Non-Invasive Vs Invasive Procedures For Detection of H. Pylori Infection in Patients With Gastric Symptoms in Alkharj, Saudi Arabia
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ABSTRACT
Objectives: H. pylori is detectable in nearly 100% of adult patients with duodenal ulcer and about 80% of patients with gastric ulcer. An association between H. pylori and gastric cancer is confirmed. In developing countries, where most children become infected by the age of 10, gastric cancer rates are very high. Diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori is achieved by invasive or non-invasive methods such as upper endoscopy, antral biopsies, H. pylori PCR of antral biopsies, H. pylori ELISA and H. pylori antigen detection in stool through ELISA technique. The aim of the current study is to compare the diagnostic performance of invasive and non-invasive diagnostic methods in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Design & Methods: Patients between with dyspeptic symptoms were enrolled from the Salman Bin Abdel Aziz University Hospital, Al Kharj, Saudi Arabia between Oct/2013 and Sept/2014. Patients responded to a questionnaire to investigate possible dyspeptic symptoms and then underwent. Besides the gastric biopsy, established as the gold standard test, the stool ELISA test and H. pylori ELISA serology were also applied.

Results: The diagnostic performance of the HP stool antigen assay was as follows (Table 2): sensitivity of
94.5%, specificity, 96.2%; positive-predictive value, 93%; negative-predictive value, 94%; and concordance of 90.4%. The diagnostic performance of the H. pylori ELISA assay was also high, with a sensitivity of 90.5% and specificity of 92%. Combining the HP stool antigen with the ELISA assay raised the sensitivity to 98%, the specificity to 97%, PPV to 96% and NPV to 95%.

Conclusions: The ROC curve showed a good correlation between the compared methods. The standardization of the ELISA test for the detection of H. pylori in stool specimens constitutes a non-invasive diagnostic alternative.
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INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori infection is a worldwide problem. It is the most common cause of chronic gastritis, and is strongly linked to peptic ulcer disease and gastric cancer [1]. A strong association has been reported between H pylori infection and gastric lymphoma and adenocarcinoma of the body and antrum of the stomach particularly lymphoid tissue lymphomas (MALTomas) [2,3]. Furthermore, H pylori infection is seemingly involved in the pathogenesis of several extragastric diseases, such as mucosa-associated gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) [4,5], coronaryitis [6], iron deficiency anemia [7]. To date, such associations are still uncertain, and causality related to these associations needs to be proved.

H. pylori is one of the most common bacterial infectious agents with prevalence rates ranging between (29% and 90% of cases) [8,9]. H pylori infection occurs more frequently in developing countries than in industrialized countries. H pylori strains differ in their potential to cause diseases [8,9]. Moreover, the acquisition of H. pylori seems to occur at higher rates in developing countries with prevalence rates that differ from one country to another and may differ between different ethnic, social, or age groups within the same country [9].

H pylori infection can be diagnosed by either invasive or non-invasive methods. Invasive techniques based endoscopy includes, CLO tests, culture, histology, direct gram stain and PCR-based methods. Non-invasive methods of detection include serology, Helicobacter pylori stool antigen (HpSA) test and urea breath test (UBT) [10,11]. Immunoglobulin A (IgA) and IgG serologic tests have been evaluated in several studies but the reliability and cut-off value have not been confirmed. Some studies suggested the use of IgM as an indicator of active disease [12-14] while others have found IgM to have little diagnostic utility [15,16]. The HpSA test has been reported to be as reliable as the UBT for diagnosis as well as for monitoring H pylori eradication albeit at a lower sensitivity [17,18] . Although culture is considered the gold standard it is not often used for the detection of H pylori, it is sometime not possible to perform either due
to clinical problems preventing endoscopy, or patients’ refusal, or insufficient endoscopic or laboratory facilities. Among different geographical regions, the prevalence of H. pylori in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is between 70-90% (19). Since the prevalence of H. pylori is still high, feasible diagnostic noninvasive tests are required for the determination of diagnosis and follow-up after eradication treatment. Therefore, it is crucial to optimize non-invasive methods for reliable diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori infection and for post-therapy assessment. Therefore, the current study is designed to analyze the utility and diagnostic performance of a panel of non-invasive tests in comparison to endoscopy for the diagnosis and monitoring of 

\( H \) pylori eradication among a population with gastric manifestations in Al-Kharj, Central Saudi Arabia.

