A Prospective Randomized Study to Compare and Evaluate King Vision Video Laryngoscope and McCoy Laryngoscope as Intubating Devices in Adult Patients
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ABSTRACT
Background: McCoy laryngoscope and many video laryngoscopes are being increasingly used and have a definitive advantage over conventional laryngoscopes in management of anticipated and unanticipated difficult airways. The aim of our study was to compare relative effectiveness of McCoy laryngoscope with king vision video laryngoscope in patients undergoing oral tracheal intubation.

Methods: sixty patients of American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade I and II, aged 20 – 60 years, posted for elective surgery under general anaesthesia were randomly allocated into Group KVL (King vision video laryngoscope group, n=30) and Group MCC (McCoy group, n=30). The two groups were compared for demographic data, number of intubation attempts, ease of intubation, time to intubation, haemodynamic parameters and any airway trauma.

Results: The demographic data and ASA status was comparable in both the groups. Group KVL had a significantly more first attempts to intubation compared to Group MCC (p < 0.05). The incidence of ease of intubation grade I with King vision video laryngoscope was 93% while with McCoy laryngoscope was 87%. The intubation time was less with King vision video laryngoscope as compared to McCoy laryngoscope. There was a transient increase in heart rate and blood pressure after intubation in both the groups which returned back to the baseline within 10 minutes, but rise were less in KVL group. Less airway trauma was noted in the KVL group as compared to MCC groups.

Conclusion: King vision video laryngoscope resulted in better first attempt intubation and less time of intubation than McCoy laryngoscope. Patients in KVL group were more haemodynamically stable and less airway trauma were observed.
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INTRODUCTION
Airway catastrophe still remains one of the major reasons for mortality and morbidity in anaesthetic practice; so various attempts have been made to modify the laryngoscopes from the conventional Macintosh laryngoscope to the digital video laryngoscope. Airway problem was the cause of
over half of anaesthesia-related cardiac arrests in a recent review of adult and paediatric perioperative cardiac arrests[1]. Conventional rigid direct laryngoscope aids tracheal intubation in 98.1% of cases (Rose)[2]. However, even the most experienced anaesthesiologist may encounter difficulties with the rigid laryngoscope and alternative techniques and equipment for endotracheal intubation (ETI) must be readily available for the remaining 1.9% cases. Despite a number of factors and combination of factors have been identified to predict difficult intubation, none is capable of identifying all potentially difficult intubations[3].

During difficult intubation, the time required to successfully intubate the trachea may get sufficiently prolonged and leads to oxygen desaturation of the patient. These issues have stimulated in part, in the development of multiple novel laryngoscopes, each of which aims to reduce the difficulty of laryngeal visualization, particularly in the setting of the anticipated or unanticipated difficult airway[4]. The McCoy laryngoscope is designed to elevate the epiglottis with its hinged tip. This design has two advantages compared with the Macintosh laryngoscope; less force is applied during laryngoscopy and stress response to laryngoscopy is reduced, and difficult laryngeal visualisation may be improved by lifting the epiglottis, especially in patients with fixed necks in neutral position[5-7].

The King Vision Video laryngoscope is a two piece design. It has a reusable monitor that attaches to disposable blades. The King Vision video laryngoscope is the latest in a long series of devices that provide the “perfect view” for intubation via use of video and digital technology. It has two types of bladesone with channel and the other without channel. The display is an organic light emitting diode (OLED) design of surprisingly good clarity and resolution. It is turned on with a single power button on the back of the display and turned off by depressing it for 3 seconds. The device is powered by standard AAA size three batteries. The channeled blade requires minimum of 18 cm mouth opening while non-channeled blade requires minimum 13 cm mouth opening.[8]

**METHODS**

Following approval from the Institute Ethical Committee (J.N.Medical College, A.M.U., Aligarh, India), patients were randomly divided into 2 groups based on computer generated random number tables. Patients who were intubated using King Vision video laryngoscope with channeled blade were labeled as Group (KVL) patients [n=30], Patients who were intubated using McCoy laryngoscope with size # 3 blade were labeled as Group (MCC) patients [n = 30], using ETT no. 7 for female and no. 8 for male. While blinding of the attending laryngoscopist was not possible as the two intubating devices were quite different. Learning curve was achieved by doing 20 intubations using each of the devices on patients prior to start of study. Inclusion criteria were patients of either sex, aged between 20 to 60 years, weight 50-70 kg, American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I & II and who were scheduled to undergo anelective surgical procedure that required general anaesthesia with oral endotracheal intubation. Signed informed consent was obtained from each patient who agreed to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria were previous history of multiple/failed intubation, Predicted difficult laryngoscopy except for all class of MP Grades, Any pathology of the oral cavity that may obstruct the insertion of device, Mouth opening <2.5cm, potentially full stomach patients (trauma, morbid obesity, pregnancy, history of gastric regurgitation and heart burn) and at risk of oesophageal reflux (hiatus hernia), history of difficult airway, cervical spine injury. In the operation theatre, the patients were continuously monitored using an electrocardiogram, pulse oximeter, end tidal carbon dioxide, and an automaticnon-invasive blood pressure device. Intravenous line was secured and ringer lactate was started.
Each patient was uniformly premedicated with inj. midazolam 0.025 mg/kg, inj. ondansetron 0.15 mg/kg, and inj. fentanyl 2 mcg/kg of body weight. All drugs were administered intravenously 15 min prior to transfer of the patient to the operation theatre. A standard anaesthetic technique was used comprising preoxygenation with 100% O₂ for three minutes, induction with inj. Propofol 2 mg/kg i.v. neuromuscular blockade was achieved by using inj. vecuronium bromide 0.1 mg/kg. Laryngoscopy was performed 4 min after vecuronium administration and intubation was carried out depending on the group to which the patient was assigned.

