http://jmscr.igmpublication.org/home/ ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v10i3.21

Jou GM Publication

Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research

A study on Eustachian Tube Dysfunction after Tympanoplasty in Chronic Otitis Media mucosal disease

Authors

Megha Mohan¹, Susan James², Satheesh S³, Chippi Mohan⁴

¹Senior Resident, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Govt. Medical College, Ernakulam
²Associate Professor in ENT, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Govt. Medical College, Alappuzha
³Professor and Head, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Govt. Medical College, Kollam
⁴Assistant Professor in Audiology, Govt. Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram

Structured Abstract

Introduction: Good eustachian tube function (ETF) is one of the most important factor deciding the hearing outcome following tympanoplasty for chronic otitis media (COM) mucosal disease. However, assessment of eustachian tube function is not easy in the presence of a perforated tympanic membrane. This study aims to find out the proportion of patients with postoperative eustachian tube dysfunction (ETD) after tympanoplasty and to find the risk factors for post operative eustachian tube dysfunction in tympanoplasty for COM.

Materials and Methods: This is a prospective observational study, conducted from 1rst November 2017 to 31rst May 2019 in the Department of ENT, Government Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram. 105 consecutive patients with COM mucosal disease, who underwent tympanoplasty with or without cortical mastoidectomy, were included in the study and followed up for a period of 3 months and Eustachian tube function assessed. The patients with eustachian tube dysfunction were compared with those with normal eustachian tube function to identify the factors significant in the development of post-operative Eustachian tube dysfunction

Results: Out of the 105 cases studied, the proportion of patients with post-operative eustachian tube dysfunction was 49.5%. The risk factors significantly associated with post operative eustachian tube dysfunction were history of sino nasal disease, nasal allergy, abnormal ETF in contralateral ear and abnormal results of Toynbee test in preoperative Tympanometry for analyzing ETF in perforated tympanic membrane.

Conclusion: Hence, we would like to consider above mentioned risk factors as predictive factors of postoperative ETD. Good ETF was also associated with better hearing outcome, compared with patients with ETD.

Keywords: *Post-operative eustachian tube dysfunction; Tympanoplasty; Chronic otitis media; Impedance Audiometry.*

Introduction

The eustachian tube is a complex dynamic organ consisting of a mucosa lined canal, surrounded by

cartilage, soft tissues, bony supports and sphenoid sulcus. The eustachian tube ventilates the middle ear, regulates middle ear pressure, clears

middle secretions from damps ear, nasopharyngeal sound pressure and prevents nasopharyngeal reflux of secretions. The eustachian tube thus plays a vital role in middle ear function and eustachian tube dysfunction (ETD) leads to multiple middle ear pathologies and hearing loss. A normally functioning eustachian tube is considered a major positive prognostic factor in the success of tympanoplasty surgery. Hence, the assessment of eustachian tube function is of prime importance before undertaking any surgical procedures for chronic otitis media. But unfortunately, methods for precisely measuring preoperative eustachian tube function in the presence of a perforated tympanic membrane are inadequate. Many methods have evolved over time to evaluate eustachian tube function but most of them are complex, invasive and are not available in many places. There are various factors that can predispose to ETD like sino nasal disease, nasal allergy, deviated nasal septum, laryngopharyngeal reflux disease etc. This study aims on finding out the proportion of eustachian tube dysfunction after tympanoplasty and to find out various factors that are significantly associated with postoperative eustachian tube dysfunction and to assess audiological outcome in relation to eustachian tube function.

Methods and Materials

is a prospective observational This study conducted in patients with chronic otitis media mucosal disease and underwent tympanoplasty in the Department of ENT, Government Medical College, Trivandrum from November 2017 to September 2019. This study was conducted after obtaining approval of Institutional ethical committee. Minimum sample size for the study was calculated to be 100. Patients with chronic otitis Media mucosal disease both active and inactive, who underwent tympanoplasty, in the age group 12 years to 60 years were included in the study. Patients with chronic Otitis Media disease secondary mucosal to tumors of nasopharynx or middle ear and patients who underwent revision tympanoplasty surgery were not included in the study. In addition, those patients for whom there was inability to achieve probe seal in tympanometry, thereby making it impossible to perform tympanometric assessment of eustachian tube function, were also excluded.

