http://jmscr.igmpublication.org/home/ ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v12i05.02



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research An Official Publication Of IGM Publication

## Assessment of Perceived Stress among Dental Students in India -A Cross Sectional Study

Authors Mandrita Chatterjee<sup>1\*</sup>, Payel Chakraborti<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor (Grade I), Physiology, Dr B C Roy Multi Speciality Medical Research Centre, IIT

Kharagpur, India <sup>2</sup>Senior Resident, Physiology, Medical College, Kolkata \*Corresponding Author

## Dr Mandrita Chatterjee

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Dentistry is considered as a highly demanding and stress oriented profession. Elevated stress levels due to prolonged workload may precipitate fatigue declining student performance. The aim of the present study was to assess the Perceived sources of stress among first year dental undergraduate students at a private institution in India and to investigate whether specific stressors were related to age and gender.

**Materials and Methods:** It was a Descriptive, Epidemiological, Institution based Cross-sectional study. A modified dental environment stress (DES) questionnaire was administered to 84 First year dental students to assess the levels of stress.

**Results:** The first major stressor for all students was lack of time for relaxation with a mean score of 2.35 (SD 0.85) followed by examination and grades, Lack of time to do assigned college work, Full working day, Late ending time and Fear of unable to catch up if getting behind the work. Amongst the six highest stressors in each year, four were Workload related. There was a significant difference in stress perception in the "Other" category between genders with a predilection for males. In the category "Self Efficacy beliefs", the stress perception of students from Nuclear family was significantly greater than that of Joint family. (p<0.05)

**Conclusion:** The primary sources of stress as perceived by 84 students at one private dental school in India were increased workload and Performance pressure. This study would contribute to enhance students' stress-bearing skills and help in deciding future course of action through counselling and psychiatric support systems.

**Keywords:** First year BDS students, Questionnaire based study, Modified Dental Environment Stress (DES) Questionnaire.

#### Introduction

Stress is a psychological or physiological imbalance resulting due to the disparity between situational demand and the individual's ability and motivation to meet those needs. It is better defined as the body's response to any real or imagined event perceived as requiring some adaptive response and/or producing strain<sup>[1]</sup> Stress is usually an emotional discrepancy which may be due to various reasons such as financial issues, job selections, social status, level of education, competition with colleagues and family problems.<sup>[2]</sup> High-stress levels may even impair the immune system function<sup>[3]</sup>

Among all the health care professions, dentistry is considered as a highly demanding and stress oriented profession.<sup>[4]</sup> Dental students have to face the additional stress of study in addition to the stress related to dentistry as a profession. Moreover, increasing stress may result in declining student performance. Some sources of stress include changes in sleeping habits, lack of holidays, irregular eating habits, increased workload and new responsibilities<sup>[5]</sup>. Elevated stress levels due to prolonged workload may precipitate long- term work-related exhaustion.

Over the past three decades, there is an increase in the stress reported among medical students from all over the world.<sup>[6,7]</sup> A study from Seth G.S. Medical College in Mumbai showed that 73% of the medical students participated in the study had stress[8] Like medical students, dental students also are prone to develop stress because of the vast syllabus and other academic activities, social and personal issues. Many studies are reported in various parts of the world,[9] but studies from dental colleges from India are less.<sup>[10,11]</sup> A study conducted by Chilukuriet al. from Tamil Nadu revealed a high prevalence of stress among dental students whereas another study conducted at Raichur, Karnataka, showed a prevalence rate of 29.5% only.<sup>[10]</sup>

Although studies have been conducted on medical and dental undergraduates to evaluate the causes of stress. However, to our knowledge no study has been reported on perceived sources and factors affecting stress among Eastern Indian dental students. In this study, we aimed to identify the potential sources of stress of the 1st year undergraduate students of the private dental institution. This can further lead to enhance students' stress-bearing skills and also may help to prevent student burnout.

#### Objectives

- i. To assess the Perceived sources of stress among first year dental students.
- ii. To assess the prevalence of stress among 1st year dental students.
- iii. To investigate whether specific stressors were related to age and gender.

## Materials & Methods

**Ethical Clearance:** Study commenced after obtaining the ethical clearance from Institutional Ethics Committee of Medical College, Kolkata. Written Permission was also obtained from the authorities of Kusum Devi Sunderlal Dugar Jain Dental College & Hospital, Kolkata

**Study Type:** Descriptive, Epidemiological, Institution based

Study Design: Cross-sectional.