Once the intubation was complete, lungs were mechanically ventilated during the procedure and anaesthesia was maintained using 60% nitrous oxide with oxygen and Isoflurane (0.8-1%). Surgery was allowed to commence only after the collection of the last hemodynamic data at 10 minutes post-intubation. Neuromuscular blockade was maintained with intermittent injections of...
Vecuronium bromide as and when required. Recording of laryngoscopy & intubation time was calculated from the time the device was introduced into the mouth till it was removed after endotracheal intubation. The time was measured in seconds by an assistant using a stopwatch. Numbers of attempts for successful intubation was defined as one in which the intubating device was withdrawn from the mouth irrespective of the outcome of the procedure. A maximum of three attempts were allowed. An attempt was considered unsuccessful if proper placement of endotracheal tube could not be accomplished. Adequate ventilation was confirmed by Et-CO₂, bilateral equal air entry on chest auscultation and SpO₂ on pulse oximetry. A ‘failed attempt’ was defined as removal of the device from the mouth before re-insertion. In between two consecutive attempts patient was ventilated by face mask. After failure of maximum three attempts supraglottic airway device to be used and the case was recorded as ‘Failed’ one. Grading ease of intubation was done Grade I: When No extrinsic manipulation of the larynx required, Grade II: When External manipulation of the larynx is required, Grade III: Failed intubation. (supraglottic airway device to be used in cases of failed intubation). Changes in heart rate [HR] and Mean Arterial Blood Pressure [MABP] were recorded from the pulse oximeter while BP was recorded using non-invasive manual blood pressure measuring instrument. Immediate pre-induction value were recorded and considered as control value. Thereafter, HR & BP were recorded immediately after laryngoscopy & intubation and thereafter 3, 5 & 10 minutes later. MABP = Diastolic +1/3 Pulse Pressure, Any trauma during intubation was assessed by presence or absence of blood on ETT post extubation. Presence of an unpleasant sensation in the throat [which was not previously present] just prior to discharge from the recovery room and 24 hours later was recorded as evidence of sore throat.

A power analysis was performed to determine the necessary number of patients for each group based on duration of time of intubation, using an α=0.05 and β=0.2 for an experimental design incorporating two equal-sized groups, we estimated that 30 patients would be required per group. Therefore, 36 patients were enrolled per group and a total of 72 patients were included in the study. With atwo-sided type I error of 5% and study power at 80%. The data was analysed by SPSS for windows (version 17) statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Parametric data such as age, weight, time taken for intubation, Mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate values were analyzed using pair wise comparison of the mean values and assessed by unpaired t-test. Non-parametric data such as sex, Mallampati grade, ease of intubation, number of attempts, post-operative blood staining on ETT and sore throat were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULT

The sex distribution was identical in the two groups. In group KVL male and female patients were 10 and 20 respectively. Whereas in group MCC male and female were 13 and 17 respectively. In KVL group 59.99% and in MCC group 63.32% were between 20 - 40 years of age. Mean age of the patients were 38.26±11.38 year and 35.53±11.74 year in groups KVL and MCC respectively. Most of the patients in the groups were in the normal BMI range of 18.5 - 22.9. Mean BMI in group KVL was 23.19±2.27 and in Group MCC was 23.61±2.29. In Group KVL 40% patients were of MP I, 36.66% were of MP II and 23.33% patients in MP III & IV and group MCC where 56.66% patients were MP I, 26.66% patients were MP II and rest being in MP III & IV. The demographic parameters were comparable in both the study group and P value was found to be statistically insignificant (< 0.05) as shown in table 1.

Of all the patients who were intubated; 93% of the patients were intubated in single attempt with King Vision video laryngoscope as compared to...
82% with Mc Coy laryngoscope. However, 7% patients with King Vision and 18% with Mc Coy required second attempts for intubation. Among the two instruments none had taken more than two attempts for successful intubation. The incidence of ease of intubation grade 1 with King vision video laryngoscope was 93% and with McCoy laryngoscope was 87%. However, 7% with King vision, 13% with Mc Coy had grade 2 ease of intubation. Among the both instrument no failed intubation was done, as shown in the table 2 and figure 1. Statistically significant difference was seen between KVL and MCC group for number of attempts for intubation.

