2016

www.jmscr.igmpublication.org Impact Factor 5.244 Index Copernicus Value: 83.27 ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v4i12.47



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research

### **Dimension Dynamics of Stapes**

Authors

Dr Aarushi Jain<sup>1</sup>, Dr Kalpana Makhija<sup>2</sup>, Dr Shweta Asthana<sup>3</sup>, Dr Sandhya Mehra<sup>4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Asst. Professor, Dept. of Anatomy, GMC Kota, Rajasthan, India Email: *Aarushi.jn81@gmail.com* <sup>2</sup>Asst. Professor, Dept. of Anatomy, GMC Kota, Rajasthan, India Email: *anatomygmckota@gmail.com* <sup>3</sup>Senior Demonstrator, Dept. of Anatomy, GMC Kota, Rajasthan, India <sup>4</sup>Senior Demonstrator, Dept. of Anatomy, GMC Kota, Rajasthan, India Email: *Drmehra83@gmail.com* Corresponding Author **Dr Shweta Asthana**

Senior Demonstrator, Dept. of Anatomy, GMC Kota, Rajasthan, India Email: *anatomygmckota@gmail.com* 

### ABSTRACT

Stapes being smallest bone of our body lacks periosteum and is connecting link between middle ear and internal ear. Thus any anatomical variation in this area leads to surfeit of disorders which affect hearing tremendously creating conductive hearing loss. Present study included 30 pair of stapes which were procured from the temporal bone of cadavers. All possible anatomical dimensions were recorded by using digital vernier calipers and the datas were analyzed using medcalc software. The dimensions of stapes are helpful in creating prosthesis and also can be used as homograft for future use. **Keywords:** Stapes, prosthesis, ossicles and footplate.

### Introduction

Stapes is the smallest bone of human body and The small lacks periosteum. bones are anatomically stable in both ethnically and geographically different populations. Also sizes of cranium and body have no correlation with that of ossicles thereby having substantial phylogenetic value (Crevecoeur 2007). Once necrosed stapes cannot be regenerated. Its various anatomical variations create crucial difference in transmission of sound waves from tympanic membrane to the oval window. Thus any anomaly affecting it may create a glut of disorders. Thus present study has been conducted to record all possible dimensions and characteristics of stapes.

### **Material and Method**

This study was conducted on 60 stapes obtained from cadavers in Department of anatomy at Government medical college, Kota .Temporal piece were separated from the dissected cadavers. Petrous part of bone was chipped off carefully with chisel and hammer close to arcuate eminence till the head of malleus become visible. It was traced medially & roof of middle ear was removed slowly and carefully. Malleus was separated first

# JMSCR Vol||04||Issue||12||Page 14583-14588||December

2016

with the help of pointed forcep then incus, finally stapes was taken out carefully with the help of needle. After removal bones were cleared of ligaments and washed.

Measurements were taken with digital vernier caliper. Each reading was taken thrice & the mean of all the three was taken to rule out the error.

- 1) Total height of stapes: distance b/w top of head and footplate of stapes (g-h)
- 2) Diameter of head (D)
- 3) Length of foot plate =(i-j)
- 4) Breadth of foot plate (k-l)
- 5) Thickness of foot plate(t)
- 6) Weight of bone(w) in mg

Non parametrical measurements were

- 1. Presence or absence of neck
- 2. Shape of obturator foramen
- 3. Shape of footplate
- 4. Attachment of crus away or near the margin



Fig 1 stapes without neck



Fig 2: oval foot plate



Fig 3 Stapes with neck and oval obturator foramen



Fig 4. Round obturator foramen



Fig 5 horse shoe obturator foramen and no neck



Fig 6 Constricted footplate



Fig 7 Crus attatchment away from margin



Fig 8: Crus attatchment near the margin

Variables	right stapes		left stapes		t tost	n voluo
v arrables	mean	±sd	mean	$\pm sd$	1-1051	P-value
Weight(mg)	3.36	0.25	3.18	0.57	1.61	< 0.001
Total height(mm)	3.31	0.17	3.3	0.17	0.23	0.966
Length of foot plate(mm)	3	0.21	3	0.21	-0.02	0.87
Breadth of foot plate(mm)	1.18	0.11	1.21	0.09	0.91	0.3688
Thickness of foot plate(mm)	0.8	0.12	0.81	0.06	-0.6	0.001
Diameter of head (mm)	1.04	0.14	1.03	0.1	0.27	0.089
Index	0.9	0.07	0.91	0.07	0.87	0.15

### Table no (1) Showing t-test between right and left stapes

Graph 1: Comparision between rt and lt sided stapes



Rt- right, lt- left

Table no. 2: (Regression equation between various dimension of stapes and their respective weight)

Variable		Coeff. Of		
Dependent(y)	Independent(x)	Determination $(R^2)$	P value	Regression equation
Rt stapes Weight	Rt Height	0.07	0.03	y = 2.0926 + 0.3827 x
Lt Stapes Weight	Lt Height	0.22	< 0.0001	y = 2.3873 + 0.2694 x
Rt Stapes Weight	Rt Length of foot plate	0.01	< 0.0001	y = 3.3586 + 0.0004819 x
Lt Stapes Weight	Lt Length of foot plate	0.09	< 0.0001	y = 2.8722 + 0.1351 x
Rt stapes Weight	Rt Breath of foot plate	0.06	< 0.0001	y = 2.7191 + 0.5423 x
Lt Stapes Weight	Lt Breath of foot plate	0.26	<.0001	y = 2.5824 + 0.5760 x
rt stapes wt	rt thickness of fp	0.07	<.0001	y = 3.8094 + -0.5617 x
Lt Stapes Weight	lt thickness of fp	0.06	<.0001	y = 2.9657 + 0.3817 x
rt staes wt	rt stapes diameter of head	0.02	<.0001	y = 3.1284 + 0.2232 x
Lt Stapes Weight	It stapes diameter of head	0.09	<.0001	y = 2.9852 + 0.2832 x
(Dt might I t laft C	a aff a a afficient)			