**Study Area:** The study was conducted at Kusum Devi Sunderlal Dugar Jain Dental College & Hospital, Kolkata

**Study Period:** Total study duration was 3 months. (September 2023- November 2023)

**Study Population:** The study population was all the First year Dental (BDS) students, who got admission at Kusum Devi Sunderlal Dugar Jain Dental College & Hospital, Kolkata, in 2023-24 session.

## **Inclusion Criteria:**

- All First year Undergraduate BDS students enrolled in Kusum Devi Sunderlal Dugar Jain Dental College & Hospital in 2023-24 session.
- 2. Those who gave written consent for participation.

## **Exclusion Criteria:**

- 1. Those who could not be approached for data collection
- 2. Those who would not give consent.
- 3. Students who were already diagnosed with depression and taking treatment for any Psychiatric condition.

**Sample Size:** The total number of 1st year BDS students enrolled in Kusum Devi Sunderlal Dugar Jain Dental College & Hospital was102. Out of them, 84 participated in the study.

**Sampling Design:** Complete enumeration was done.<sup>[12]</sup>

**Study Tool:** Stress was measured using a modified dental environment stress (DES) questionnaire<sup>[13]</sup> which consists of 38 questions that are applicable to the Indian dental education background. [Fig: 1]

The questionnaire was divided into two parts. First part comprised of demographic information like age and gender, type of family and Choice of Admission (Whether Dental was their first choice or not). The second part of the questionnaire was based on stress aggravating factors. The categories self-efficacy beliefs. Faculty wereand administration, Workload, Preclinical and clinical training. Performance pressure and Other. Questions related to clinical training 3, 4, 10, 16, 18, 19, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 38 were excluded from the questionnaire administered to nonclinical First year students.

Self-efficacy beliefs
1 Lack of confidence to be a successful dental student
2 Lack of confidence to be a successful dentist
3 Completing clinical requirements
4 Fear of not having possibility to pursue a postgraduate
aental education programme
5 Lack of confidence in own decision making
6 Fear of failing a course or a year
7 Difficulty in understanding lecture materials
8 Language barrier
9 Fear of unable to catch up if getting behind the work
Faculty and administration
10 Atmosphere created by clinical supervisors
11 Receiving criticism from supervisors about academic or
clinical work
12 Amount of cheating in dental faculty
13 Rules and regulations of the faculty
14 Approachability of teaching staff
15 Expectation of dental faculty and what in reality it is like
16 Availability of supervisors in clinic
17 Attitudes of faculty towards women dental students
18 Shortage of allocated clinical time
19 Differences in oninion between clinical staff concerning
patient treatment
Workload
20 Amount of work assigned
21 Full working day
22 Lack of time for relavation
23 Lack of time to do assigned college work
23 Luck of time to do assigned concept work
Dation treatment
ration treatment
25 Luck of cooperation by patient in their home care
20 Kesponsibilities for comprehensive patient care
2/ Patients being late or not showing for their appointments
28 Working on patients with dirty mouths
Preclinical and clinical training
29 Difficulty in learning clinical procedures
30 Difficulty in learning precision manual skills required for
preclinical and laboratory work
Performance pressure
31 Competition with peers for grades
32 Examination and grades
Other
33 Relation with members of the opposite sex
34 Difficult home/ hostel environment in which to study
35 Fear of unemployment after graduation
36 Financial resources
37 Personal physical health
38 Availability of laboratory technicians
Figure: 1 Modified dental environment stress
(DFS) questionnoine
() mations in the iteliesllll f. 41

Questions in the italics were excluded from the questionnaire administered

The response for each question was based on a Likert-type scale with response options of 1- not stressful, 2 - slightly stressful, 3 - moderately stressful and 4 - severely stressful.

Data collection and interpretation: The study was conducted during the middle of the academic year 2023-2024. Questionnaires were distributed by the authors during one lecture for with prior permission from the Dean of the institution and the aims of the study were explained. The time allocated for completion of the questionnaire was 15 minutes. The questionnaire was distributed and anonymously submitted for assuring confidentiality to the students. All participants took part in the study voluntarily and no incentives were used for the respondents. Students who could not be approached for data collection after three attempts were excluded from the study.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The data was entered and analyzed using SPSS (statistical package for the social sciences) statistical software 22 version. Means and standard deviations were determined for stress scores of individuals for each item and used to compare the genders. Students' t-test (unpaired) was used for two group comparisons like gender difference. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Descriptive statistics and Chi square test were used to determine the significant differences.

