil controlled hypotension may further contribute to lessen periorbital oedema and ecchymosis. Ineffective measures, despite being widely practiced, include infiltration with lidocaine-adrenaline combination⁴ and administration of arnica.

In this study correlation between nasal pack and development of periorbital application was ecchymosis studied. Despite unnecessary for most cases, nearly one third of rhinoplasty surgeons continue to regularly employ packing following rhinoplasty. Kara et al. reported subconjunctival ecchymosis following rhinoplasty in almost 20 % of their patients, whom were packed bilaterally for a couple of days. In their series, ecchymosis resolved in 11.2 days on average compared with 7 days on the unpacked side in the majority of our patients.

This study provides a reason to limit the use of anterior nasal packs after the operation unless necessary. There are more chances of developing periorbital ecchymosis. It is due to the accumulation of more blood in the osteotomy site

instead of it being drained into the nasal cavity, forcing it into the skin and soft tissues of the periorbital region⁵.

A potential limitation of this study is that it only included the patients operated by a single surgeon and that only one personassessed the outcome. These can be overcome by enrolling the data from multiple surgeons, utilizing different osteotomy techniques, and additional observers to asses.

Conclusion

Periorbital ecchymosis after rhinoplasty is influenced by many factors. This study suggests that nasal packing after the operation plays a major role in the development of ecchymosis and it should be only used when necessary.

Acknowledgements

The contributions of Dr Parag Patil and Dr Vijay B Shah in drafting this manuscript and statistical analysis are appreciated.

References

- 1. Holt G, ET G, McLarey D. Postoperative sequelae and complications of rhinoplasty.

 Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 1987;20
 (4):853–76
- 2. Al-Arfaj A, Al-Qattan M, Al-Harethy S, Al-Zahrani K. Effect of periosteum elevation on periorbital ecchymosis in rhinoplasty. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2009; 62(11):e538–9.
- 3. Erişir F, Tahamiler R. Lateral osteotomies in rhinoplasty: a safer and less traumatic method. Aesthet Surg J. 2008;28(5):518–20
- 4. Zojajy M, Alani N, Abdi-Soofi S, Akbari-Delmaghani N. Does injection of lidocaine with 1/100000 epinephrine immediately before lateral osteotomy reduce post-operative periorbital edema and ecchymosis in rhinoplasty? Novelty Biomedicine. 2014;2 (2):59–63
- 5. Cil Y. Loop drainage tube for reduction of edema and ecchymosis after rhinop-lasty. Aesthet Plast Surg. 2008;32 (6):937–8.