**Figure 1:** Graphical representation of the number of attempts taken by the three devices to intubate the patients

**Figure 2:** Graphical representation of the relative grading of ease of intubation in the two groups

**Figure 3:** Graphical representation of time taken by the three devices to intubate
The intubation time was 2.4 sec. less with King vision video laryngoscope (13.9±3.16 sec.) as compared to Mc Coy laryngoscope (16.33±4.57sec.). In the two groups; there was a significant rise in heart rate from pre intubation value to 1, 3 and 5 minute of post intubation but came down near to preintubation value within 10 minutes of intubation as shown in figure 4. But the post intubation rised in heart was less in KVL group as compared to MCC group. The post intubation rise in mean arterial blood pressure was also significantly less in KVL group as compared to MCC group as shown in figure 5. The incidence of post-operative sore throat and blood staining on ETT after extubation were less in KVL group as compared to MCC group.

Table 1: Demographic data and preoperative airway assessment parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter assessed</th>
<th>KVL group (n=30)</th>
<th>MCC group (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male:female ratio</td>
<td>10:20</td>
<td>13:17</td>
<td>0.5959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>38.26±11.38</td>
<td>35.53±11.74</td>
<td>0.3642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²) Mean±SD</td>
<td>23.19±2.27</td>
<td>23.61±2.29</td>
<td>0.4784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP classification</td>
<td>I/II/III/IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight(kg.) Mean±SD</td>
<td>56.36±6.67</td>
<td>56.16±12.27</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Parameters for assessment of Intubation difficulty & Airway Complication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter assessed</th>
<th>KVL group (n=30)</th>
<th>MCC group (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laryngoscopy and intubation time</td>
<td>13.9±3.16 Sec.</td>
<td>16.33±4.57 Sec.</td>
<td>0.0603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of attempts</td>
<td>28/2</td>
<td>20/10</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of intubation</td>
<td>28/2/0</td>
<td>26/4/0</td>
<td>0.3354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Staining</td>
<td>28/2</td>
<td>27/3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sore Throat</td>
<td>29/1</td>
<td>26/4</td>
<td>0.1766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Graphical representation of changes in heart rate at various time intervals in the two groups.
Figure 5: Graphical presentation of changes in mean arterial blood pressure at various time intervals in the two groups.

DISCUSSION
This prospective randomized controlled trial was conducted to assess the utility of the King vision video laryngoscope in normal adult airway. The difficulty of intubation was assessed with numbers of attempts needed and weather external manipulation of larynx was required or not for intubation. In KVL group more numbers of patients were intubated in first attempts as compared to MCC group. External manoeuvres of larynx were applied in more number of cases for intubation with Mc Coy laryngoscope as compared to King vision video laryngoscope. This is due to the clear, bright image of airway anatomy with wider field of vision on the monitor of king vision and also the angulation of the channeled blade make easy hand and eye coordination during intubation and guide the ETT to easily pass through the vocal cord. Also multiple viewer can clearly observe the passage of ETT inside the vocal cord. No other manoeuvres and used of stylet were required to improve the success of intubation in either of the groups. In our study, intubation success was 100% with both the laryngoscopes; this may be because we did not include patients with anticipated difficult airway and an experienced anaesthetist performed the laryngoscopy.

The time taken for intubation was less with the King vision video laryngoscope (13.9±3.16 Sec.) than with the Mc Coy laryngoscope (16.33±4.57 Sec); however, this difference was found to be statistically insignificant. The prolonged time of intubation with the Mc Coy laryngoscope was because the field of vision was narrower and smaller, requiring more time to identify the pharyngeal and laryngeal structures to direct the tracheal tube to the glottis opening.

The haemodynamic stress response to laryngoscopy and intubation was found to be less with KVL group as compared to MCC group, since using king vision video laryngoscope; there is no need to adjust the head and neck position & minimum manipulation of laryngeal structure were required. The detachable nature of king vision monitor and blade make it very easy to introduce the blade without the need of any movement at cervical vertebrae. Mouth opening required for the channeled blade is only about two centimetres due to which the blade could be easily introduced inside mouth of patients by applying only jaw thrust.

The incidence of post-operative sore throat and blood staining on ETT were less with King vision video laryngoscope, because less manipulation of soft tissue of airway structures was needed during intubation as compared to Mc Coy laryngoscope. There was no incidence of any dental or other trauma in either group. The King vision video laryngoscope has its own learning curve after
which its success rate and its time taken for successful intubation may further be improved. However, further comparative studies with larger sample size in the clinical context particularly in predicted difficult intubation scenarios and in patients with co-existing morbidities need to be evaluated.

The main limitation of this study was the potential of observer bias, as it is impossible to blind the anaesthesiologist to the device being used. Another limitation of the study is that we did not study the Cormack-Lehane (C&L) grading and percentage of glottic opening (POGO) scores.

CONCLUSION
King vision video laryngoscope is better in visualising larynx in neutral position of patients head and neck with high success rates. King vision is better than McCoy laryngoscope with respect to the ease of intubation, number of first attempts of successful intubation, haemodynamic stress response to intubation and post operative pharyngeal morbidity. It should be used either as primary airway device or should be immediately available in patients with predicted difficult intubation, or as a rescue device in unanticipated difficult intubation.
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