#### Result

- Out of a total of 102 students, 84 participated in the study for a participation rate of 82.35%.
- .Mean age of all the participants was  $21.06 \pm 3.26$  years. Out of them Mean age of male participants was  $21.47 \pm 4.65$  years, while Mean age of female participants was  $20.72 \pm 1.26$  years.

**Table 1:** Distribution of participants according to gender

	Frequency	Percent
Male	38	45.1
Female	46	54.9
Total	84	100

• 45.1% of the participants were Male and 54.9% were female.[Table 1]

**Table 2:** Distribution of participants according totype of family

	Frequency	Percent
Nuclear	72	85.7
Joint	12	14.3
Total	84	100.0

• Most (85.7%) of the students belonged to Nuclear family. [Table 2]

**Table 3:** Distribution of participants according to Choice of Admission (1<sup>st</sup> choice Dental or not)

	Frequency	Percent
1st	20	23.8
2nd	64	76.2
Total	84	100.0

 Maximum students (76.2%) opted for Dentistry as 2<sup>nd</sup> choice of admission after Medicine. [Table 3] Table 4: Overall Modified Dental Environment Stress (DES) Questionnaire Score among the Participants:

DES Questionnaire	Score
	Mean ±SD
Self-efficacy beliefs	11.94±2.58
1 Lack of confidence to be a successful dental student	$1.77 \pm 0.7$
2 Lack of confidence to be a successful dentist	1.81±0.67
5 Lack of confidence in own decision making	1.65±0.75
6 Fear of failing a course or a year	1.80±0.77
7 Difficulty in understanding lecture materials	1.5±0.61
8 Language barrier	1.35±0.63
9 Fear of unable to catch up if getting behind the work	2.06±0.83
Faculty and administration	9.39±2.79
11 Receiving criticism from supervisors about academic or clinical work	1.82±0.81
12 Amount of cheating in dental faculty	1.43±0.66
13 Rules and regulations of the faculty	1.68±0.93
14 Approachability of teaching staff	1.39±0.62
15 Expectation of dental faculty and what in reality it is like	$1.76\pm0.82$
17 Attitudes of faculty towards women dental students	1.31±0.6
Workload	10.67±2.76
20 Amount of work assigned	1.93±0.76
21 Full working day	2.12±0.83
22 Lack of time for relaxation	2.35±0.85
23 Lack of time to do assigned college work	2.2±0.85
24 Late ending time	2.07±0.83
Preclinical and clinical training	1.87±0.77
30 Difficulty in learning precision manual skills required for preclinical and laboratory work	1.87±0.77
Performance pressure	4.26±1.53
31 Competition with peers for grades	2.02±0.88
32 Examination and grades	2.24±0.82
Other	9.86±2.2
33 Relation with members of the opposite sex	1.32±0.62
34 Difficult home/ hostel environment in which to study	1.51±0.72
35 Fear of unemployment after graduation	2.02±0.93
36 Financial resources	1.93±0.72
37 Personal physical health	1.58±0.7
38 Availability of laboratory technicians	1.49±0.67
Total	$\textbf{47.80} \pm \textbf{8.51}$

• Questionnaire in italics are top six stressors among students.

The first major stressor for all the students was lack of time for relaxation with a mean score of  $2.35\pm0.85$  followed by examination and grades ( $2.24\pm0.82$ ), Lack of time to do assigned college

work (2.2 $\pm$ 0.85), Full working day (2.12 $\pm$ 0.83), Late ending time (2.07 $\pm$ 0.83) and Fear of unable to catch up if getting behind the work (2.06 $\pm$ 0.83). [Table 4]

<b>Table 5.</b> Weath scores of perceived sources of suess, unreferices between whate and remain	Table 5: Mean scores of	perceived sources of stress: differences between Male and Femal
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------

