www.jmscr.igmpublication.org

Impact Factor 3.79 ISSN (e)-2347-176x



# Study on Effect of Nature of Meconium Stained Amniotic Fluid on Perinatal Outcome

Authors

#### Kavyashree.H.S, Suma.K.B

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, J.S.S.Medical College and Hospital, Mysore, Karnataka

#### Abstract

**Objective:** Recognition of nature of meconium stained amniotic fluid and its effect on perinatal outcome. **Methods:** The exploratory study was designed at J.S.S Medical college and Hospital, Mysore, from December 2010 to July 2012.

**Results:** During the study 2764 patients admitted for delivery of which 236(8.72%) cases of meconium stained amniotic fluid were randomly studied.

- Thin meconium staining present in 81 cases and thick in 155 cases. Fetal heart variability was significantly high in thick meconium staining 59.35% and thin 30.86%
- Of the 236 meconium stained amniotic fliud ,157 delivered by caesarean section 66 vaginally out of which 13 by forceps.
- Perinatal morbidity due to MAS was 9.61% and mortality 2.9%.

**Conclusion:** From this study, it is evident that meconium staining of liquor is a commonly observed phenomenon. Presence of thick meconium in amniotic fluid has increased incidence of perinatal morbidity and morality, so it should be managed wisely by timely intervention, mode of delivery and neonatal resuscitation. This helps to reduce Meconium aspiration syndrome as a significant cause of perinatal mortality and morbidity.

**Keywords:** *MSAF-Meconium stained amniotic fluid, MAS-Meconium aspiration syndrome.* 

### Introduction

Meconium is found in fetal gut from 10 wks of gestation but passage of meconium in amniotic fluid is rare before 34 weeks. Incidence of meconium passage increases with gestational age and reaches approximately 30% at 40 weeks and 50% at 42 weeks<sup>1,2</sup>. Passage of meconium into amniotic fluid might be a physiologic phenomenon of GIT maturation, or as a sign of acute or chronic hypoxic event, thus making it a indicator of fetal distress<sup>5</sup>. Many maternal factors contribute to passage of meconium before birth which include prolonged gestation, type of labour, anemia, hypertension disorders of pregnancy<sup>3,4</sup>. Presence of meconium in amniotic fluid is seen 12-16 % of deliveries<sup>6</sup>.

The primary concern regarding meconium stained amniotic fluid has been subjected to extensive studies and are directed at 2 major issues:

- 1. Significance of meconium as a sign of fetal distress or hypoxia.
- 2. Prevention of short and long term sequel

2015

such as meconium aspiration syndrome and meconium pneumonitis.

Meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS) is defined as neonatal respiratory distress that develops shortly after birth in presence of meconium stained amniotic fluid with radiographic evidence of meconium pneumonitis<sup>7</sup>.Meconium aspiration can occur before or during labour or after delivery with first few breaths. MAS can contribute to neonatal deaths in up to 0.05%. The case fatality rate in meconium aspiration syndrome is reported to range from 20 to 40%<sup>8</sup>.

Recent studies indicate that meconium is not an independent marker for fetal distress <sup>10,11</sup>. Perinatal outcome is significantly related to nature of meconium in early labour<sup>4</sup>. Thick meconium stained amniotic fluid with cephalic presentation with low fetal scalp blood PH, low levels of Po<sub>2</sub> and abnormal fetal heart rate patterns (late decelerations, severe variable decelerations and decreased variability) are associated with increased perinatal morbidity and mortality<sup>5</sup>. MSAF is associated with increased operative interferences during labour like forceps and caesarean delivery as well as NICU admission<sup>4</sup>.

Management of meconium stained amniotic fluid is a combined effort of obstetrician and neonatologist<sup>9</sup>.Early recognition of meconium staining of liquor during delivery interventions like forceps or caesarean section, oral and nasopharyngeal suctioning immediately after the delivery of head has shown improved perinatal outcome<sup>3,12,13,15</sup>. Suction of the pharynx as soon as possible after delivery of the head is acceptable as long as care is taken not to traumatize the pharynx or larynx, because this may precipitate meconium aspiration<sup>14</sup>.

Hence considering the risks of MSAF, this study is done at a medical college, where facilities for electronic fetal monitoring, emergency caesarean and NICU are available to know the perinatal outcome in deliveries complicated with MSAF in relation to nature of MSAF.

## Materials and Methods

The exploratory study was designed at J.S.S Medical college and Hospital, Mysore, from December 2010 to July 2012. 236 cases of meconium stained amniotic fluid diagnosed by spontaneous or artificial or on intraoperative rupture of membranes by simple random sampling were included as participants.

