A Clinico-Pathological Analysis of Causes of Abnormal Uterine Bleeding According to PALM –COEIN Classification: Study based in a Rural Teaching Hospital of Central India
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Aim
To study various causes of AUB and classify them according to new FIGO system of PALM COEIN classification.

Introduction
Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (AUB) is a significant debilitating clinical condition and affects 14-25% of women of reproductive age and up to 50% of perimenopausal women.¹⁻³

Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) may be acute or chronic and is defined as bleeding from the uterine corpus that is abnormal in regularity, volume, frequency, or duration and occurs in the absence of pregnancy.⁴⁻⁵

Acute AUB is defined as bleeding in a non-pregnant woman of reproductive age of sufficient quantity to require immediate intervention to prevent further loss.⁶⁻⁷ Chronic AUB is defined as bleeding from the uterine corpus that is abnormal in duration, volume, and/or frequency and has been present for most of the previous 6 months.⁶

Historical literature of AUB reveals no universally accepted method for classifying AUB, which hampered the investigation and categorization of possible etiologies of AUB.⁸ The classic terminology that describes AUB include terms that are not related to the underlying etiology (e.g., menorrhagia, polymenorrhea etc.). In order to standardize definitions, nomenclature and the possible underlying etiologies of AUB, and in an effort to create a universally accepted system of nomenclature to describe uterine bleeding abnormalities in reproductive-aged women, an alternative classification system polyp, adenomyosis, leiomyoma, malignancy and hyperplasia, coagulopathy, ovulatory dysfunction, endometrial, iatrogenic, and not yet classified, known by the acronym PALM-COEIN, was redefined by International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology (FIGO) in 2009 and published in 2011 by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics and adopted by the
American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. The PALM-COEIN system classifies uterine bleeding abnormalities by bleeding pattern and etiology. The overarching term AUB is paired with descriptive terms to denote bleeding patterns associated with AUB, such as heavy menstrual bleeding (instead of menorrhagia) and intermenstrual bleeding (instead of metrorrhagia). The term dysfunctional uterine bleeding - often used synonymously with AUB in the literature to indicate AUB for which there was no systemic or locally definable structural cause - is not part of the PALM-COEIN system, and discontinuation of its use is recommended.

By using this system, the possibility of contribution of more than one pathology in an individual symptomatic woman and also lack of contribution of a coincidental asymptomatic pathology toward AUB due to other causes can be recognized.

**Materials and Methods**

This study was carried out at a rural tertiary teaching hospital of central India, in department of Obstetrics and gynaecology, CCM medical college, kachandur, Durg, Chhatisgarh. 300 women with complaints of abnormal uterine bleeding were included in the study

All women between 20-70 yrs who presented to gynae OPD between January 2015 to December 2015 with abnormal uterine bleeding were included in study excluding pregnant women and those with overt malignancy of lower genital tract. patients were thoroughly evaluated with detailed history, examination, necessary blood investigations and sonography. followed by either D & C or hysteroscopy. histopathological exam of endometrium or hysterectomy specimen were reviewed and all women categorised according to the new FIGO –PAL M –COEIN classification system.

Patients with polyps on per speculum exam or sonography or hysteroscopy were categorised as AUB –P .those with either adenomyosis or leiomyoma on sonography or hysterectomy specimen were categorised as AUB-A or AUB –L .many patients had both these so they were counted in both categories .bleeding due to endometrial hyperplasia or endometrial carcinoma diagnosed on hysteroscopic biopsy or hysterectomy were included in AUB –M.

These were all organic or structural causes of AUB. Other non structural causes were categorised in COEIN. AUB –C for bleeding due to coagulation disorders .irregular bleeding due to ovulatory dysfunction where no structural causes identified and where endometrial biopsy was either proliferative were included in AUB-O. Endometrial causes, AUB-E included cases with endometritis and iatrogenic bleeding. AUB- I. was due to irregular hormonal intake, Some cases identified that did not fit into any of the above category like placental polyp, adhesions, etc were grouped in AUB-N.

Data for 300 women grouped in AUB-N. Data for 300 women tabulated and analysed.

**Observations and Results**

**Table 1-Age distribution of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Total no</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20yrs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29 yrs</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39yrs</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49yrs</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yrs</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60 yrs</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Majority ie 70 % of patients in our study were in 40-50 yr age group ,14% in 30-40 yr age group, 11.3% in 50-60 yr age group .2.65 % and 2 % were in > 60 and <30 yr age group .none of patients were below 20 in our study population .