Category	Mean score among Male (N=38)	Mean score among Females (N=46)	t(df)	p value	CI
Self-efficacy beliefs	12.08 ±2.88	11.83 ±2.33	0.44 (82)	0.66	-0.88-1.38
Faculty and administration	9.63 ±2.57	$9.2 \pm 2.97$	0.7 (82)	0.49	-0.79- 1.65
Workload	$10.74 \pm 2.82$	$10.61 \pm 2.74$	0.214(82)	0.831	-1.08-1.34
Preclinical and clinical training	$1.92\pm0.85$	$1.83\pm0.71$	0.53(82)	0.6	-0.25 - 0.43
Performance pressure	4.03 ± 1.62	4.46± 1.44	1.29(87)	0.2	-1.09 - 0.23
Other	$10.32 \pm 2.36$	9.48 ±1.2	2.108(82)	0.03	0.05-1.63
Total	48.71 ± 8.72	47.39 ±8.56	0.698(82)	0.49	-2.44 - 5.08

• It was observed that Male students had significantly higher stress score in the Other category than Females. (p=0.03) [Table 5]

**Table 6:** Mean scores of perceived sources of stress: differences between Students belonging to Joint and Nuclear Family

Category	Mean score	Mean score among	t(df)	p value	CI
	among Students of	Students of Nuclear			
	Joint Family (N=12)	Family (N=72)			
Self-efficacy	9.75 ±1.36	$12.3 \pm 2.56$	3.36 (82)	0.0012	-4.06-1.04
beliefs					
Faculty and	9.83 ±2.33	$9.32 \pm 2.87$	0.58 (82)	0.56	-1.23- 2.25
administration					
Workload	$11.33 \pm 3.85$	$10.56 \pm 2.55$	0.89(82)	0.37	-0.94-2.48
Preclinical and	$2.17 \pm 1.11$	$1.82 \pm 0.7$	1.46(82)	0.15	-0.13 - 0.83
clinical training					
Performance	$4.67 \pm 1.72$	4.19± 1.5	1.01(82)	0.32	-0.47 - 1.42
pressure					
Other	10.67 ±2.27	9.72 ±2.17	1.4(82)	0.17	-0.4-2.3
Total	$48.42 \pm 8.99$	47.92 ±7.41	0.21(82)	0.83	-4.24 - 5.24

• It was observed that among students who belonged to Nuclear family, had significantly higher stress score in the category of Self Efficacy beliefs than those belonging to Joint family (p=0.0012) [Table 6]

**Table 7:** Mean scores of perceived sources of stress: differences between choice of Admission of Students (1<sup>st</sup> choice of Admission Dental or not)

Category	Mean score among Students	Mean score among Students	t(df)	p value	CI
	(N=20)	(N=64)			
Self-efficacy	12.1±2.59	$11.89 \pm 2.57$	0.32 (82)	0.75	-1.1-1.52
beliefs					
Faculty and	9.7 ±2.52	$9.3\pm2.86$	0.56 (82)	0.58	-1.1- 1.82
administration					
Workload	$11.2 \pm 3.32$	10.5 ±2.45	1.02(82)	0.31	-0.66-2.06
Preclinical and	$2.05\pm0.94$	$1.81 \pm 0.7$	1.23(82)	0.22	-0.15 - 0.63
clinical training					
Performance	$4.1 \pm 1.71$	$4.31 \pm 1.47$	0.54(82)	0.59	-0.99 - 0.57
pressure					
Other	10.4 ±2.33	9.69 ±2.13	1.4(82)	0.17	-0.4-2.3
Total	$49.55 \pm 8.86$	47.5 ±8.47	1.27(82)	0.21	-0.4 - 1.82

• Difference in Stress perception was not significant between Students with 1st Choice as Dentistry and Students with 2nd Choice as Dentistry after Medicine. [Table 7]

Modified Dental Environment Stress Score was divided in to two groups where < mean Score (47.9) was Determined as low stress and more than equal to mean score was determined as high stress and Chi square test were used to determine the significant differences between the two groups.

		Modif	ied Dental		
		Environment Stress Score		$\chi^2$ value	
		Low Stress	High Stress	(df)	p value
		< Mean	$\geq$ Mean	(ui)	
		score (<47.9)	Score (≥47.9)		
	< 20 Vaara	20	18		
1 22	$\geq 20$ rears	52.6%	47.4%	0.576(1)	0.45
Age	> 20 Vaara	28	18	0.576(1)	
	> 20 rears	60.9%	39.1%		
	Mala	21	17		0.75
Conton	Male	55.3%	44.7%	.1 (1)	
Gender	Female	27	19		
		58.7%	41.3%		
		7	13		
Choice of Admission	1st 2nd	35%	65%		0.12
				2.36(1)	
		35	29		
		54.69%	45.31%		
Family .	Nuclear	31	41	9.87 (1)	.005
	i vacioui	43.1%	56.9%		
	<b>T</b>	11	1		
	Joint	91.7%	8.3%		

