www.jmscr.igmpublication.org

Impact Factor 5.244

Index Copernicus Value: 5.88

ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450

crossref DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v4i4.38



A Study on Oral Cancer and Its Correlation with Tobacco Chewing, Smoking and Alcohol Drinking In Western Rajasthan

Authors

Sunita Soni¹, Kusum Vaishnav², Ajmal S Bhayal³, Ramesh C Purohit⁴, Ashwini Soni⁵

¹MD in Pathology, Consultant Pathologist, Jodhpur ²MD in Pathology, Ex Senior Professor and Head in Dr. S. N. Medical College, Jodhpur ³MD in pathology, Consultant Pathologist ⁴MD in Pathology, Consultant Pathologist, Jodhpur ⁵MD Physician, Jodhpur

Abstract

An estimated 300373 new cases and 145353 deaths from lip and oral cavity cancer occurred in 2012 worldwide1. To assess the effect of tobacco chewing, smoking and alcohol drinking in the development of the oral cancer and to determine the interaction among these habits, we conducted a hospital based study in Jodhpur, western Rajasthan. Histopathologically confirmed 100 cases of oral cancer were included in this study. The male to female ratio was 2.70:1. The mean age of oral cancer was found to be 51.15 years. The tongue was the most frequently involved site. In our study oral cancer was significantly associated with tobacco chewing, smoking and alcohol drinking. Patients who had combined habit developed oral cancer earlier than who had habit of tobacco chewing alone or smoking alone. Majority of female patients who had tobacco chewing habit developed oral cancer earlier than male patients. The habit of tobacco chewing alone was found to be significant in cancer of buccal mucosa (BM) and anterior 2/3rd of tongue (AOT) in male patients. In female patients tobacco chewing was not found to have a significant association with oral cancer at all sites. Oral hygiene significantly associated with oral cancer in both gender.

Keywords: Oral cancer, tobacco, smoking, alcohol

Introduction

An estimated 77003 new cases and 52067 deaths from oral cavity cancer (including lip cancer) occurred in 2012 in India. In India oral cavity cancer is the third most common cancer. In men and women oral cavity cancer is first and fifth most common cancer respectively in India^[1]. Oral cancer is a major public health challenge in India ^[2]. In the India, oral cancer mainly caused by tobacco use, especially of smokeless tobacco, areca nut consumption, smoking and alcohol

abuse. Tobacco is smoked, chewed, sucked or applied to teeth and gums in diverse ways. Many of these methods are specific to particular geographic regions. Despite the fact that the oral cavity is accessible for visual examination, oral cancers are detected in their advanced stages [3]. In fact, in India, 60-80% of patients present with advanced disease as compared to 40% in developed countries [4]. Early detection would not only improve the survival rate, but it would also

lower the cost and morbidity associated with treatment.

Material and method

The study was done under the Department of Pathology Dr. S.N. Medical College, Jodhpur. The study group included 100 histopathologically diagnosed cases of oral cancer. Subsequently, socio demographic data in addition to clinical details was collected by using a standardized questionnaire. Chi-square test was performed to

assess the association of gender with age groups, habits, site of cancer. The risk factor associations were reviewed and compared with site and habits. P value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Result

Histopathologically confirmed 100 cases of oral cancer were included in this study. The male patients were 73% and female patients were 27%. The male to female ratio was 2.70:1.

Table 1: Distribution of oral cancer cases according to age in male and female.

Age (In years)	Male (N=73)	Female (N=27)	Total (N=100)
21 –30	6 (8.21)	2 (7.40)	8 (8)
31 –40	10 (13.69)	5 (18.51)	15 (15)
41 –50	14 (19.17)	7 (25.92)	21 (21)
51 –60	28 (38.35)	10 (37.03)	38 (38)
61 -70	14 (19.17)	3 (11.11)	17 (17)
>70	1 (1.36)	0 (0)	1(1)
$(Mean \pm SD)$	51.72±12.72	49.59±11.11	(51.15±12.29)

Table 2: Distribution of oral cancer cases according to the residence.