105 consecutive patients were included in the study after obtaining informed consent. Data sociodemographic regarding factors. comorbidities, perforation size, middle ear status, ossicular status, surgical approach, nasal pathologies, status of contralateral ear, type of tympanoplasty performed, type of graft, whether combined with cortical mastoidectomy or not, were collected. Pure tone audiometry (PTA) and tympanometry were performed using Audiometer (ALPS AD 2000) and tympanometer (GSI Tympstar). In the presence of perforated tympanic membrane tympanometric Toynbee test was done. Bluestone's nine step inflation deflation test and modified inflation deflation test were not done as they were not available in our institution.

Tympanometric Toynbee test^[1]: In this test, the audiometer is programmed impedance to artificially increase or decrease the air pressure at middle ear. The probe is placed in the external ear canal, and pressure changes in the middle ear were recorded, while the patient is asked to perform the Toynbee maneuver three times in 80 seconds^[2]. For each maneuver, the opening (O1, O2, O3) and closing (C1, C2, C3) pressures are measured. This change in air pressure is recorded graphically by the impedance audiometer. Normally, with three or four swallows the middle ear pressure should totally neutralize ie it should reach 0 daPa. Partial impairment is considered, if some residual pressure persists even after five swallows (Figure 1). If the pressure built up by the impedance audiometer cannot be neutralized at all by repeated swallowing, then ETF considered to be grossly impaired.



Figure 1: Toynbee test in Tympanometry showing partial ET dysfunction

Diagnostic nasal endoscopy and high resolution CT scan of the temporal bone were done for all patients prior to surgery. Patients were given routine postoperative care including antibiotics for seven days. Patients requiring antiallergic treatment were treated using antihistamines and steroid nasal sprays. Septoplasty was carried out in patients with obstructed deviated nasal septum at least 6 weeks prior to tympanoplasty. Patients were followed up at 12 weeks to assess the status of tympanic membrane. PTA and tympanometry were done to assess the audiological outcome as well as for assessment of middle ear dynamics. Postoperative improvement or good eustachian tube function was considered if there was an intact tympanic membrane and Α curve on tympanometry. Postoperative eustachian tube dysfunction was considered if patient had graft failure with residual perforation or tympanometry showing B or C curve. Pre-operative and operative details of the patients with good and poor eustachian tube function were compared to identify any possible risk factors.

Statistical Analysis: Categorical and quantitative variables were expressed frequency as (percentage) and mean ± two standard deviation respectively. Independent t test and one-way ANOVA test was carried out to compare quantitative data among groups. Chi square test was used to find association of post-operative ETF with selected qualitative variables. Independent t test was carried out to find improvement in PTA based on post operative ETF. P < 0.05 was considered threshold for statistical the

significance. Statistical analyses were performed by using the statistical software package SPSS, version 20.0.

Results and Analysis

105 clinically diagnosed cases of Chronic otitis media mucosal disease who underwent Tympanoplasty with or without Cortical Mastoidectomy were studied. Relevant findings noted from study are as follows.

Among 105 patients, male: female ratio was 1:1.5. The mean age of presentation was 30.9 years and majority of patients (29.5%) were between 20 and 29 years. Age and socioeconomic factors did not have any significant association with postoperative Eustachian tube function. Ear discharge (92.4%) and hearing loss (66.7%) were the most complaints common on presentation. The study comorbidities in the group were (9.5%) hypertension and diabetes mellitus (8.6%).15.2% of the study population were smokers and 12.4% were consuming alcohol. Neither co morbidities nor habits had any significant effect on postoperative Eustachian tube function. 70.5% had unilateral COM mucosal disease out of which 46.7% had normal contralateral ear and 23.8% had Eustachian tube dysfunction in contralateral ear. 38.1% had active disease and rest had inactive disease. 29.5% had bilateral chronic otitis media mucosal disease. Eustachian tube disease in contralateral ear was found to be significantly associated with post operative Eustachian tube dysfunction (p 0.01). 18 patients (17.1%) had history of sino nasal disease, which included chronic sinusitis and sino nasal polyposis. These patients were given medical treatment and underwent Endoscopic sinus surgery when indicated well ahead of ear surgery (at least 6 weeks prior). Sinonasal disease was found to be significantly associated with post operative Eustachian disease (p 0.02). 22.9% had history of nasal allergy and was found to be significant (p 0.017). 23 patients (22%) had obstructed nasal deviation and underwent septoplasty at least 6 weeks prior to ear surgery.

