A Study on Functional Outcome of Various Modalities of Internal Fixation of Metacarpal and Phalangeal Fractures of Hand - A Prospective Study
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ABSTRACT
Background: Fractures of the bones of the hand are among the commonest fractures in humans but their management varies widely in different regions of the world. The variability is due to many reasons including availability of resources, social factors, geographic constraints, surgeon’s preference and experience, and local practice patterns. Hand fractures constitute 14 to 28% of all visits to hospital following trauma by various means. These fractures are often neglected or treated as minor injury and results in major disability.

Methods: This study included 40 patients with unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures which were internally fixed by various modalities at Rajah Muthiah Medical College. The outcome was analysed with special emphasis on active movement of fingers at metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints.

Results: We assessed the functional outcome with American Society for Surgery of the Hand (ASSH) score and Total Active Flexion (TAF) score. 100% union achieved in all cases with average period of union as 7.2 weeks, functional outcome was excellent in 80%, good in 10%, fair in 5% and poor in 5%. 8 persons developed complications of which 4 had infection and the other 4 had joint stiffness.

Conclusion: Various modalities of internal fixation is a good option for treating unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures as it allows early mobilisation and good functional results provided detailed clinical and radiological assessment of the fracture, careful pre operative planning, meticulous dissection, precision in surgical technique and choosing the correct implant are strictly followed.

BACKGROUND
Fractures of the bones of the hand are among the commonest fractures in humans, but their management varies widely in the different regions of the world. This variability is due to many reasons, including availability of resources, social factors, geographic constraints, surgeon preference and experience, and local practice patterns. Developing countries are more likely to apply less expensive methods of managing hand fractures. Fractures of the metacarpal bones of the hand constitutes between 14-28% of all visits to the
hospital following trauma by various means like assault, road traffic accidents, industrial accidents, agricultural accidents etc.

Too often these metacarpal and phalangeal fractures are neglected or treated as minor injuries and results in major disability and deformity with permanent disability and handicap.

Hand fractures can be complicated by deformity from no treatment, stiffness from over treatment and both deformity and stiffness from poor treatment. Fracture healing in the hand is not an isolated goal; rather the functional result is of paramount importance.

Recent studies have shown good functional results with surgical treatment of metacarpal and phalangeal fractures using various modalities of internal fixation compared to the conservative treatment. This study involves evaluating functional outcome of metacarpal and phalangeal fractures treated by various modalities of internal fixation.

**OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

1. To study the various mechanism and pattern of metacarpal and phalangeal fractures and their surgical management by various modalities.
2. To study the functional outcome of metacarpal and phalangeal fractures treated by various modalities of internal fixation.
3. To study the technical difficulties and complications of metacarpal and phalangeal fractures treated surgically.

**Inclusion Criteria**

1. Age more than 13 to 70 years.
2. Physical fitness for surgery.
4. All cases of fractures of metacarpals and phalanges of hand.

**Exclusion Criteria**

1. Age less than 13 years.
2. Patient not willing or medically unfit for surgery.
3. Undisplaced hairline fractures.

**Indications**

Indications for various modalities of internal fixation of the metacarpals and phalanges of hand are

1. Acute/Late, Unilateral/Bilateral, Metacarpal/Phalangeal fractures of hand.
2. Patients who are younger and active groups.
3. Patients who wants quick return to work.
4. Patients having unstable, irreducible metacarpal and phalangeal fractures of hand with displacement >5mm, angulation >30° and rotational instability>10°.
5. Long oblique spiral fractures of metacarpals and phalanges.
6. Fractures with intraarticular extension.

**AIM**

Metacarpal and phalangeal fractures are common in adolescents and young active individuals. Functional outcome of these fractures depend upon severity of injury and the achievement of treatment. Mostly these are treated by conservative methods, unstable fractures where closed reduction and final outcome are unsatisfactory are treated by operative measures. There are multiple surgical options for treating metacarpal and phalangeal fractures like K-wire fixation, interosseous wiring, cerclage wiring and plate osteosynthesis.

In this study we assess Functional outcome of metacarpal and phalangeal fractures treated by various modalities of internal fixation using the American Society for Surgery of the Hand (ASSH) and Total Active Flexion (TAF) score-a prospective study

**IMPLANT PROFILE**

1 mm AO mini plate

**Composition:** stainless steel. AO stainless steel implants are produced from implant quality 316L stainless steel which typically contains iron (62.5%), chromium (14.5%), nickel 2.8%), molybdenum and minor alloy elements

**Length:** range from 28mm to 50mm

**Breadth:** 5mm
Thickness: 1mm  
Holes: 4-8 holed  
Configuration: straight plate (for shaft fractures), L – plate & T – plate (for periarticular fractures)  
Type: non compression  
Screws composition – stainless steel  
Screw type: non self tapping type, round headed with single slot  
Screw pitch: 0.5mm  
Screw length: 8-16mm  
K-Wires: 1.2mm to 2.5mm  
SS Wires  

Instruments Used:  
Drill bit  
- composition: stainless steel  
- diameter: 1mm  
Drill used: power drill with RPM: 20,000-30,000  
Coolant: external saline irrigation  
Tap: stainless steel, 2mm diameter  

Plating kit:  
- Reduction clamps  
- Plate holding forceps  
- Screw holder  
- Screw driver  
- Bone spike  
- Periosteal elevator  
- Mini retractors  

MATERIAL AND METHODS  
Source of Data:  
Patients with metacarpal and phalangeal fractures admitted to RAJAH MUTHIAH MEDICAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL, ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, CHIDAMBARAM will be taken up for study after obtaining the consent.  
Period of study: From JUNE 2015 to SEP 2016.  
DESIGN OF THE STUDY: Prospective  

METHOD OF COLLECTION OF DATA  
Patients with metacarpal and phalangeal fractures are selected after clinical and radiological analysis during the period of study from June 2015 to Sep 2016.  