Residence	<i>Male (N=73)</i>	Female (N=27)	Total (N=100)
(Rural/Urban)			
Urban	43 (58.90)	15(55.55)	58 (58)
Rural	30 (41.09)	12(44.44)	42 (42)

Table 3: Distribution of oral cancer cases according to occupation in male and female.

able et Bistiloution of	bie 2. Distribution of ofth entired cuses decorating to occupation in mare and female.				
Occupation	<i>Male (N=73)</i>	Female(N=27)	Total (N=100)		
Laborer	23 (31.50)	10 (37.03)	43 (43)		
Farmer	18 (24.66)	6 (22.22)	24 (24)		
Govt. Service	6 (8.21)	0 (0)	6 (6)		
Private Service	7 (9.58)	0 (0)	7 (7)		
Business	5 (6.84)	0 (0)	5 (5)		
Housewife	-	11 (40.74)	11 (11)		
Unemployed	14 (19.17)	-	14 (14)		

Table 4: Distribution of oral cancer cases according to educational status in male and female.

Educational Status	Male	Female	Total
	(N=73)	(N=27)	(N=100)
Illiterate	45 (61.64)	22 (81.48)	67 (67)
Primary	10 (13.69)	3 (11.11)	13 (13)
Middle	9 (12.32)	2 (7.40)	11 (11)
Secondary	4 (5.47)	0 (0)	4 (4)
Higher Secondary	3 (4.10)	0 (0)	3 (3)
Graduate and above	2 (2.73)	0 (0)	2 (2)

Table 5: Distribution of oral cancer cases according to socioeconomic status in male and female (Modified Prasad's Classification)^[5]

Socioeconomic Class	Male	Female	Total
	(N=73)	(N=27)	(N=100)
I	5 (6.84)	0 (0)	5 (5)
II	13 (17.80)	3 (11.11)	16 (16)
III	27 (36.98)	10 (37.03)	37 (37)
IV	22 (30.13)	10 (37.03)	32 (32)
V	6 (8.21)	4 (14.81)	10 (10)

Table 6: Anatomical distribution of oral cancer cases in male and female.

Site Of Oral Cancer	Male	Female	Total
	(N=73)	(N=27)	(N=100)
Tounge	34 (46.57)	10 (37.03)	44 (44)
BM	17 (23.28)	9 (33.33)	26 (26)
Tonsil	8 (10.95)	2 (7.40)	10 (10)
Palate	9 (12.32)	1 (3.70)	10 (10)
Gingivae	2 (2.73)	2 (7.40)	4 (4)
Lip	1 (1.36)	2 (7.40)	3 (3)
Retro Molar Trigone	1 (1.36)	1 (3.70)	2 (2)
(RMT)			
Floor Of Mouth	1 (1.36)	0 (0)	1 (1)
(FOM)			

p > 0.4

Table 7: Anatomical distribution of tongue cancer cases in male and female

Site Of Oral Cancer	Male	Female	Total
AOT	16 (21.91)	9 (33.33)	25 (25)
Base of Tongue (BOT)	18 (24.65)	1 (3.70)	19 (19)
Total	34 (46.57)	10 (37.03)	44 (44)

p < 0.02

Table 8: Distribution of oral cancer cases according to histopathological characteristics

	1 6
Histopathology	No. Of Cases /(%)
Well Differentiated Squamous Cell Carcinoma	42
Moderately Differentiated Squamous Cell	49
Carcinoma	
Poorly Differentiated Squamous Cell Carcinoma	9

Table 9: Presence of cervical lymph node metastasis at the time of diagnosis in oral cancer cases in male and female

-					
	Lymph Node	Male	Female	Total	
	Metastasis	(N=73)	(N=27)	(N=100)	
	Yes	61 (74)	21 (26)	82 (100)	
	No	12 (67)	6 (33)	18 (100)	

Table 10: Tobacco chewing, smoking and alcohol drinking habits in male and female in oral cancer

Habit	Male	Female	Total
	(N=73)	(N=27)	(N=100)
No habit	1 (1.36)	8 (29.62)	9 (9)
Only Tobacco	13 (17.80)	19 (70.37)	32 (32)
Chewers(TC)			
Only Smokers(Sm)	14 (19.17)	0 (0)	14 (19.17)
Only Alcoholics(Al)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
TC And Sm	6 (8.21)	0 (0)	6 (6)
TC And Al	7 (9.58)	0 (0)	7 (7)
Sm And Al	18 (24.65)	0 (0)	18 (18)
TC, Sm And Al	14 (19.17)	0 (0)	14 (14)

p < 0.001

Table 11: Duration of tobacco chewing, smoking and alcohol drinking habits in oral cancer in male

Duration	Only TC	Only Sm	TC and Sm	TC and Al	Sm and Al	TC, Sm and
(In Years)	(N=13)	(N=14)	(N=6)	(N=7)	(N=18)	<i>Al</i> (<i>N</i> =14)
1 –10	6(46.15)	1(7.14)	2 (33.33)	6 (85.71)	1 (5.55)	11 (78.57)
11 –20	7(53.84)	4(28.57)	2 (33.33)	1 (14.28)	12(66.66)	1 (7.14)
21 –30	0 (0)	7(50)	1 (16.66)	0 (0)	5 (27.77)	2 (14.28)
31 –40	0 (0)	2(14.28)	1 (16.66)	0(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

p < 0.001

Table 12: Duration of tobacco chewing habit in exclusively tobacco chewers in male and female.