Only twelve patients (11.4%)had laryngopharygeal reflux disease (LPRD) and this was not significantly associated with postoperative Eustachian disease (p 0.061). Results of clinical tests for eustachian tube function (Valsalva manoeuvre and Toynbee manoeuvre) were difficult to appreciate by otoscopy in the ears with perforated tympanic membrane. Only 4 showed positive Valsalva test by patients otoscopy (fogging and bubbling of discharge). Toynbee test was even more difficult to appreciate. No changes were noted in the middle ear in the study population on doing Toynbee test. Tympanometric Toynbee test showed poor pre operative Eustachian tube function in 55.2% of perforated ears and was significantly associated with post-operative eustachian tube dysfunction. Size of the perforation, tympanosclerosis and severity of disease were not found to have any association significant with postoperative

Eustachian tube function. 93 patients (88.6%) had intact ossicles. Only 12 patients (11.4%) had partial erosion of ossicles. 8 patients had erosion of long process of incus and 4 patients had erosion of handle of malleus. Fifty two patients (49.5%) underwent Tympanoplasty alone whereas fifty three patients (50.5%) underwent Tympanoplasty along with Cortical Mastoidectomy. 92.4% underwent type 1 tympanoplasty whereas 7.6% underwent type 2 tympanoplasty. In 81%, Temporalis fascia graft was used, whereas in 19% cartilage graft was used. On follow up, 81.9% of study population had intact graft and rest (18.1%) had graft failure. On postoperative follow up, 50.5% had A curve, 34.3% had B curve and 15.2% had C curve. Postoperatively, 50.5% had good Eustachian tube function and 49.5% had poor Eustachian tube function.

Figure 2 and Table 1 displays the comparison between the statistically significant variables.



Figure 2: Comparison of statistically significant variables based on post operative ETF

		Post operative good ETF		Post operative poor ETF		χ^2	Р
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Nasal allergy	Present	7	29.2	17	70.8	5.65*	0.017
	Absent	46	56.8	35	43.2		
Status of contralateral ear	Normal	35	71.4	14	28.6		
	Chronic otitis media					30.44	P<0.01
	(COM) mucosal disease	17	54.8	14	45.2		
	ETD	24	96.0	1	4.0		
Sinonasal disease	Present	3	16.7	15	83.3	9.93**	0.002
	Absent	50	57.5	37	42.5		
Preoperative ETF	Good ETF	35	74.5	12	25.5	19.59	P<0.01
using Tympanometry	Poor ETF	18	31.0	40	69.0		

Table 1. Comparison of statistically significant variables based on post operative ETF

Association of audiological outcome after tympanoplasty with post operative eustachian tube dysfunction: The mean Air conduction -Bone conduction gap (A-B gap) closure in those with good post operative Eustachian tube function was 14.3 dB whereas it was 7.7 dB in those with poor postoperative Eustachian tube function (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Comparison of A-B gap closure based on post operative ETF

Discussion

The eustachian tube (ET) or pharyngotympanic tube is a complex organ which is a part of a system that includes the nose, palate, nasopharynx and middle ear spaces. ET dysfunction (ETD) plays an important role in etiology and pathogenesis of otitis media^[3]. Eustachian tube function (ETF) testing can be used as an important tool for predicting the success rate of Tympanoplasty surgery. Both anatomical as well as physiological patency of eustachian tube plays an important role in its function. Many methods have evolved over time to evaluate eustachian tube function, but most of them are complex, invasive and are not available in many places. Even though good ETF is considered as a prerequisite for tympanoplasty, it is very difficult to assess ETF in a perforated ear even now. There are various etiological factors that can also predispose to ETD like sinonasal disease, deviated nasal septum, laryngopharyngeal reflux disease etc.

In this study, pre operatively, clinical ET patency tests like Valsalva test, Toynbee test and Tympanometric Toynbee test using GSI Tympstar were done to assess ETF in perforated tympanic membrane. Results of clinical tests for eustachian tube patency (Valsalva manoeuvre and Toynbee manoeuvre) were difficult to appreciate by otoscopy in the ears with perforated tympanic membrane. Only 4 patients showed positive valsalva test by otoscopy (fogging and bubbling of discharge). Hence a reliable statistical analysis could not be carried out. Preoperative assessment of Eustachian tube function using Tympanometry (Toynbee test) showed poor Eustachian tube function in 55.2% of study subjects and 44.8% had good Eustachian tube function in 44.8%. This was found to be significant statistically (γ 2- 19.59, p value <0.01). Kanagamuthu P et al^[4] in their study found that the correlation between ETF (which was done by Toynbee test in Impedance audiometry) and graft uptake showed highly significant with p value of 0.0005. Postoperatively patients were followed up to look for the results.