(Rt -right,Lt -left, Coeff-coefficient)

# JMSCR Vol||04||Issue||12||Page 14583-14588||December

2016

Parameters		Rt stapes		Lt stapes			Chi	P value	Contingency
Nool	Present	Absent		Present	Absent		square		coefficient
INECK	63% 37% 63.30% 36.70%		70%	2.31	0.13	0.27			
Shape of obturator	horse shoe	oval	round	horse shoe	oval Roun d				
foramen	73.30%	13.30%	13.30%	63%	20%	17%	41.1	< 0.0001	0.76
Shape of foot	constrict ed	oval		constricted	Oval				
plate	16.70%	83.30%		30%	70%		0.276	0.6	0.096
Crus Attatchment	away from margin	near r	nargin	away from margin	near margin				
	80.00% 20.00% 87% 13%		3%	17.85	<.0001	0.61			

**Table no. 3:** Comparision between non parametrical datas of right and left stapes

### Discussion

The stapes in the present study is the lightest of all the three ossicles but the difference in the dimensions of left and right side were not very significant except the weight. Right sided stapes were heavier than the left side though the left sided stapes footplate was thicker than the right side. Also a positive correlation was found between its various dimensions and the weight of the stapes in the present study. The dimensions were compared with that of previous studies in the table no. 4[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11] and 5[10,11] as follows .

Fable no 4: Comparision of parametr	cal datas of present and previous studies
-------------------------------------	---

Authors	Height(mm)	Length of foot plate	Thickness of foot plate	Diameter of head	Breadth of foot plate	Weight	Index
Das etal 1966	3.29	2.79			1.43		
Harneja & chaturvadi 1973	3.12	2.68			1.26		
Arsenberg et al 1981	3.2	2.8			1.3		85.1
aWengenetal 1995			0.51				
Bouchet & Giraud et al 1996	3.5						
Erdogan etal 2002	3.2	2.6			1.3		80.1
Wadhwa etal 2005	3.41	2.97	0.39	1.24			
Padmini etal 2014	2.75	2.36			1.91		87.2
Rathva etal 2014	3.33	2.78			1.34		
Present study	3.26	3.02	0.81	1.033	1.19	3.275	90.5%

Parameter	Characteristics	Present study	Wadhwa et al 2005	Unur et al 2002	
Nock	Present	63%	20%	Noticed	
NCCK	Absent	37%	100000		
	Oval	12%	20%		
Shape of obturator foramen	Round/circular	20%	30%		
	Horse/tunnel	68%	10%	Noticed all the shapes	
	Triangular	-	40%		
	Without whole		Absent		
Attachment of crus	Away from margin	83.50%	Noticed length of	Noticed symmetry of	
	Near margin	16.50%	attachment	Crura	
Shape of Obturator Foramen	Constricted	23%	Noticed	_	
	Oval	77%	ronood		

Table no 5: Comparision of Non parametrical datas with Previous studies.

### Conclusion

Osteometric dimensions are useful in prosthesis designing which can be used in ossicular reconstruction. Also stapes may be used to create a bank for homograft in ossiculoplasty.

### Consent –Not applicable

**Ethical approval** –Not applicable as study is on cadavers in department of anatomy, GMC kota.

Acknowledgement – We would like to thank PHOD Anatomy, GMC Kota and PHOD Pathology GMC kota and the authors

Interest of conflict- none

Source of funding- none

### References

- Arensburg B, Harell M, Nathan H (1981) The Human Middle Ear Ossicles: Morphometry and taxonomic implications. J Hum Evol 10: 199-205.
- aWenegen, DF., Nishihara,s., Kurokawa, H and Goode, R.L.(1995) measurements of stapes superstructure; Annals of Otology and Rhinology Laryngology; 104:311-316

- Bouchet A, Giraud M (1968) Contribution a I'etude orphologique et radiologique des osselets de I'ouie. Compte rendu de I' Association des Anatomists 53 Congres 141: 588-600
- 4. Crevecoeur, I. New discovery of an Upper Paleolithic auditory ossicle: the right malleus of Nazlet Khater 2. *J. Hum. Evol.*, *52*:341-5, 2007.
- Dass Ramji, Grewal BS, Thapar SP (1966) Human stapes and its variations. I. General Features. J Laryngol 80: 11-25.
- Harada O, Ishii H. The Condition of the auditory ossicles in microtia. Plast Reconst Surg. 1972;50:48-53
- Harneja NK, Chaturvedi RP (1973) A Study of the Human Ear Ossicles. Indian J Otol 25: 154-160 15).
- Rathava JK, Gohil DV, Satppara VK, Kukadiya UC, Trivedi PN, Patel MM, Singel TC (2014): Osteometric dimensions of stapes Journal of research in medical and dental science 2(2)30-33

## JMSCR Vol||04||Issue||12||Page 14583-14588||December

- Pramila Padmini\* and B. Narasinga Rao: Morphometry of Human Fetal Ear Ossicles: A Human Cadaveric Study: M.:British Journal of Medicine & Medical Research 4(9): 1873-1882, 2014
- Unur, E., Ulger, H., Ekinci, N. 2002. Morphometrical and Morphological Variations in the middle ear ossicles in the new born. *Erciyes Medical Journal*, 24(2): 57-63
- 11. Wadhwa,S., Kaul,J.M. and Agarwal, A.K.: Morphometry study of stapes and its clinical implications.Journal of Anatomical Society of India 54(2)1-9(2005)