### Inclusion criteria -

Cases of meconium stained amniotic fluid diagnosed by artificial/spontaneous/intraoperative rupture of membranes with a singleton pregnancy in cephalic presentation with a written informed consent were included for the proposed study.

### **Exclusion criteria-**

Cases with multifetal gestation, malpresentations, congenital anamolies and women who did not give a written informed consent for the proposed study were excluded from the study.

On admission, after a detailed history, including complication during the present pregnancy, general physical examination and obstetrical examination was performed. Gestational age by virtue of history and examination were recorded. Routine hematological and urine examination were done and recorded.

In addition to the following management practices like mode of delivery, time duration between detection of MSAF and delivery of the fetus and mechanical suction (oronasopharyngeal suction) were observed and recorded. details considered were mode of Neonatal delivery, presence or absence of meconium aspiration, APGAR score at 1 and 5 minutes. MAS was confirmed by the presence of below vocal meconium the cords on laryngoscopic examination and endotracheal suction. Neonatal respiratory distress. radiological evidence of patchy densities were noted. In addition, mothers and the neonates were followed up during their stay in the postnatal and post caesarean ward.

2015

Data Analysis: Done using Chi square test.

#### **Definition:**

**Thin Meconium** Very light green staining of amniotic fluid.

**Thick Meconium** Thick greenish meconium with particulate matter in amniotic fluid.

### Procedure

All cases of meconium stained amniotic fluid, diagnosed by Artificial rupture of membranes-ARM/ Spontaneous rupture of membranes -SRM/ Intraoperative rupture of membranes were included as suitable candidates for this study.

Further cervical dilatation, character of the meconium stained amniotic fluid and fetal heart variability were noted. Partogram was maintained.

Maternal outcome was recorded in terms of mode of delivery – LSCS, vaginal delivery, instrumental delivery in association with meconium stained liquor.

Perinatal outcome was recorded in terms of meconium aspiration syndrome, Hypoxic ischaemic encepalopathy and perinatal death associated with meconium aspiration.

#### Results

In present study, 2764 deliveries were conducted in our hospital and out of them 236 cases had meconium stained liquor. So the incidence of meconium stained liquor was 8.53%.

In the study group, the age of the patients ranged from 19-40 years, 108(45.79%) were primigravida and 128(54.43%) were multigravida.

**Table no.1:** Incidence of meconium stained amniotic fluid

Total no. of deliveries	2764
Total no. of live birth	2704
Total no. of MSAF	236(8.53%)

Table no.2: Correlation of gestational age with incidence of meconium

Gestational Age	Incidence of meconium	%	x <sup>2</sup>	Р
34 weeks	2	0.85		
35-37	19	8.05		
38-40	87	36.86		0.000 (HS)
40 and more	128	54.24	104.605	
Total	236	100.00		

The association of meconium stained liquor with gestational age was found to be more with increasing gestational age (> 40wks).

Maternal risk factors	Incidence of MSAF	%	x <sup>2</sup>	]
Anaemia	32	36.78		
Pre-eclampsia	9	10.34		
Ealamagia	2	2 45	-	

**Table no. 3:** Incidence of MSAF in relation to maternal risk factors.

Maternal risk factors	MSAF	%	$\mathbf{x}^2$	P
Anaemia	32	36.78		
Pre-eclampsia	9	10.34		
Eclampsia	3	3.45		
Gestational HTN	5	5.75		
Gestational diabetes	7	8.05		
Rh-negative pregnancy	14	16.09		
Cardiac disease	2	2.30	25.165	0.001 (HS)
Elderly Primigravida	5	5.75		
Other medical disorders	10	11.49		
Total	87	100.00		

In our study, most important maternal risk factors associated with passage of meconium in amniotic fluid were anaemia 36.78% cases and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy 19.54%.

Table no.4	Nature	of	meconium	stained	liquor
------------	--------	----	----------	---------	--------

	Frequency	%	<b>v</b> 2	Р
Thick	155	65.68		
Thin	81	34.32		0.001
Total	236	100.00	11.894	(HS)

Out of 236 cases, 155 (65.68%) cases had thick meconium stained liquor and 81(34.32%) cases had thin meconium stained liquor.

Table no 5 .Fetal heart rate variability in relation to nature of meconium

FHR		Nature of meconium		Total	$\mathbf{X}^2$	Р
Variabil	ity	Thick	Thin	Total		
Drasant	F	92	25	117	17.274	0.000
riesem	%	59.35	30.86	49.58		
Abcont	F	63	56	119		
Absent	%	40.65	69.14	50.42		
Total	F	155	81	236		
TOTAL	%	100.00	100.00	100.00		

(HS)

FHR variability was significantly high in thick meconium stained liquor group 59.35 % of cases as compared to thin meconium liquor group 30.86% of cases which was statistically significant.