A good Eustachian tube function was considered as healed graft with no residual perforation and tympanometry shows A curve and eustachian tube dysfunction was considered if patient had graft failure with residual perforation or tympanometry showing B or C curve. 50.5% had good Eustachian tube function and 49.5% had poor Eustachian tube function post-operatively. According to Singh D and Sudheer C $P^{[5]}$ (2018), dysfunction 73.6% had postoperative of Eustachian tube.

When various etiological factors were analyzed and compared in both groups, Among the study population, 18 patients (17.1%) had history of sinonasal disease which was found to be statistically significant risk factor in the development of ETD. Among the 18 patients, 10 patients had history of chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) with polyposis, 6 patients had chronic rhinosinusitis without polyposis and 2 had history of allergic fungal rhinosinusitis. These patients underwent functional endoscopic sinus surgery prior to the ear surgery with adequate medical treatment after surgery. Patients with obstructed deviated nasal septum had septoplasty done at least 6 week prior to ear surgery. A study by Windsor S et al^[6], showed 56.7% of patients with chronic otitis media had nasal discharge and 23.3% had history of post nasal drip which was significant. Study by Stoikes et al^[7] also confirmed that for most CRS patients, ETD symptoms decreased in post endoscopic sinus surgery to a level comparable with a non CRS population. In our study, sinonasal disease was found to have a deleterious effect on ETF even after functional endoscopic sinus surgery

History of nasal allergy was another significant predictive factor studied. 70.8 % of study population with poor post operative eustachian tube function had history of nasal allergy. Edematous mucosa at the nasopharyngeal end of eustachian tube resulting from the nasal allergy can prolapse into lumen and cause ETD due to locking of ET. In study by M Sente et al^[8], allergic rhinitis to inhalant allergens was diagnosed in 21% of subjects with eustachian tube dysfunction.

Status of contralateral ear was also significant in predicting postoperative ETD ($\gamma 2 - 30.44$, p value<0.01). In the study, 46.7% had normal contralateral ear, 29.5% showed COM mucosal disease and rest 23.8% had Eustachian tube dysfunction in the contralateral ear. In a study by Damaghani and Barazin et al^[9], 38% had disorder in contralateral ear (CLE) on assessing with Tympanometry, Otomicroscopy and mobility tests which showed co-relation of disease with Eustachian tube function but significance was not given. This study is in contrary to Kayhan et $al^{[10]}$, where both group (ear with normal contralateral and abnormal contralateral ear) had equal percentage of abnormalities. In study by S Jadia et al^[11], 29% had disorder in contralateral ear which was found to be highly significant (χ^2 -473.15, p -0.001).

The Air -Bone gap closure in those with good post-operative Eustachian tube function was 14.3 dB whereas it was 7.7 dB in those with poor postoperative Eustachian tube function. This showed a significant association between postoperative Eustachian tube function and hearing outcome (p value <0.01). In a study by Choi S H et al^[12] in 2009, post-operative AB gap closure was better (9.2 dB) in those with good Eustachian tube function. Even though hearing seemed to be improved in all groups, more improvement is noted in those with good ETF.

Other factors like sociodemographic factors, other etiological factors like laryngopharyngeal reflux disease, co morbidities, size of perforation, presence of tympanosclerotic patches, Middle ear risk index (MERI), surgical factors like whether mastoidectomy is performed or not along with tympanoplasty and types of grafting done were not found to be statistically significant in this study, hence were not risk factors for postoperative eustachian tube function.

Conclusion

The proportion of post-operative Eustachian tube dysfunction after tympanoplasty in chronic otitis media mucosal disease was 49.5%. History of sinonasal disease, nasal allergy and eustachian tube disease in contralateral ear were significant in postoperative eustachian predicting tube dysfunction. Preoperative Toynbee test using Impedence audiometry for analyzing eustachian tube function can be used as a predictor for postoperative eustachian tube dysfunction. Good audiological outcome was also associated with normal eustachian tube function. Those with good post-operative eustachian tube function had better audiological outcome in comparison to patients with poor eustachian tube function.

