http://jmscr.igmpublication.org/home/ ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v10i5.08



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research

### Bacterial Co-Infections in COVID-19 Positive Patients in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Southern Assam

Authors **Dr Subhrendu Sekhar Sen<sup>1</sup>, Dr Anindita Kurmi<sup>2</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, SMCH <sup>2</sup>PGT, Department of Microbiology, SMCH Corresponding Author **Dr Anindita Kurmi** 

#### Abstract

Owing to the limited therapeutic options, the current ongoing pandemic COVID-19 pandemic has raised concerns over secondary infections .Country and region-specific clinical data encompassing various infections are needed in guiding evidence-based treatment of COVID-19. To fill this major gap in our knowledge, we conducted a prospective study to ascertain the actual burden & molecular profile of pathogens causing s bacterial co infections in COVID-19 patients.

Out of 100 patients, 38 were admitted to ICU and 62 in wards. The outcome measure analysis was done in 24 patients whose clinical samples were culture positive. Blood was the predominant sample followed by urine. In blood, Klebsiella pneumoniae was the commonest isolate and was resistant to most antibiotics except colistin. Candida species was the predominant pathogen in urine.

### Background

The current pandemic COVID-19 encompassed most countries of the world. Numerous emerging complications were reported which contributed greatly to the mortality and morbidity<sup>1,9</sup> of COVID-19 positive patients. Amongst these, coinfections played a crucial role, significantly affecting lives of these patients. Although the prevalence of co-infection was variable, higher proportion of co-infection was associated with non-survivor group as compared to survivor group. In wider date, there remains a paucity of information on frequency, nature and susceptibility co-infecting profiles of the and therefore pathogens this study was undertaken.

### Aims & Objectives

The present study was therefore undertaken to -

- 1. Determine the prevalence of bacterial coinfections in COVID-19 patients.
- 2. Identify the most common co-infecting organism.
- 3. Study their Antimicrobial susceptibility (AST) pattern.

### Methods

A monocenter prospective study was conducted in a tertiary care hospital in Southern Assam including 100 adult patients ( $\geq$ 18 years old) from ICU and ward of COVID-19 positive patients. Samples collection was done with due adherence to standard infection control guidelines and

transported to the laboratory immediately using the shortest path. Direct microscopy followed by inoculation in different media at 37<sup>o</sup>C for 48 hours. Colonial growth was subjected to Gram staining and biochemical identification. AST was performed on Muller-Hington Agar using disc diffusion technique using CLSI guidelines.

### Results

The various samples taken into account for the present study is enumerated in the following figure



Blood was the predominant sample (27%) and was followed by urine (18%). Body fluids were invariably sterile.

38 ICU patients and 62 COVID-19 ward patients were included in the study on random basis.The

outcome measure analysis was done in 24 (24%) patients whose clinical samples were culture positive. Out of 24 positive samples 18 were from COVID-19 ICU and rest 6 positive samples were from COVID-19 ward.



2022

| $\mathcal{C}$ |                    | 1        |          |          |        | 0       |       |           |
|---------------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|-------|-----------|
| SL.NO         | ISOLATES           | BLOOD    | URINE    | PUS      | SPUTU  | ET TUBE | BODY  | TOTAL     |
|               |                    |          |          |          | Μ      |         | FLUID |           |
| 1             | Staphylococcus     | 1(14.2%) | -        | 3(50%)   | -      | 1(50%)  | -     | 4(16.67%) |
|               | aureus             |          |          |          |        |         |       |           |
| 2             | Coagulase          | 2(28.5%) | -        | 1(16.6%) | -      | -       | -     | 4(16.67%) |
|               | negative           |          |          |          |        |         |       |           |
|               | staphylococcus     |          |          |          |        |         |       |           |
| 3             | Enterococcus       | -        | 1(14.2%) | -        | -      | -       | -     | 1(4.16%)  |
|               | species            |          |          |          |        |         |       |           |
| 4             | Klebsiella species | 4(57.1%) | -        | 1(16.6%) | 1(50%) | 1-(50%) | •     | 7(29.2%)  |
| 5             | Escherichia coli   | -        | 1(14.2%) | -        | 1(50%) |         | -     | 2(8.33%   |
| 6             | Acinetobacter      | -        | -        | 1(16.6%) | -      | -       | -     | 1(4.16%)  |
|               | species            |          |          |          |        |         |       |           |
| 7.            | Candida species    | -        | 5(71.4)  | -        | -      | -       | -     | 5(20.83%) |
|               | TOTAL              | 7        | 7        | 6        | 2      | 2       | -     | 24        |

The organisms isolated from different specimen are enlisted in the following table

Overall, *Klebsiella pneumonia* (29.2%) was the most common isolate. Urine culture showed significant growth of Candida species (20.83%) Pus sample showed significant growth of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (16.67%) was the predominant isolate in pus sample.