Mode of		Nature of	meconium		2
Delivery		Thick	Thin	Total	Χ
	F	26	40	66	49.308
Vaginal	%	16.88	48.80	27.97	
	F	2	11	13	
Instrumental	%	1.30	13.40	5.51	
	F	126	31	157	
LSCS	%	81.82	37.80	66.53	
	F	154	82	236	
Total	%	100.00	100.00	100.00	

Table no.6: Mode of delivery in relation to nature of meconium

LSCS was done in 81.8% cases with thick meconium stained liquor as compared to 37.78% cases with thin meconium stained liquor.

48.8% of cases of thin meconium stained

liquor delivered vaginally compared to 16.88% cases of thick meconium stained liquor.

Statistical analysis of this data yielded a P-value of <0.000, which is significant.

Table no 7. Nature of meconium and meconium aspiration syndr
--

			Nature of m	Nature of meconium	
			Thick	Thin	
MAS	ABSENT	Count	126	78	204
		<b>P</b>	81.3%	96.3%	86.4%
	PR SENT	Count	29	3	32
		%	18.7%	3.7%	13.6%
Total		Count	155	81	236
		%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

X<sup>2</sup> – 11.89; P.001 (HS)

18.7% f infants born to women with thick meconium stained liquor, developed MAS as compared to 3.7% of infants born to women

with thin meconium stained liquor group which was statistically significant (P-value-0.001).

 Table no.8 Perinatal and morbidity and mortality due to MAS

Morbidity	10(9.61%)
Mortality	8(2.9%)

Perinatal morbidity due to meconium aspiration was seen in 26(9.61%) cases out of which 16(61.53%) cases developed respiratory distress, 7 (26.94%) cases developed seizures and 3 (11.53%) cases develop sepsis. There were 8(2.9%) neonatal deaths, out of which 6(75%) cases died due to hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy, 2(25%) cases died due to meconium pneumonitis with pulmonary hypertension.

Case fatality due to meconium aspiration syndrome was found to be 25%.

### Discussion

Meconium passage prior to birth occurs in upto 20% of term deliveries but in this study incidence of MSAF was 8.53% similar to Kamala G etal<sup>3</sup> 9.37% and Goud, Krishna study<sup>23</sup> 9.8%. Presence of thick stained amniotic fluid is worrisome to the obstetrician as it is associated with poor perinatal outcome. In the present study incidence of thick meconium stained amniotic fluid was 65.68% and thin meconium stained amniotic fluid was 34.32% in comparison to Debas et.al<sup>22</sup> study incidence of thick MSAF was 51.15% and thin MSAF was 48.85%. In relation of MSAF with parity multigravida were found to be more associated with MSAF than primigravida in the present study similar to Kamala G et.al<sup>3</sup> study as multigravida associated with MSAF waited little longer than primigravida as they had previous normal deliveries. In the present study maternal risk factors such as, anemia 36.78% and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy19.54% were more associated with MSAF similar to Bhide et al.,<sup>19</sup> study and khautan M et al.,<sup>17</sup> study were hypertensive disorders of pregnancy13%. In the present study incidence of MSAF increased with gestational age, 50% of cases had gestational age more than 40 weeks similar to Rajlaxmi mundra et al.,<sup>25</sup> study. Incidence of non reactive NST in the present study was 59.3% in the thick MSAF fluid cases and 30.8% in the thin MSAF cases, comparable to Rossario et al.,<sup>24</sup> study with incidence of non reactive NST in thick meconium stained MSAF cases 54%. Mode of delivery in assosciation with MSAF, in the present study caesarean section performed twice was commonly in MSAF cases comparable to Saunders et al.,<sup>20</sup>Narang et al.,<sup>21</sup> and Naveen et

al.,<sup>16</sup>studies. Such higher caesarean section rates were noted due to lack of facilities for fetal scalp ph monitoring in MSAF cases with abnormal NST. In the present study perinatal morbidity was 6 times higher in thick MSAF compared to thin MSAF groups similar to Roosi et al.,<sup>18</sup> but still thin MSAF babies needed NICU admission for observation of signs of respiratory distress. Perinatal morbidity in the present study was 2.9% similar to Debas et al.,<sup>22</sup> where perinatal morbidity was 3%. 8 neonatal deaths occured during the study, in which 6 were due to severe asphyxia with hypoxic ischaemic birth enecephalopathy and 2 were due to meconium aspiration pneumonitis.