Duration(In Years)	<i>Male (N= 13)</i>	Female (N=19)	Total(N=32)
1 –10	6 (46.15)	14 (73.68)	20 (62.50)
11 –20	7 (53.84)	2 (10.52)	9 (28.12)
21 –30	0 (0)	1(5.26)	1 (3.12)
31 –40	0 (0)	2 (10.52)	2 (6.25)

p < 0.02

Table 13: Distribution of oral cancer by site according to tobacco chewing, smoking and alcohol drinking habits in male.

	-							
Site	Only	Only Sm	T.C. &	T.C. &	Sm & Al	T.C., Sm	No habit	Total
	T.C.	(N=14)	Sm	Al	(N=18)	& Al	(N=1)	(73)
	(N=13)		(N=6)	(N=7)		(N=14)		
B.O.T.	0 (0)	6(33.33)	0 (0)	1 (5.55)	7 (38.88)	4 (22.22)	0 (0)	18 (100)
AOT	5 (31.25)	2(12.25)	2 (12.25)	3(18.75)	1(6.25)	3 (18.75)	0 (0)	16 (100)
B.M.	8 (47.05)	1 (5.88)	0 (0)	2 (11.76)	0 (0)	5 (29.41)	1 (5.88)	17 (100)
Tonsil	0 (0)	2 (25)	2 (25)	1 (12.5)	3 (37.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	8 (100)
Palate	0 (0)	2 (22.22)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (55.55)	2 (22.22)	0 (0)	9 (100)
Gingivae	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (100)
Lip	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)
R.M.T.	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)
F.O.M.	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)

p < 0.003

Table 14: Distribution of oral cancer by site according to tobacco chewing habit in female

Site	Tobacco chewers	Never Tobacco	TOTAL (N=27)
	(N=19)	Chewed (N=8)	
BOT	0 (0)	1(100)	1(100)
AOT	7 (77.77)	2 (22.22)	9 (100)
BM	7 (77.77)	2 (22.22)	9 (100)
Tonsil	1(50)	1(50)	2 (100)
Palate	1(100)	0 (0)	1 (100)
Lip	1(50)	1(50)	2 (100)
Gingivae	2 (100)	0 (0)	2 (100)
RMT	0 (0)	1(100)	1 (100)
FOM	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

p > 0.9

Table 15: Distribution of oral cancer cases according to oral hygiene in male and female.

Oral hygiene	<i>Male (N=73)</i>	Female (N=27)	Total (N=100)
Bad	58(79.45)	7 (25.90)	65 (65)
Good	15 (20.54)	20 (74.07)	35 (35)

p < 0.001

Discussion

In India oral cavity cancer is the third most common cancer. In men and women oral cavity cancer is first and fifth most common cancer respectively in India^[1]. Oral cancer is a major public health challenge in India [4]. Early diagnosis of oral cancer is important as it leads to better prognosis. Late detection and diagnosis is directly increased morbidity proportional to mortality.Oral cancer was more commonly seen in male than female. The male to female ratio was 2.70:1 in our study. In a study by Sharma et al., 2010; [6] Aruna et al., 2011; [7] Shenoi et al., 2012 found ratio of 2.2:1, 2:1 and 4.18:1 respectively. A few study from one of the India and Pakistan show equal male to female ratio of 1:1 (Franceschi et al., 2000; [9] Bhurgri et al., 2003 [10]). A reverse gender ratio is observed in India (Bangalore) and Thailand where male to female ratio was 1:2 and 1:1.56 respectively (Franceschi et al., 2000; [9] Kruaysawat et al., 2010 [11]). According to US National Cancer Institute