**PATIENTS & METHODS**

A total of 100 outpatients complaining of gastro-duodenal disorders and dyspepsia were enrolled in this study from Oct 2013 and Sept 2014. At presentation no patient had previous specific therapy for *Helicobacter pylori*. Patients with a history of antibiotics, proton pump inhibitors, bismuth within one month prior to endoscopy, serology or HpSA test. Patients with previous gastric surgery, and previous diagnosis of gastric cancer were also excluded from the study.

All patients were subjected to clinical examination and were assessed for eligibility to gastrointestinal endoscopy. Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to participation in this study and before any procedure. The Institutional Review Board of the University of Salman Bin Abdel Aziz University approved this study. The study was conducted according to the principles of the 1974 Declaration of Helsinki.

**HELICOBACTER PYLORI STOOL ANTIGEN ASSAY:**

Stool specimens were collected and stored at $-20^\circ\text{C}$ upon arrival at the laboratory after DNA extraction was performed. The stool was thawed for stool antigen assay, and subsequently stored again at $-20^\circ\text{C}$ for future retesting. The Helicobacter pylori Antigen, EIA, Stool Test (Quest Diagnostics, New Jeresy, USA) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. The assay was based on S-ICT, using a single monoclonal antibody against H. pylori flagellin antigens. In brief, a plastic bar was used to add 500 mg to 1 g fecal sample to a vial containing 1 mL buffer. After gentle vortexing, the fecal sample was emulsified. Two to four drops of emulsified stool sample were placed in the sample port of the test cassette. The test was interpreted after 15 min at room temperature. The appearance of a red line in the reading window indicated a positive result, with the positive control band that was also red in color. A positive result (antigen detected) is indicative of *H pylori* presence. A negative result
(antigen not detected) indicates absence of *H pylori* or an antigenic level below the assay limit of detection. The test has a sensitivity and specificity of 96% for detecting *H pylori* infection. False- negative results may be obtained on specimens from patients who have ingested selected medications (antimicrobials, proton pump inhibitors, bismuth preparations) within the 2 weeks prior to specimen collection. Serology was also performed using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (IgG and IgA using Helicobacter pylori IgG, ELISA Kit (MBS580106) ( Biosource, Heidelberg Germany according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, Diluted atien serum is added to wells coated with purified antigen. IgG specific antibody, if present, binds to the antigen. All unbound materials are washed away and the enzyme conjugate is added to bind to the antibody-antigen complex, if present. Excess enzyme conjugate is washed off and substrate is added. The plate is incubated to allow the hydrolysis of the substrate by the enzyme. The intensity of the color generated is proportional to the amount of IgG specific antibody in the sample. Eligible patients were referred to upper endoscopy at King Khalid Hospital, Al Kharj, KSA. Upper endoscopy was performed for 45 patients. Detailed endoscopic examination was performed and several biopsies were taken for histological examination. Biopsy specimens were prepared for histological examination by staining with hematoxylin-eosin and Warthin-Starry silver stains; diagnoses were made using the Sydney classification (17). At least one biopsy specimen had been obtained from the antrum, with additional specimens obtained from other sites. The grade of *H. pylori* presence was recorded as follows: mild, few focal areas of bacteria; moderate, bacteria in several areas; and severe, abundant bacteria in most glands. The presence of atrophic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, lymphoid aggregates and/or follicles, gastric ulcers, and ulcer scars was also recorded.