**Table 8:** Association between contributing variables and Modified Dental Environment Stress Score

- Among all the students, 48(57.14%) were in the low stress group, while 36(42.86%) were in the high stress group
- Among students aged less or equal to 20 yrs, 20 (52.6%) were in the low stress group, while 18 (47.4%) were in the high stress group.
- Among male students, 21 (55.3%) were in Low stress group, whereas 16(44.7%) were in high stress group.
- Among Female students, 27 (58.7%) were in Low stress group, whereas 19(41.3%) were in high stress group
- It was also observed that among students who belonged to Nuclear family, 31 (43.1%) were in Low stress group, whereas 41(56.9%) were in high stress group. In case of students who belonged to Joint family, 11 (91.7%) were in Low stress group, whereas 1(8.3%) were in high stress group. So students belonging to nuclear family were found to perceive significantly greater stress than those of Joint family. (p=0.005). [Table 8]

#### Discussion

In our study, total 36 (42.86%) students were in the High stress group, out of which 43.2% were Males and 40% Females. In a study conducted among 273 students of a dental college in Telangana using Kessler 10 Psychological Distress instrument, 58% were having stress.<sup>[11]</sup> On the other hand, in a study conducted among dental students at Raichur, the prevalence of stress was found to be only 29.5%<sup>[14]</sup>

The main aim of the present study was to identify the perceived sources of stress amongst Indian dental undergraduate students that may provide administrators an opportunity to be proactive in their approach to students and to modify the teaching curriculum to be more conducive to the students.

Study conducted by Sekhonet al in India found that most commonly observed factors of academic stress includes marks in exams (95%), not enough time between the exams (94%) and fear of failure (90.5%).<sup>[15]</sup>

Study conducted by Ishaqueel al in Rawalpindi city of Pakistan described that the most common

stress among dental students was the fear of failing in annual exams along with huge syllabus.<sup>[16]</sup>

In this study the first major stressor for all the students was lack of time for relaxation which is in accordance with previous findings. However a mean score of 2.35 (SD 0.85) is very low when compared with studies from the USA, Singapore, Australia and Jordan where the mean scores were 3.22, 3.14, 3.34 and 3.49 respectively. Students in earlier years tended to have higher levels of stress when compared with later years on items related to academic performance supported by previous studies<sup>[17]</sup>.

In our study 4 of the six highest stressors were related to Workload. This was similar to a previous study based in Karnataka.<sup>[18]</sup>

In our study male students reported higher stress scores in the overall problem score than females, especially in the 'Other' category of the DES questionnaire (p=0.03). A comparable trend was observed by Acharya <sup>[18]</sup> in his survey on Indian dental students. Although some studies showed reverse trends as well <sup>[19]</sup>

It was also seen that students from nuclear family (85.7%) experienced more stress than those of joint family (14.7%) in the 'Self Efficacy Beliefs' category (p=0.0012). The cause may be the underlying beliefs and cultural systems that differs between a Joint and a Nuclear family. That may be also why in our study it was also observed that among students who belonged to Nuclear family 56.9% were in high stress group, whereas in case of Joint family, only 8.3% were in high stress group. So students belonging to nuclear family were found to perceive greater stress than those of Joint family. (p=0.005)

In our study most of the students (76.2%) chose Dentistry as the second choice, after Medicine as the first choice although no statistical significance about them perceiving higher stress was obtained. Previous research has shown that students whose first choice was medicine showed higher stress levels than those whose first choice was dentistry.<sup>[20]</sup> It was noted that stress due to financial concerns was low in this study. This can be explained by the fact that the government largely subsidizes dental education, and parents finance their children's education, which mostly spares the student anxiety about financial resources.

#### Conclusions

The primary sources of stress as perceived by dental students were lack of time for relaxation, examination and grades, Lack of time to do assigned college work, Full working day, Late ending time and Fear of unable to catch up if getting behind the work. In the present study, males expressed higher levels of stress compared to females, so a stress management program should be conceived with special attention towards male dental students.

It was also observed that students from Nuclear family expressed higher levels of stress compared to those from Joint family, so inquiry and insight of socio-economic and family life of the students will give better understanding in handling the stress.