According to US National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program, the mean age of diagnosis of oral cancer is 65 years ^[12]. In this study, the most affected age group was 51-60 years, youngest of all patients affected was 24-years old and the

oldest was 71 years. The mean age of patients of oral cancer was found to be 51.15 years in this study. The recent studies in India have shown an increase in incidence of oral cancer in younger age group with mean age of 49.73 yrs (Shenoi et al.,2012 [8]), 55 years (Aruna et al., 2011 [7]) and 51 years old (Subapriya et al., 2007 [13]). In a study by Addala L et al., 2012 [14], the highest incidence of oral cancer was seen within the age group of 40–69 years. Epidemiological study of oral cancer in India by Mathew et al., 2001 [15], reported that in developing countries, oral cancer may affect younger men and women more frequently than seen in the developed countries. Majority of the patients were from urban area in

our study. In a study by Vogler et al., 1962 [16], oral cancer was more commonly seen urban population while in study by Winn et al., 1981 [17] rural population was commonly affected. In this study people doing manual occupations such as laboring and farming were at increased risk for developing oral cancer, however no significant association was found between occupation and oral cancer which was similar to study by Jayalekshmi et al., 2011 [18] . There was significant association found in study by Balaram et al., 2002 [19] and Muwonge et al., 2008 [20]. Oral

cancer was more commonly seen in illiterate group in our study, however no significant association was found between illiteracy and oral cancer while in study by Rao et al., 1998; [21] Balaram et al., 2002; [19] Subapriya et al., 2007; [13] Muwonge et al., 2008; [20] Jayalekshmi et al., 2011 [18] significant association was found. In the present study patients from low socioeconomic status are at increased risk than patients from high socioeconomic status, however no significant association was found between socioeconomic status and oral cancer while significant association was found in Jayalekshmi et al., 2011 [18].

In recent years many countries report the tongue as the most frequently affected site. In the present study tongue is the most commonly involved site of oral cancer both in male and female, accounting for 44% cases. The similar result was obtained in the study in Dhar et al., 2000; [22] Su et al., 2007a; ^[23] Ariyoshi et al., 2008; ^[24] Kruaysawat et al., 2010; [11] Ibayashi et al., 2011; [25] Razmpa et al., 2011; [26] Addala L et al., 2012 [14]. Mandibular alveolus was the most common site of oral cancer in Shenoi R et al.,2012 [8]. BM was most common site of oral cancer in Sharma et al., 2010 [6]. The tongue is the leading site not only among oral cancers but also among head and neck cancers in India (Addala et al., 2012) [14]. In our study AOT more commonly involved than BOT in oral cancer. Similar results were obtained in Lam et al., 2006; [27] Addala et al., 2012; [14] Ranjan et al. 2014 [28]. BOT was more frequently involved than AOT in male patients. Similar results were obtained in Rao DN et al., 1998 [21].

In our study all patients were diagnosed as squamous cell carcinoma of oral histopathologically out of which 42% patients were diagnosed as well differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, 49% patients were diagnosed as moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma and 9% patients were diagnosed as poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. In a study in Maharashtra (Agarwal et al., 2012) [29], patients were diagnosed well differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, 23%

patients were diagnosed as moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, 21% patients were diagnosed as poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma and 23% were diagnosed as verrucous squamous cell carcinoma. In the present study there were 82% patients who present with cervical lymph node metastasis at the time of diagnosis. Presence of lymph node metastasis show advanced stage in oral cancer. Similar results was obtained in Shenoi R et al.,2012; [8] Addala et al., 2012 [14] and in Ranjan et al. 2014 [28].

In the present study significant association found between habits and oral cancer. In our study oral cancer was more commonly seen in patients who had habit of tobacco chewing, bidi or cigarette smoking and alcohol drinking either alone or in combination than who had no habits in both male and female. Similar results was obtained in study by Rao DN et al., 1994 [30] and 1998; [21] Datta et al., 1997; [31] Balaram et al., 2002; [19] Znaor et al., 2003; [32] Rahman et al., 2003; [33] Subapriya et al., 2007; [21] Muwonge et al., 2008; [20] Sharma et al., 2010; ^[6] Aruna et al., 2011; ^[7] Lin et al., 2011; ^[34] Addala et al., 2012 [14] and Shenoi et al., 2012 [8]. Oral cancer was found more commonly in patients who had combined habits than tobacco chewing alone. The similar results was seen in Znaor et al., 2003; [32] Subapriya et al., 2007; [13] Muwonge et al., 2008; [20] Aruna et al., 2011; [7] Lin et al., 2011 [34]. The patients who had habit of tobacco chewing alone developed oral cancer earlier than who had habit of smoking alone. Similar results were found in Jayalekshmi et al., 2011 [18]. Majority of female patients who had tobacco chewing habit developed oral cancer earlier than male patients. Similar results was obtained in study by Balaram et al.,2002 [19]. The habit of tobacco chewing alone was found to be significant in cancer of BM and AOT. In female patients tobacco chewing was not found to have a significant association with oral cancer at all sites. In the study by Aruna et al., 2011 [7] BM was most commonly involved in patients who had habit of

tobacco chewing. In the study by Shenoi et al.,2012 [8] no significant association found between site to habit in male and female. In study by Addala et al., 2012 [14], tongue was the commonest site of cancer occurrence with respect to all the habits (both singly and in combination) except for chewing tobacco where BM was the most common site.