We suggest that sinonasal disease as well as nasal allergy should be aggressively treated before and after reconstructive ear surgeries. Preoperative eustachian tube function tests especially Toynbee test using tympanometry will be helpful in predicting postoperative eustachian tube function. Those with preoperative poor eustachian tube function should be meticulously followed up post operatively and treated accordingly. Special attention should be paid to patients with eustachian tube dysfunction in contralateral ear and more aggressive treatment directed to improve eustachian tube function is warranted. A larger sample size could represent the burden of the disease in the community. The study was done in a tertiary care teaching hospital and hence the surgeries were performed by different surgeons. So surgical variables could be a factor in graft take up and hearing improvement, which has not been addressed in this study. We would like to conclude that preoperative assessment of eustachian tube function and control of risk factors for eustachian tube dysfunction are worthwhile endeavors towards achieving better tympanoplasty outcomes.

Acknowledgement

First and above all, I praise God, the almighty for granting me the capability to proceed successfully.

This study appears in its current form due to the assistance and guidance of several people. I would therefore like to offer my sincere thanks to all of them.I wish to extend my gratitude to Dr. Satheesh S, Professor and Head, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Government Medical College, Kollam, for providing me an opportunity to do this work and for his valuable guidance and suggestions. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my guide Dr Susan James, Associate Professor, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Government Medical College, Alappuzha for her guidance, supervision and suggestions offered to me throughout the study period.I would like to express my thanks to my co guide Mrs Chippi Mohan, Assistant Professor in Audiology, Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram, for her extremely valuable input all through the course of the study. I wish to express my deep thanks to Dr. M Venugopal, Professor and Head of the department, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Govt. Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram and Jayaprabha S. Additional Professor, Dr Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Government Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram, for their valuable suggestions and guidance during my study. This research could not have been completed without the encouragement and support of my family especially my husband Dr Ruthwik S Nambisan, our parents and siblings.Lastly, I owe a great deal to my patients without whose cooperation; this study would not have been possible.

- **Disclosure of potential conflicts of interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
- Research involving human participants and/or animals:
 - a. Statements of human rights: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later

amendments or comparable ethical standards.

- b. Statement on the welfare of animals: This chapter does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors.
- **Informed consent**: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.
- **Funding:** No funding was received for conducting this study or preparation of this manuscript.

References

- Biswas A. Clinical audio vestibulometry for Otologists and neurotologists. 5th ed. Bhalani publishing house Mumbai; 2017. volume 1: 115-116 p.
- Akyıldız MY, Özmen OA, Demir UL, Kasapoğlu F, Coşkun HH, Basut OI et al. Should Nasal Function be Considered Prior to Tympanoplasty?. J Int Adv Otol. 2018 Apr;14 (1): 53-57.
- Bluestone CD, Stool SE, Alper CM, Arjmand EM, Casselbrant ML, Dohar JE, Yellon RF. Bluestone and Stool's paediatric otolaryngology. 4th ed. London: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2002. volume 1: 497-45 p.
- Kanagamuthu P, Karthikeyan P, Coumare VN - Evaluation of Eustachian tube function in chronic suppurative otitis media (tubotympanic type) with reference to its treatment outcome - Indian J Otology. 2012;18 (4) :179-183.
- Singh T, Sudheer C. Assessment of eustachian tube dysfunction in middle ear pathologies. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2019 Jan;5(1):127-130.
- 6. Windsor SR, Roy SBR, Jumjumi PA, et al. Prevalence of sinonasal disease in patients with mucosal type of chronic otitis media.

- J. Evolution Med. Dent. Sci. 2017 Feb;6 (15):1183-1188
- Stoikes NF, Dutton JM. The effect of endoscopic sinus surgery on symptoms of eustachian tube dysfunction. Am J Rhinol. 2005 March;19(2):199-202
- M Sente 1, R Sente, K Puleva, N K Milekic. Allergic rhinitis as a possible etiologic cause for eustachian tube dysfunction. Med Pregl Mar-April 2001; 54(3-4):166-71.
- Damghani MA, Barazin A. Alterations in the Contra lateral Ear in Chronic Otitis Media. Iran J Otorhinolaryngol. 2013 spring;25(71):99–102.
- Kayhan FT, Sayin I, Cakabay T et al (2011) Chronic otitis media evaluation of the contralateral ear [internet]. KBB-Forum 10(4). www.KBB-Forum.net
- 11. Jadia S, Mourya A, Jain L, Qureshi S. Pre and Post Operative Status of Contralateral Ear in Unilateral Chronic Otitis Media. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2016 Mar;68(1):20–4.
- 12. Choi SH, Han JH, Chung JW. Preoperative Evaluation of Eustachian Tube Function Using a Modified Pressure Equilibration Test is Predictive of Good Postoperative Hearing and Middle Ear Aeration in Type 1 Tympanoplasty Patients. Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol. 2009 Jun; 2(2):61-5.