All the patients selected for study will be examined according to protocol, associated injuries noted and clinical and lab investigations carried out in order to get fitness for surgery. Consent of the patient will be taken for surgery. Patient will be followed till Union is achieved Clinically as well as Radiologically. Time required for union, range of motion of surrounding joints and complications occurred before / during / after surgery will be studied in detail. Minimum of 40 cases will be studied without any sampling procedure  

RESULTS  
40 patients were included in this study. 12 patients had multiple metacarpal and phalangeal fractures (30% cases). Right hand was involved in 22 of the patients (55%). 4 out of 40 were female patients (10%). All the 40 patients who underwent various modalities of internal fixation for unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures achieved bone union (100%). In most of the cases bony union was seen between 6-8 weeks, average period being 7.2 weeks (range 6-12 weeks). Spiral and oblique fractures united at 6 weeks, transverse and comminuted fractures united at around 8 weeks.  
Functional outcome assessed by ASSH (American Society for Surgery of the Hand) TAF (Total Active Flexion) score was excellent in 32 patients (80%), good in 4 patients (10%), fair in 2 patients (5%), poor in 2 patients (5%). The overall results are satisfactory.  
4 patients developed superficial wound infection, both were the case of multiple metacarpal and phalangeal fractures. Both these cases with superficial infection settled with daily dressing and antibiotics. 4 patients had stiffness of metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints and all were cases of multiple metacarpal and phalangeal fractures for whom physiotherapy was continued and patients showed improved range of motion, and the results in these patients are fair & poor.
DISCUSSION

Most of the metacarpal and phalangeal fractures are stable before or after closed reduction and are managed successfully by conservative method of protective splinting followed by early mobilization. Only a small percentage of metacarpal and phalangeal fractures are unstable and in these patients the functional results following conservative treatment are unsatisfactory. These are the cases indicated for various modalities of internal fixation which are usually less than 5% of hand fractures. James et al reported that conservative method used in treatment of unstable fractures had loss of function in 77% of fingers.

Closed reduction / Open reduction and internal fixation with K wire is one of the treatment modalities in these unstable fractures. They provide less rigid fixation and are rotationally unstable, there is increased association of pin tract infection and problems due to protruding ends of K-wire are significant. Interosseous wiring with K-wire although provides rigid fixation equivalent to plating, are useful only in transverse diaphyseal fractures.

Metacarpal fractures can be fixed with external fixator. Report by Shehadi et al showed full return of total range of motions in up to 100% of metacarpal fractures treated with external fixator. This mode of fixation is useful in compound metacarpal fractures with bone loss. But the routine use of external fixator is discouraged as there is loosening of construct following pin tract infection leading to loss of fixation and there is difficulty in constructing and applying the fixator. Intramedullary fixation with prebent K-wires were used for transverse and short oblique fractures. They provide comparable functional outcome with plate and screw fixation. But there is incidence of loss of reduction, penetration of metacarpophalangeal joint by hardware, thus necessitating a second surgery for hardware removal.

There are many literature studies showing satisfactory results of unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures treated with various modalities of internal fixation of metacarpal and phalangeal fractures. A study by Souer et al showed good functional outcome by total active motion more than 230 degree in 18 of 19 patients for whom plate fixation was done in closed unstable metacarpal fractures. Another study by Gupta et al showed excellent functional outcome with total active movements more than 230 degree in all of his patients of unstable metacarpal fractures treated with plate fixation. Another study by DabeziesSchutte showed no complication in 27 unstable metacarpal fractures treated with plate fixation. Low complication rate seen in our study was similar to these results.

In our study on 40 patients, 4 patients developed superficial wound infection. In all of these cases of superficial infection, there was wound discharge on second post operative day which settled with daily dressing and antibiotics and this does not affect the final outcome. Four Patients with multiple metacarpal and phalangeal fractures developed finger stiffness. Eventually all patients had improved ROM following physiotherapy.

In unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures, various modalities of internal fixation is a better option for several reasons:

- They provide stable fixation in all unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures thus allowing early mobilization of fingers.
- Shortening seen in multiple metacarpal and phalangeal fractures which are corrected by various modalities of internal fixation restores the power of interossei muscle thereby retaining the grip strength of hand.
- Multiple metacarpal and phalangeal fractures are usually associated with severe soft tissue injury. In these unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures, treatment by various modalities of internal fixation provides anatomical reduction of fracture with rigid stabilization allowing early mobilization of joints without loss of reduction thus preventing stiffness and yields good functional results.

In our study of unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures treated by various modalities of internal fixation, all the cases showed bone union (100%). The functional result assessed by
American Society For Surgery Of The Hand (ASSH) Total Active Flexion score showed excellent results in 80% of the patients (32 of 40 cases), good in 10% of cases (4 of 40 cases). Stable and rigid fixation provided by various modalities of internal fixation allowed early mobilization of fingers thereby preventing stiffness and achieve overall good functional results. Although there were 10% (4 cases) of superficial infection, all settled with regular dressing and antibiotics without affecting final functional outcome.

CONCLUSION

Internal fixation by various modalities is a good option for treating unstable metacarpal and phalangeal fractures, where conservative measures are less effective, the rigid stable fixation provided by various modalities of internal fixation which withstands load without failure allowed early mobilization and achieved good functional results.

Detailed clinical and radiological assessment of fracture and careful preoperative planning, meticulous dissection and precision in surgical technique and choosing the correct implant are critical in achieving good results and minimising the complication.
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