There were 65% patients who had bad oral hygiene. In study by Dhar et al., 2000 ^[22] more than 85% of oral cancer patients had poor oral hygiene. Oral hygiene significantly associated with both gender in our study, similar result was found in study by Balaram et al., 2002; ^[19] Subapriya et al., 2007 ^[13].

Conclusion

The present study was a hospital based study which focused only on the histopathologically confirmed cases of oral cancer patients. Majority of the cases were in advanced stage at the time of diagnosis that depicts the negligence of the health care among the population. Widely spread educational campaigns against risk factors of oral cancer are urgent in order to reduce oral cancer incidence rates. Moreover, programs should be developed emphasizing the early diagnosis which affect patient's survival rate, quality of life, and treatment costs.

References

- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, et al; International agency for research on cancer. Globocan 2012: Estimated cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide in 2012.
- 2. Petersen PE. The World Oral Health Report 2003: Continuous improvement of oral health in the 21st century –the approach of the WHO Global Oral Health Programme. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2003; 31: 3–23.
- 3. V. L. Allgar and R. D. Neal. Sociodemographic factors and delays in the diagnosis of six cancers: analysis of

- data from the "National Survey of NHS Patients: cancer. The British Journal of Cancer 2005; 92(11): 1971-75.
- 4. Elango, J. K., Sundaram, K. R., Gangadharan, P., Subhas, P., Peter, S., Pulayath, C. and Kuriakose, M. A. Factors affecting oral cancer awareness in a high-risk population in India. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2009; 10: 627-30.
- 5. Ravi Kumar BP, Shankar Reddy Dudala et al An updated Prasad's socioeconomic status classification for 2013. Int J Res Dev Health 2013; 1(2): 875-78.
- 6. Sharma P, Saxena S, Aggarwal P. Trends in the epidemiology of oral squamous cell carcinoma in Western UP: An institutional study. *Indian J Dent Res* 2010; 21: 316-9.
- 7. Aruna DS, Prasad KV, Shavi GR, Ariga J, Rajesh G, et al. Retrospective study on risk habits among oral cancer patients in Karnataka Cancer Therapy and Research Institute, Hubli, India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2011;12(6):1561-6.
- 8. Shenoi R, Devrukhkar V, Chaudhuri, Sharma BK, Sapre SB, Chikhale A. Demographic and clinical profile of oral squamous cell carcinoma patients: A retrospective study *Indian J Cancer* 2012; 49:21-26.
- 9. Franceschi S, Bidoli E, Herrero R, et al (2000). Comparison of cancers of the oral cavity, pharynx worldwide: etiological clues. *Oral Oncol* 2000; 36: 106-15.
- 10. Bhurgri Y, Bhurgri A, Hussainy AS, et al (2003). Cancer of the oral cavity, pharynx in Karachi -Identification of potential risk factors. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2003; 4: 125-30.
- 11. Kruaysawat W, Aekplakorn W, Chapman RS. Survival time, prognostic factors of oral cancer in Ubon Ratchathani Cancer Center. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2010; 9: 278-84.
- 12. Muir C, Weiland L. Upper aerodigestive tract cancers. Cancer 1995; 75:147-53.