## References

- 1. Cleary JM, Wiswell TE 1998. Meconium stained amniotic fluid and the meconium aspiration syndrome: an update. Pediatric Clinics of North America 1988; 45:511-529.
- Gregory GA, Gooding CA, Phibbs RH et al. Meconium aspiration in infants:a prospective study. Journal of Pediatrics 85: 848-852.
- Kamala Gokhroo, Usha Sharma et al, "Various maternal factors responseble for meconium stained amniotic fluid", J. Obstetrics & Gynecology of India, 51; 6: 2001.
- Shaikh EM, Mehmood S, Shaikh MJ. Neonatal outcome in meconium stained amniotic fluid- One year experience. J Pak Med Assoc. 2010;60(9):711–14. [PubMed]
- 5. Steer PJ, Eigbe F, Lissauer TJ, Beard RW. Interrelationships among abnormal cardiotocograms in labor, meconium staining of the amniotic fluid, arterial cord blood pH, and Apgar scores. Obstet Gynecol.1989;74:715–21. [PubMed]

- Maymon E, Chaim W, Furman B, Ghezzi F, Shoham Vardi, Maro M.Meconium stained amniotic fluid in very low risk pregnancies at term gestation. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 1998; Oct: 169-173.
- Klinger, Mary Celeste MD, Kruse, "Meconium aspiration syndrome: Pathophysiology and prevention", JAM Board Fam Pract1999; Vol. 12(6): 450-460.
- Wiswell TE, Bent RC. Meconium staining and the meconium aspiration syndrome. Unresolved issues.Pediatr Clin North Am. 1993;40:955–81. [PubMed]
- 9. Carson BS, Losey RW, Bowes WW et al. 1976 Combined obstetric and pediatric approach to prevent meconium aspiration syndrome. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 126:712-715.
- 10. Saunders K. Should we worry about meconium? A controlled study of neonatal outcome. Trop Doct.2002;32(1):7–10. [PubMed]
- 11. Patil KP, Swamy MK, Samatha K. A one year cross sectional study of management practices of meconium stained amniotic fluid and perinatal outcome. Obstet Gynecol India. 2006;56:128–30.
- 12. [Guideline] Neonatal resuscitation: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. Kattwinkel J. Perlman JM, Aziz K, Colby C, Fairchild K, GallagherJ, Hazinski MF, Halamek LP, Kumar P, Little G, McGowan JE, Nightengale B, Ramirez MM, Ringer S, Simon WM, Weiner GM, Wyckoff M, ZaichkinJ. Circulation. 2010;122:S909 -S919.
- 13. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 379: Management of delivery of a newborn with meconium-stained amniotic

fluid. Obstet Gynecol. Sep 2007;110(3):739.

- 14. Vain NE, Szyld EG, Prudent LM, Wiswell TE. Aguilar AM. Vivas NI.Oropharyngeal and nasopharyngeal suctioning of meconium-stained neonates before delivery of their shoulders: multicentre, randomised controlled Aug trial.Lancet. 14 - 202004;364(9434):597-602.
- 15. Korones SB, Bada-Ellzey HS. Meconium aspiration. In: Neonatal DecisionMaking. 1993:128-9.
- 16. Naveen S, Kumar SV, Ritu S, Kushia P. Predictors of meconium stained amniotic fluid: a possible strategy to reduce neonatal morbidity and mortality. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2006;56:514–7.
- 17. Khatun M, Arzu J, Haque E, Kamal MAL, Mamun M, Khan M, et al. Fetal outcome in Deliveries with Meconium Stained Liquor. Bangladesh J Child Health. 2009;33:41–45.
- Rossi EM, Philipson EH, William TG, Kalhan SC. Meconium aspiration syndrome: intrapartum and neonatal attributes. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1989;161:1106–10. [PubMed]
- 19. Bhide SS, Shendurnikar S Aiyer, SR Baxi, "Neonatal outcome after meconium stained amniotic fluid", J. of Obstetrics & Gynecology of India 1993;43:933-5.
- 20. Sandhu SK, Jaspal Singh, Harpreet "Critical Khura, Harlun Kaur. evaluation of meconium staining of amniotic fluid and fetal outcome", Obstetrics & Gynecology of India, 1993;43:528-53
- 21. Narang A, Nair PMC, Bhakoo ON< Vashist K. Management of meconmium sainted amniotic fluid: A team approach, Indian Pediatr 1993;30:9-13.

- 22. Debdas AK, Kaur T. Meconium stained liquor – Reappraisal. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of India 1981; 31:924-929.
- Goud P and Krishna U. Significance of meconium staining of amniotic fluid in labour. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of India 1989;39:523-526.
- 24. Rosario MC, Seshadri L. Meconium staining of amniotic fluid in low risk parturients. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of India 1996; 46:642-646.
- 25. Rajlaxmi Mundra and Manika Agarwal : Fetal Outcome in Meconium Stained Deliveries . J Clinical Diagnosis Research.2013;7 (12) : 2874-2876.