The diagnosis of *H pylori* infection was established by the concordance of 2 or more positive test results from the 3 tests performed (i.e., histology, HP stool antigen or HP ELISA). *H. pylori* infection status was classified as follows: definite positive, all 3 tests show positive results, or a positive histology result and either of the other tests shows a positive result; probable positive, positive results by stool antigen assay and HP ELISA; and negative, all 3 tests are negative.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Continuous variables were compared using Student’s t -test or the Mann–Whitney U test when appropriate. The frequencies were compared using the chi-square test or the Fisher’s test if the expected frequency for any cell was five or lower. Results are expressed as mean values +/- SD, P-values and 95% confidence intervals or median and IQR as
appropriate. The Z test was used to compare proportions between groups. Statistical analysis was done using Statistical Analysis Software version 16 (SAS Institute, Inc., NC, USA).

**RESULTS**

Among the 100 enrolled patients, the prevalence of H. pylori infection in males and females was 47.9 and 59.4, respectively. The median age of the patients was 42 years (range, 26–51 years). The prevalence of H. pylori infection in patients < 40 and > 40 years was 33.3 and 55.2, respectively (p=0.04).

The endoscopic results showed the following oesophageal lesions: gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) in 26 (26%) patients, reflux esophagitis in 21 (21%) patient, and Barrett's esophagus in 2 (2%) patients. The rates of positive histological diagnoses of H. pylori infection by the number of specimens were as follows: 1 specimen, 31.5 (45/143); 2 specimens, 44.9 (22/49); and 3 or more specimens, 29.4 (5/17). The endoscopic results with respect to the gastric mucosa were as follows: normal in 7 (7%); atrophic gastritis in 23 patients (23%), erosive gastritis in 19 (19%) patients; erythematous gastritis in 8 (8%) patients; combination of atrophic and erosive gastritis, 26 (26%) patients; combination of erosive and erythematous gastritis in 7 (7%) patients; combination of atrophic and erythematous gastritis in 14 (14%) patients and ulcer in 17 (17%) of cases. The histological results revealed chronic active gastritis in 48 (48%), atrophic gastritis in 37 (37%), lymphoid aggregation and/or follicle in 38 (38%) and intestinal metaplasia in 6 patients (6%). The prevalence of H. pylori infection by disease is as follows: reflux esophagitis 15/21; peptic ulcer in 13/17 patients; intestinal metaplasia in 5/6 patiente; atrophic gastritis in 19/23 patients.

Among the 100 patients, 63, 20, and 17 had definite positive, probable positive and absolute negative H. pylori infection status. The diagnostic performance of the HP stool antigen assay was as follows (Table 3): sensitivity of 94.5%, specificity, 96.2%; positive-predictive value, 93%; negative-predictive value, 94%; and concordance of 90.4%. The diagnostic performance of the H. pylori ELISA assay was also high, with a sensitivity of 90.5% and specificity of 92%. Combining the HP stool antigen with the ELISA assay raised the sensitivity to 98%, the specificity to 97%, PPV to 96% and NPV to 95%.
### Table 1: Baseline Demographic And Clinical Characteristics Of Patients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) mean±SD (95% CI of the mean)</td>
<td>42.17±6.2 (35.86-38.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females (n ;%)</td>
<td>37 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms (heart burn, dyspepsia, epigastric pain; n, %)</td>
<td>78 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total bilirubin ± SD (mg/dl) (95% CI of the mean)</td>
<td>1.04±1.2 (0.6 to 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ALT ± SD (U/liter) (95% CI of the mean)</td>
<td>44.5±13.96 (12.6 to 61.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean AST ± SD (U/liter) (95% CI of the mean)</td>
<td>43.15±12.2 (9.94 to 64.26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Helicobacter Pylori Detection By Histology, HP Stool Antigen And ELISA Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>HP stool antigen</th>
<th>HP ELISA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>HP definite positive</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>probable</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Predictive Value of HP stool antigen and HP ELISA versus Histology:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity (95% CI)</th>
<th>Specificity (95% CI)</th>
<th>PPV (95% CI)</th>
<th>NPV (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HP stool antigen</td>
<td>0.94 (0.44–0.96)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.86–0.98)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.77–0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP ELISA</td>
<td>0.90 (0.74–0.96)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.80–1.00)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.53–0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP stool antigen + ELISA</td>
<td>0.98 (0.45-100)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.50–1.00)</td>
<td>96 (0.45-0.96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The stool antigen test for H. pylori diagnosis has been approved and standardized for use in primary diagnosis and monitoring after treatment. In addition, the Maastricht III Consensus report recommends the use of both the urea breath test and stool antigen test for the diagnosis and follow-up of H. pylori infection (20, 21). However, the stool antigen test is non-invasive, cost effective, and requires a short time to perform. Therefore, it is convenient for patients and can be easily performed even in small laboratories and primary outpatient clinics. On the other hand, the HP ELISA requires specific detection equipment which delays the diagnostic process in addition it is more expensive (23). The prevalence of H. pylori infection in the present study is similar to that in previous reports of Helicobacter pylori in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (24,25). The sensitivity and specificity of the HP stool antigen are similar that of a systematic view of 89 studies, which determined sensitivities of 91 and 93 (7). Although it is recommended to test multiple stool specimens in batches (21), only one sample was used for each patient in this study, which may have decreased the sensitivity by 5–10. Although the infection rate was high when 2 specimens were obtained, it was not significantly higher than that determined with 1, or 3 or more specimens. A previous study reported that people > 40 years of age showed higher positive rates of H. pylori infection (5). In the current study, there was significant differences between the prevalence of H. pylori in patients > and <40 years of age.