### ANTIMICROBIAL SUSEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF GRAM NEGATIVE BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM COVID POSITIVE PATIENTS

| BACTERIAL        | TOTAL    | PATTERN | AMC | CPM | CIP | CL | NIT | PIT | IPM | MRP | AMP |
|------------------|----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| SPECIES          | ISOLATES |         |     |     |     |    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Escherichia coli | 2        | S       | 1   | 2   | 1   | 2  | 1   | 1   | 2   | 2   | 1   |
|                  |          | R       | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0  |     | 1   | 0   | 0   | 1   |
| Klebsiella       | 7        | S       | 2   | 7   | 3   | 7  |     | 4   | 4   | 3   | 1   |
| species          |          | R       | 5   | 0   | 4   | 0  |     | 3   | 3   | 4   | 6   |
| Acinetobacter    | 1        | S       | 0   | 1   | 0   | 1  | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 0   |
| species          |          | R       | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   |

|   | ISOLATED FROM COVID POSITIVE PATIENTS |       |         |     |    |     |    |     |     |     |    |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|
|   |                                       |       |         |     |    |     |    |     |     |     |    |
| E | BACTERIAL                             | TOTAL | PATTERN | AZM | CX | COT | CD | CIP | GEN | VAN | LZ |

ANTIMICROBIAL SUSEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF GRAM POSITIVE BACTERIA

| DACIERIAL            | IUIAL    | PATTERN | AZM | CA | CUI | CD | CIP | GEN | VAN | LZ |
|----------------------|----------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| SPECIES              | ISOLATES |         |     |    |     |    |     |     |     |    |
| Staphylococcus       | 4        | S       | 2   | 0  | 3   | 2  | 1   | 3   | 4   | 4  |
| aureus               |          | R       | 2   | 4  | 1   | 2  | 3   | 1   | 0   | 0  |
| Coagulase negative   | 4        | S       | 1   | 1  | 2   | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 4  |
| staphylococcus       |          | R       | 3   | 3  | 2   | 3  | 2   | 1   | 0   | 0  |
| Enterococcus species | 1        | S       | 0   | -  | 1   | 0  | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1  |
|                      |          | R       | 1   | -  | 0   | 1  | 1s  | 0   | 0   | 0  |

Majority of the pathogen isolated were multidrug resistant. Klebsiella was the commonest isolate and was resiatant to almost all drugs except colistin. All isolates of S. aureus were methicillin resistant

### Discussion

The present study reports positivity of 24% for bacterial co infections in COVID-19 patients. Study by Lansbury et al<sup>2</sup> reports a positivity of 14%. Various other studies<sup>3,4,5,6,7</sup> showed bacterial coinfections are common among COVID-19 patients admitted to an ICU, occurring in 6-29% of these patients. As our knowledge of COVID-19 grows. understanding presenting factors associated with bacterial coinfection is essential so that empirical antibacterial therapy can be targeted to high-risk patients. Advanced age and other comorbidities, such as chronic kidney disease, diabetes, and chronic heart disease, have been associated with bacterial co-infections in some but not in other studies.<sup>(8)</sup>

### Conclusion

Identification of possible co-pathogens and the underlying molecular resistance mechanism is critical in developing diagnostics, appropriative curative and preventive interventions which are the need of the hour.

A high rate of secondary infections with resistant pathogens in COVID-19 patients highlights the importance of implementing antimicrobial stewardship programs. Adherence to the practice of initiating culture based guidance for antibiotic and preventing irrational and over use of antimicrobial agents would be a rational approach.

### References

- Gupta R.K. Bacterial pneumonia and pandemic influenza planning. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2008;14:1187–1192. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Louise Lansbury, Benjamin Lim, Vadsala Baskaran, Shen Lim Wei. Co-infections in people with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect. 2020;81(2):266–275. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.046. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- 3. Kolenda C. Assessment of respiratory bacterial coinfections among severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2positive patients hospitalized in intensive care units using conventional culture and Bio Fire, Film Array Pneumonia Panel Plus Assay. Open Forum Infect. Dis. 2020;7 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Soriano M.C. Low incidence of coinfection, but high incidence of ICUacquired infections in critically ill patients with COVID-19. J. Infect. 2021;82:e20– e21. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Stevenson D.R. Improving antimicrobial stewardship in critically-ill patients with COVID-19. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2020 doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1559. Published online

October 11, 2020. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

- Contou D. Bacterial and viral co-infections in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia admitted to a French ICU. Ann. Intensive Care. 2020;10:119. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Dudoignon E. Bacterial pneumonia in COVID-19 critically ill patients: a case series. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2021;72:905–906. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Vaughn V.M. Empiric antibacterial therapy and community-onset bacterial coinfection in patients hospitalized with COVID-19: A multi-hospital cohort study. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2020 doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1239. Published online August 21, 2020. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar
- Saeed NK, Al-Khawaja S, Alsalman J, Almusawi S, Albalooshi NA, Al-Biltagi M. Bacterial co-infection in patients with SARS-CoV-2 in the Kingdom of Bahrain. World J Virol. 2021 Jul 25;10(4):168-181. doi: 10.5501/wjv.v10.i4.168. PMID: 34367932; PMCID: PMC8316874.