- 13. Subapriya R, Thangavelu A, Mathavan B, Ramachandran CR, Nagini S. Assessment of risk factors for oral squamous cell carcinoma in Chidambaram, Southern India: a case-control study. Eur J Cancer Prev 2007; 16: 251–56.
- 14. Addala L, Pentapati CK, Thavanati PKR, et al (2012). Risk factor profiles of head, neck cancer patients of Andhra Pradesh, India. *Indian J Cancer* 2012;49: 215-9.
- 15. Mathew Iype E, Pandey M, Mathew A, Thomas G, Sebastian P, Krishnan Nair M. Squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue among young Indian adults. Neoplasia 2001; 3:273-7.
- 16. Vogler WR, Lloyd JW, Milmore BK. A retrospective study of etiological factors in cancer of the mouth pharynx and larynx. Cancer 1962; 13: 246-58.
- 17. Winn PM, Femonah, Blot J, et al (1981). Snuff dipping and oral cancer among women in the southern United States. N Engl J Med 1981; 304: 745-49.
- 18. Padmavaty Amma Jayalekshmi, Paleth Gangadharan, Suminori Akiba, Chihaya Koriyama and Raghu Ram K. Nair. Oral cavity cancer risk in relation to tobacco chewing and bidi smoking among men in Karunagappally, Kerala, India: Karunagappally cohort study. Cancer Sci 2011; 102: 460–467.
- 19. Balaram P, Sridhar H, Rajkumar T, Vaccarella S, Herrero R, Nandakumar A, Ravichandran K, Ramdas K, Sankaranarayanan R, Gajalakshmi V, Munoz N, FranceschiS. Oral cancer in southern India: the influence of smoking, drinking, paan-chewing and oral hygiene .*Int J Cancer* 2002 Mar 20; 98(3):440-5.
- 20. Muwonge R, Ramadas K, Sankila R et al. Role of tobacco smoking, chewing and alcohol drinking in the risk of oral cancer in Trivandrum, India: a nested case-control design using incident cancer cases. Oral Oncol 2008; 44(5): 446–54.

- 21. Rao DN, Desai PB. Risk assessment of tobacco, alcohol and diet in cancers of base tongue and oral tongue --a case control study. *Indian J Cancer* 1998 Jun;35(2):65-72.
- 22. Dhar PK, Rao TR, Nair NS, et al. Identification of risk factors for specific subsites within the oral, oropharyngeal region-a study of 647 cancer patients. *Indian J Cancer* 2000; 37: 114-22.
- 23. Su C-C, Yang H-F, Huang S-J, et al. Distinctive features of oral cancer in Changhua county: high incidence, buccal mucosa preponderance,, a close relation to betel quid chewing habit. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2007a; 106, 225-33.
- 24. Ariyoshi Y, Shimahara M, Omura K, et al. Epidemiological study of malignant tumors in the oral, maxillofacial region: survey of member institutions of the Japanese Society of Oral, Maxillofacial Surgeons, 2002. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2002; 13: 220-8.
- 25. Ibayashi H, Pham TM, Fujino Y, et al. Estimation of premature mortality from oral cancer in Japan, 1995-2005. *Cancer Epidemiol*, 2011; 35: 342-4.
- 26. Razmpa E, Memari F, Naghibzadeh B (2011). Epidemiologic, clinicopathologic characteristics of tongue cancer in Iranian patients. Acta Med Iran 2011; 49: 44-8.
- 27. Liu SA, Tsai WC, Wong YK, et al. Nutritional factors, survival of patients with oral cancer. *Head*, *neck* 2006; 28: 998-7.
- 28. Vikrant Ranjan, Sanjay Desai1, Tulika Joshi2, Dewesh Kumar3, Mayank Pancholi1, Raghvendra Singh Yadav. Current trends of carcinoma tongue at a Medical College in Central India: A retrospective study. Clinical cancer investigation journal 2014; 3: 493-96.
- 29. Agarwal KH, Rajderker SS. Clinicoepidemiological profile of oral cancer: A

- hospital based study. Indian journal of community health 2012; 24: 80-95.
- 30. Rao DN, Ganesh B, Rao RS, Desai PB. Risk assessment of tobacco, alcohol and diet in oral cancer--a case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 1994 Aug 15;58(4):469-73.
- 31. Datta K, Saha RK, Chakrabarti RN. A simple risk estimates study for oral cavity cancer: practical approach in Indian context. *J Indian Med Assoc* 1997 Mar; 95(3):70-1.
- 32. Znaor A, Brennan P, Gajalakshmi V, et al. Independent and combined effects of tobacco smoking, chewing and alcohol drinking on the risk of oral, pharyngeal and esophageal cancers in Indian men Int J Cancer 2003; 105(5):681-6.
- 33. Rahman M, Sakamoto J, Fukui T. Bidi smoking and oral cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2003; 106(4):600-4.
- 34. Lin WJ, Jiang RS, Wu SH, et al. Smoking, alcohol,, betel quid, oral cancer: a prospective cohort study. *J oncol*, 2011, 525976.

About Authors



Sunita Soni

MD in Pathology, Consultant Pathologist, Jodhpur

Mobile:+91-7737631154

Email: dr.sunitasoni@gmail.com