The diagnostic performance of the HP stool antigen assay was as follows (Table 2): sensitivity of 94.5%, specificity, 96.2%; positive-predictive value, 93%; negative-predictive value, 94%; and concordance of 90.4%. The diagnostic performance of the H. pylori ELISA assay was also high, with a sensitivity of 90.5% and specificity of 92%. Combining the HP stool antigen with the ELISA assay raised the sensitivity to 98%, the specificity to 97%, PPV to 96% and NPV to 95%. Previous studies reported that combining more than one non-invasive assay performed better. The results indicated that the performance of the evaluated kit was robust, regardless of the histological findings. Unlike the rapid urease test, which has been reported to have low sensitivity in atrophic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia (19,25), the present study showed 81%–100% sensitivity and specificity in cases of atrophic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, chronic active gastritis, and intestinal metaplasia. GERD has been reported to be inversely correlated with H. pylori infection rates (25). In the present study, the prevalence of H. pylori infection showed an inverse correlation between these 2 groups, but the performance of the evaluated kit produced similar results. The inclusion of patients with ulcers may have
affected the diagnostic performance of the test in
the present and previous studies. Therefore,
guidelines for establishing the ratio of patients
with and without ulcers to be included in
evaluations of stool antigen tests may be required
to reduce selection bias.
Combinations of at least 2 tests have been
adopted (26), including histology, HP stool
antigen, and HP ELISA. The tests used in the
present study are different from those used in
previous studies, including the urea breath
test, culture, rapid urease test on biopsy
specimens, serological testing, and histology
as the gold standard. Our results confirm that
HP stool antigen, and HP ELISA. Assays are
non-invasive rapid test for H. pylori diagnosis
that demonstrates high performance among
patients with dyspepsia.

IN CONCLUSION
Our study showed a good correlation between
the compared methods. The combination of the
H. pylori stools antigen and H. pylori ELISA
test for the detection of H. pylori in stool
specimens constitutes a non-invasive diagnostic
alternative.
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KEY POINT
1. H.P is highly endemic especially in
developing countries as environmental
pollution (water & food hygiene) essential
for transmission of such disease.
2. Early detection & diagnosis of H. P
infection it was very important to decline
the most dangerous consequences (MALTomas).
3. New modalities for the diagnosis of H.P open new hope for early detection and treatment as well for eradication of H.P.
4. With early detection and management of H.P, the dyspeptic symptoms & Gastric lymphoma (MALT) will be decline and quality of life will be improved.
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