**Table 2-distribution according to symptoms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>symptoms</th>
<th>Total no</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy menstrual bleeding</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular heavy bleeding</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermenstrual bleeding</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent bleeding</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal bleeding</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrequent or scanty bleeding</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Majority of patients complained of regular heavy bleeding as chief complaint (43.3%).
20% each had irregular heavy bleeding and frequent bleeding respectively.
14% had postmenopausal bleeding, 1.65% had intermenstrual spotting and 1% had scanty bleeding.
Thus menorrhagia was the chief complaint in our study population followed by menometrorrhagia and polymenorrhoea.

Table 3: Distribution of study population according to PALM –COEIN classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total no of patients</th>
<th>% (n=300)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyp (P)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenomyosis (A)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiomyoma (L)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy (M)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulopathy (C)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulatory dysfunction (O)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial (E)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic (I)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not yet classified (N)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to PALM –COEIN classification, structural causes accounted for majority of patients in our study, with 220 patients with structural causes. out of that about 50 patients had multiple causes like adenomyosis with fibroid or adenomyosis with hyperplasia.

Most common cause of AUB in our study was liomyoma in 105 (35%) patients. endometrial hyperplasia and malignancy accounted for 65 (21.6%) cases while 60 (20%) patients had adenomyosis as cause of AUB. And 40(13.3%) patients had polyps either endometrial or endocervical as cause of AUB.
About 80 (26.6%) patients had non structural causes of AUB in our study. 60 (20%) patients had ovulatory dysfunction, 12 (4%) had endometrial cause in form of endometritis. 2 patients had coagulopathy, 3 had irregular hormone intake (iatrogenic) as the cause while in 3 cases the cause does not fit into any of the above category hence was included in Not yet classified category (placental polyp, adhesions)

Table 4: Distribution of causes of AUB in various age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>causes</th>
<th>20-30yrs</th>
<th>30-40yrs</th>
<th>40-50yrs</th>
<th>50-60yrs</th>
<th>&gt;60yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyp (P)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenomyosis (A)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiomyoma (L)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy (M)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulopathy (C)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulatory dysfunction (O)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial (E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic (I)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not yet classified (N)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the causes of AUB were stratified according to age group, leiomyoma was the main cause in 20-30 yr age group while polyp and hyperplasia of endometrium as other causes.
In 30-40 yr age group ovulatory dysfunction was main cause followed by leiomyomas and polyp.
Hyperplastic endometrium was seen in some cases.
In 40-50 yr age group leiomyomas and adenomyosis were main causes while hyperplasia and malignancy also majorly contributed to the cause of AUB.
In >60 yr age group malignancy was the main cause and polyp in few cases.

Discussion
PALM –COEIN classification enables us to classify patients according to various structural and non structural causes .it is universally applied in medical practice.
accepted classification system with management strategies outlined according to specific causes thus it enables proper management of the cause of AUB. Similar study was undertaken by Khrouf et al. [11], Munro et al. [6], Madhra et al. [12], Bahamondes and Ali [13].

According to the study done by Qureshi and Yusuf [14] in 2013, maximum patients of AUB were classified under leiomyoma category, the number being 25% followed by ovulatory dysfunction (24%).

Whereas, in a study done by Gouri et al. [15] in May 2016, maximum number of patients were categorized under ovulatory dysfunction (27%) followed by leiomyoma (24.67%).

In our study leiomyoma was the main cause followed by hyperplasias and malignancy, adenomyosis and ovulatory dysfunction.

Polyps were cause of AUB in 13.3% cases in our study. Another study by Doraiswamy et al also showed that polyps accounted for 11.2% of cases of AUB.

In our study adenomyosis was found in 20% cases. Other authors also reported adenomyosis as imp cause. In study of Quershi et al 15% cases of AUB had adenomyosis which was one of the common causes of AUB between 35-50 yr age group as found in our study also. According to Taran et al, 70 to 80% of women undergoing hysterectomy for adenomyosis are in their fourth and fifth decade of life and are multiparous. In our study also majority of patients with adenomyosis were in 4th and 5th decade of life.

Coagulopathy was found in only 2 patients in our study (0.6%) similar to Quereshi et al (0.3%) and Betha K et al. (0.8%) [17].

Ovulatory dysfunction was the 2nd most common cause of AUB (13.6%) in the betha et al study and Arnold et al study had 17.2% of cases. [18]

There are limited studies showing causes of AUB according to PAM COEIN classification system as it is relatively new method. More studies are needed to understand its clinical utility.

However in our present study we could understand the major causes of AUB grouped in to structural and non-structural causes. According to a universally accepted definition and hence management was more focussed and tailored to the specific cause instead of just hysterectomy for all causes.

**Conclusion**

In our present study we found that structural causes contributed more to the cause of AUB in 30-50 yr age group patients. PALM COEIN classification system helps clinicians to understand various causes of AUB and know the precise pathology so that management can be tailored according to cause and also various treatment strategies can be compared.
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