Moreover, 4 of the top 6 stressors were related to performance pressure and workload. It appears there is a need for the establishment of student advisors and counsellors combined with a faculty advising system in addition to student-oriented programs.

Future research is recommended with a greater sample size to look at these variables longitudinally at different time of the academic year and also across all the Professional years to try and achieve a better understanding of the evolution of stress through the dental curriculum.

**Funding**: The Equipment from the Department of Physiology of Medical College, Kolkata were used for this research work.

**Competing interests**: There was no competing interest

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the dean of Kusum Devi Sunderlal Dugar Jain Dental College & Hospital, Kolkata

All the faculty and staff of Department of Physiology, Medical College, Kolkata,

Prof Kakali Das (Sarkar), HOD, Department of Physiology, Medical College, Kolkata and

All the participants of Kusum Devi Sunderlal Dugar Jain Dental College & Hospital, Kolkata for giving their valuable support throughout the study.

**Sources of support in the form of grants:** Self-funded

#### References

- Ross S, Cleland J, Macleod MJ. Stress, debt and undergraduate medical student performance. Med Educ 2006;40:584-9
- Qamar K, Khan NS, Bashir Kiani MR. Factors associated with stress among medical students. J Pak Med Assoc. 2015;65(7):753-5
- Sanders A, Lushington K. Sources of stress for Australian dental students. J Dent Educ1999; 63 (9): 688–697
- Gangwar A, Kiran U. Occupational Stress among Dentists. Int J Sci Res. 2016;4(7):28-30
- Oleribe OO, Ukwedeh O, Burstow NJ, Gomaa AI, Sonderup MW, Cook N, Waked I, Spearman W, Taylor-Robinson SD. Health: redefined. Pan Afr Med J. 2018;30:292.
- Singh G, Hankins M, Weinman JA. Does medical school cause health anxiety and worry in medical students? Med Educ 2004;38:479-81.
- Wilkinson TJ, Gill DJ, Fitzjohn J, Palmer CL, Mulder RT. The impact on students of adverse experiences during medical school. Med Teach 2006;28:129-35.
- Supe AN. A study of stress in medical students at Seth G.S. Medical college. J Postgrad Med 1998;44:1-6

- Naidu RS, Adams JS, Simeon D, Persad S. Sources of stress and psychological disturbance among dental students in the West Indias. J Dent Educ 2002;66:1021-30.
- Chilukuri H, Bachali S, Naidu NJ, Basha AS, Selvam SV. Perceived stress amongst medical and dental students. AP J Psychol Med 2012;13:104-7.
- 11. Kodumuri PK, Thomas C, Singh PA, Rathod S. Evaluation of perceived stress and its source among dental students-a cross sectional study. J Evol Med Dent Sci 2016;5:3425-8.
- Coats, R. H. (1931). Enumeration and Sampling in the Field of the Census. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 26(175), 270–284.
- 13. Kumar, S., Dagli, R. J., Mathur, A., Jain, M., Prabu, D., & Kulkarni, S. (2009). Perceived sources of stress amongst Indian dental students. European journal of dental education : official journal of the Association for Dental Education in Europe, 13(1), 39–45.
- Mane AB,Krishnakumar MK, Paul NC, Shashidhar HG. Differences in perceived stress and its correlates among students in professional courses. J Clin Diagn Res 2011;5:1228-33.
- Sekhon TS, Grewal S, Gambhir RS, Sharma S. Perceived sources of stress among dental college students: An Indian perspective. European J Gen Dent. 2015;4(3):121-26.
- 16. Ishaque MY, Farid H, Yasmeen S. Perceived causes of stress among dental undergraduates at army medical college, Rawal¬pindi. Pak Oral Dental J. 2015;35(1):7-9.
- Westerman GH, Grandy TG, Ocanto RA, Erskine CG. Perceived sources of stress in the dental school environment. J Dent Educ 1993: 57: 225–231.

## 2024

- Acharya S. Factors affecting stress among Indian dental students. J Dent Educ 2003: 67: 1140–1148.
- 19. Faisal SA, Ahmed ZA, Shahid M et al. An Analysis of "Stress Factors" among Dental Students, 2022, PREPRINT (Version 1)
- 20. Lamis DR. Perceived sources of stress among dental students at the University of Jordan. J Dent Educ 2001;65:232-41